## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-0

[ X ] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended	March 31, 2004
Commission file number	1-3779
SAN DIEGO GAS	& ELECTRIC COMPANY
(Exact name of registra	ant as specified in its charter)
California	95-1184800
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
8330 Century Park Court,	San Diego, California 92123
` '	ipal executive offices) ip Code)
(619)	) 696-2000
(Registrant's telephone	e number, including area code)
required to be filed by Section 13	e registrant (1) has filed all reports 3 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange L2 months (or for such shorter period

that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes X No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes X No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common stock outstanding: Wholly owned by Enova Corporation

#### INFORMATION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report contains statements that are not historical fact and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The words "estimates," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "plans," "intends," "may," "could," "would" and "should" or similar expressions, or discussions of strategy or of plans are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. They involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Future results may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon various assumptions involving judgments with respect to the future and other risks, including, among others, local, regional and national economic, competitive, political, legislative and regulatory conditions and developments; actions by the California Public Utilities Commission, the California Legislature, the California Department of Water Resources, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission; capital market conditions, inflation rates, interest rates and exchange rates; energy and trading markets, including the timing and extent of changes in commodity prices; weather conditions and conservation efforts; war and terrorist attacks; business, regulatory and legal decisions; the status of deregulation of retail natural gas and electricity delivery; the timing and success of business development efforts; and other uncertainties, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond the control of the company. Readers are cautioned not to rely unduly on any forward-looking statements and are urged to review and consider carefully the risks, uncertainties and other factors which affect the company's business described in this report and other

reports filed by the company from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

```
PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY
STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME
(Dollars in millions)
  Quarters
ended March
31, -----
2004 2003 -
-----
 Operating
  revenues
 Electric $
 <del>385 $ 397</del>
Natural gas
<del>195 165</del>
   <del>Total</del>
 <del>operating</del>
  revenues
<del>580 562</del>
  Operating
  expenses
  Cost of
  <del>electric</del>
  fuel and
 purchased
 power 127
163 Cost of
natural gas
   <del>109 85</del>
    <del>Other</del>
 operating
  expenses
  140 126
Depreciation
     and
amortization
    <del>68 57</del>
   Income
taxes 45 40
 Franchise
  fees and
other taxes
<del>29 26</del>
    <del>Total</del>
 <del>operating</del>
  expenses
<del>518 497</del>
  Operating
  income 62
 65
    Other
 income and
(deductions)
  Interest
 income 5 2
 Regulatory
 <del>interest ´</del>
net (1) (2)
 Allowance
 for equity
 funds used
   during
construction
 2 3 Income
  taxes on
    non
 <del>operating</del>
 income (1)
(3) Other
net 1
```

Total 6

**Interest** charges Long term debt 16 17 Other 2 2 **Allowance** for borrowed funds used during construction <del>(1) (1) </del> Total 17 Net income 51 <del>47</del> Preferred <del>dividend</del> requirements 1 2 **Earnings** applicable to common shares \$ 50 <del>\$ 45</del> <del>---- See</del> notes to Consolidated **Financial** Statements. SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Dollars in millions) March 31, December 31, 2004 2003 --------------- ASSETS Utility plant at original cost \$ 5,816 \$ <del>5,773</del> **Accumulated depreciation** and **amortization** (1,767) (1,737)Utility plant net 4,049 4,036 Nuclear decommissioning trusts 584 570 Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents 71 148 Accounts <del>receivable</del> trade 160 173 **Accounts** receivable other 21 17 **Interest** receivable 38 37 Due from affiliates 126 151 Regulatory assets arising from fixed price contracts and other derivatives 56

```
59 Other
  regulatory
 assets 77 81
Inventories 39
<del>60 Other 31 27</del>
Total current
assets 619 753
Other assets:
Deferred taxes
recoverable in
rates 270 273
  Regulatory
assets arising
  from fixed
price contracts
   and other
derivatives 489
   502 Other
  regulatory
assets 261 281
Sundry 52 48
  Total other
 assets 1,072
1,104
        Total
assets $ 6,324
 6,463 ===
  ===== See
   <del>notes to</del>
 Consolidated
   Financial
  Statements.
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Dollars in millions)
   March 31,
 December 31,
2004 2003 -----
-----
CAPITALIZATION
AND LIABILITIES
Capitalization:
 Common stock
 (255 million
    shares
authorized; 117
million shares
outstanding) $
   938 $ 938
   Retained
 earnings 294
369 Accumulated
     other
comprehensive
 income (loss)
(43) (43)
 Total common
 equity 1,189
1,264 Preferred
   stock not
  subject to
   mandatory
 redemption 79
       <del>Total</del>
 shareholders'
 <del>equity 1,268</del>
1,343 Long term
  debt 1,070
1,087
        Total
```

capitalization 2,338 2,430

Current liabilities: **Accounts** payable 143 193 **Interest** payable 11 10 **Income taxes** payable 129 85 Deferred income taxes 75 83 Regulatory **balancing** accounts net 333 338 Fixed price contracts and other derivatives 56 59 Current portion of long term debt 66 66 Other 253 Total current **liabilities** 1,066 1,128 **Deferred** <del>credits and</del> other <del>liabilities:</del> Due to affiliates 21 21 Customer advances for construction 39 49 Deferred income taxes <del>374 361</del> Deferred investment tax credits 39 40 Regulatory **liabilities** arising from <del>cost of removal</del> obligations 857 846 Regulatory **liabilities** arising from asset retirement obligations 299 281 Fixed price contracts and other derivatives 489 502 Asset retirement obligations 305 303 Mandatorily redeemable preferred securities 20 21 Deferred credits and other 477 481 Total deferred credits and other liabilities 2,920 2,905 **Contingencies** and commitments <del>(Note 6) Total</del> <del>liabilities and</del> shareholders! equity \$ 6,324 \$ 6,463 === See notes to Consolidated

provided by
(used in)
investing
activities

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS (Dollars in millions) Quarters ended March 31, -----2004 2003 ------ ------- CASH FLOWS FROM **OPERATING ACTIVITIES** Net income <del>\$ 51 \$ 47</del> **Adjustments** <del>to</del> reconcile net income to net cash <del>provided by</del> <del>operating</del> activities: **Depreciation** and **amortization** <del>68 57</del> **Deferred** <del>income</del> taxes and <del>investment</del> tax credits 6 (8) Noncash rate reduction bond expense 19 17 Other Net change <del>in other</del> working capital components 8 (5) <del>Changes in</del> other assets 5 Changes in other <del>liabilities</del> <del>(16)</del> - Net cash provided by <del>operating</del> activities 141 106 - CASH FLOWS FROM **INVESTING ACTIVITIES Capital** expenditures (69) (89) Loan to/from affiliate net 138 Other net (2) (3) Net cash

(71) 46 - CASH FLOWS FROM **FINANCING ACTIVITIES Dividends** paid (127) <del>(52)</del> Payments on long-term <del>debt (17)</del> <del>(17)</del> **Redemptions** <del>of</del> preferred stock (3) (1)Net cash used in financing activities (147) (70) **Increase** (decrease) in cash and cash **equivalents** (77) 82 Cash and cash equivalents, January 1 <del>148 159</del> Cash and cash equivalents, March 31 \$ 71 \$ 241 \_\_\_\_\_ SUPPLEMENTAL **DISCLOSURE** OF CASH FLOW **INFORMATION Interest** payments, net of amounts capitalized <del>\$ 15 \$ 16</del> Income tax payments (refunds) net \$ (2) \$ 86 =====

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### NOTE 1. GENERAL

notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is that of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E or the company). SDG&E's common stock is wholly owned by Enova Corporation, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Sempra Energy, a California-based Fortune 500 holding company. The financial statements herein are the Consolidated Financial Statements of SDG&E and its sole subsidiary, SDG&E Funding LLC.

Sempra Energy also indirectly owns all of the common stock of Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas). SDG&E and SoCalGas are collectively

referred to herein as "the California Utilities."

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with the interim-period-reporting requirements of Form 10-Q. Results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the entire year. In the opinion of management, the accompanying statements reflect all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation. These adjustments are only of a normal recurring nature.

Certain December 31, 2003 income tax liabilities have been reclassified from Deferred Income Taxes to current Income Taxes Payable and to Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities to conform to the current presentation of these items.

Information in this Quarterly Report is unaudited and should be read in conjunction with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 (Annual Report).

The company's significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report. The same accounting policies are followed for interim reporting purposes.

SDG&E accounts for the economic effects of regulation on utility operations in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation."

#### NOTE 2. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Stock-Based Compensation: On March 31, 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued a proposed Exposure Draft to amend SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" and SFAS 95, "Statement of Cash Flows" which provide the current guidance on accounting for stock options and related items. It proposes that the new rules would be effective for 2005. The proposed statement would eliminate the choice of accounting for share-based compensation transactions using Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and instead generally would require that such transactions be accounted for using a fair-value-based method. The Draft would prohibit retroactive application and require that expense be recognized only for those options that actually vest.

SFAS 132 (revised 2003), "Employers Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits": This statement revises employers' disclosures about pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans. It requires disclosures beyond those in the original SFAS 132 about the assets, obligations, cash flows and net periodic benefit cost of defined benefit pension plans and other defined postretirement plans. In addition, the revised statement requires interim-period disclosures regarding the amount of net periodic benefit cost recognized and the total amount of the employers' contributions paid and expected to be paid during the current fiscal year. It does not change the measurement or recognition of those plans.

The following table provides the components of benefit costs for the quarters ended March 31:

Other Pension Benefits Postretirement Benefits ---------- (Dollars in millions) 2004 2003 2004 2003 - -\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Service cost \$3\$5\$1\$ Interest cost 10 10 1 1 Expected return on assets (10)

Amortization of: Prior service cost

<del>1 1                                  </del>
<del>Actuarial</del>
<del>loss 1</del>
Regulatory
adjustment
,
Total net
<del>periodic</del>
benefit cost
<del>\$ 4 \$ 8 \$ 2 \$</del>
1
-
-

Note 6 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report discusses the company's expected contribution to its pension plan and other postretirement benefit plans in 2004. For the quarter ended March 31, 2004, \$1 million of contributions have been made to its other postretirement benefit plans. There was no contribution made to its pension plan for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.

SFAS 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations": SFAS 143 requires entities to record the fair value of liabilities for legal obligations related to asset retirements in the period in which they are incurred. It also requires the reclassification of estimated removal costs, which have historically been recorded in accumulated depreciation, to a regulatory liability. At March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, the estimated removal costs recorded as a regulatory liability were \$857 million and \$846 million, respectively.

The change in the asset retirement obligations for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 is as follows (dollars in millions):

Balance as of January 1, 2004	\$ 326
Accretion expense	6
Payments	(3)
Balance as of March 31, 2004	\$ 329*

\* The current portion of the obligation is included in Other Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

SFAS 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities": Effective July 1, 2003, SFAS 149 amended and clarified accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS 133. Under SFAS 149 natural gas forward contracts that are subject to unplanned netting generally do not qualify for the normal purchases and normal sales exception. ("Netting" refers to contract settlement by paying or receiving the monetary difference between the contract price and the market price at the date on which physical delivery would have occurred.) In addition, effective January 1, 2004, power contracts that are subject to unplanned netting and that do not meet the normal purchases and normal sales exception under SFAS 149 will continue to be marked to market. Implementation of SFAS 149 did not have a material impact on reported net income. Additional information on derivative instruments is provided in Note 4.

SFAS 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Liabilities and Equity": The company adopted SFAS 150 beginning July 1, 2003 by reclassifying \$24 million of mandatorily redeemable preferred stock to Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities and to Other Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 03-11, "Reporting Realized Gains and Losses on Derivative Instruments that are Subject to FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities and Not 'Held for Trading Purposes' as Defined in EITF Issue No. 02-3, Issues Involved in Accounting for Derivative Contracts Held for Trading Purposes and Contracts Involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities": During 2003, the EITF reached a consensus that determining whether realized gains and losses on physically settled derivative contracts not held for trading purposes should be reported in the income statement on a gross or net basis is a matter of judgment that depends on the relevant facts and circumstances. Adoption of EITF 03-11

in 2003 did not have and is not expected to have a significant impact on the company's financial statements.

FASB Staff Position (FSP) 106-1, "Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003": Issued January 12, 2004, FSP 106-1 permits a sponsor of a postretirement health care plan that provides a prescription drug benefit to make a one-time election to defer accounting for the effects of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (the Act). The company has elected to defer the effects of the Act as provided by FSP 106-1 until authoritative guidance on the accounting for the federal subsidy is issued. Any measure of the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation or net periodic postretirement benefit cost in the financial statements or the accompanying notes does not reflect the impact of the Act on the plans. At this time, specific authoritative guidance on the accounting for the federal subsidy provided by the Act is pending and that guidance could require the company to change previously reported information.

FASB Interpretation No. (FIN) 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 51": FIN 46 requires the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity's activities to consolidate the entity. Contracts under which SDG&E acquires power from generation facilities otherwise unrelated to SDG&E could result in a requirement for SDG&E to consolidate the entity that owns the facility. SDG&E is in the process of determining whether it has any such situations and, if so, gathering the information that would be needed to perform the consolidation. The effects of this, if any, are not expected to significantly affect the financial position of SDG&E and there would be no effect on results of operations or liquidity.

#### NOTE 3. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following is a reconciliation of net income to comprehensive income.

	Quarters ended March 31,		
(Dollars in millions)	2004	2003	
Net income	\$ 51	\$ 47	
Minimum pension liability adjustments		(6)	
Comprehensive income	\$ 51	\$ 41	

#### NOTE 4. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

As described in Note 8 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report, the company follows the guidance of SFAS 133 as amended by SFAS 138 and 149 (collectively SFAS 133) to account for its derivative instruments and hedging activities. Derivative instruments and related hedges are recognized as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet, measured at fair value.

SFAS 133 provides for hedge accounting treatment when certain criteria are met. For derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges, the gain or loss is recognized in earnings in the period of change together with the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the risk being hedged. For derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the derivative gain or loss is included in other comprehensive income, but not reflected in the Statements of Consolidated Income until the corresponding hedged transaction is settled. The ineffective portion is reported in earnings immediately.

The company utilizes natural gas and energy derivatives to manage commodity price risk associated with servicing its load requirements. These contracts allow the company to predict with greater certainty the effective prices to be received by the company and the prices to be charged to its customers. The company also periodically enters into interest-rate swap agreements to moderate exposure to interest-rate changes and to lower the overall cost of borrowing. The use of derivative financial instruments is subject to certain limitations imposed by company policy and regulatory requirements. The company classifies its forward contracts as follows:

Contracts that meet the definition of normal purchase and sales generally are long-term contracts that are settled by physical delivery and, therefore, are eligible for the normal purchases and sales exception of SFAS 133. The contracts are accounted for under accrual accounting and recorded in Revenues or Cost of Sales on the Statements of Consolidated Income when physical delivery occurs. Due to the adoption of SFAS 149, the company has determined that its natural gas contracts entered into after June 30, 2003 generally do not qualify for the normal purchases and sales exception. However, the effect of this is minimal.

Fixed-priced Contracts and Other Derivatives

Fixed-priced Contracts and Other Derivatives on the Consolidated Balance Sheets primarily reflect SDG&E's unrealized gains and losses related to long-term delivery contracts for purchased power and natural gas transportation. SDG&E has established offsetting regulatory assets and liabilities to the extent that these gains and losses are recoverable through future rates. If gains and losses are not recoverable or payable through future rates, the company applies hedge accounting if certain criteria are met. When a contract no longer meets the requirements of SFAS 133, the unrealized gains and losses and the related regulatory asset or liability will be amortized over the remaining contract life.

The changes in Fixed-price Contracts and Other Derivatives on the Consolidated Balance Sheets for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 were primarily due to physical deliveries under long-term purchased-power and natural gas transportation contracts.

The transactions associated with fixed-price contracts and other derivatives had no material impact to the Statements of Consolidated Income for the quarters ended March 31, 2004 and 2003.

#### NOTE 5. REGULATORY MATTERS

#### **ELECTRIC INDUSTRY REGULATION**

The restructuring of California's electric utility industry has significantly affected the company's electric utility operations. In addition, the power crisis of 2000-2001 caused the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to adjust its plan for restructuring the electricity industry. The backgrounds of these issues are described in the Annual Report.

The California Department of Water Resources' (DWR) operating agreement with SDG&E, approved by the CPUC, provides that SDG&E is acting as a limited agent on behalf of the DWR in undertaking energy sales and natural gas procurement functions under the DWR contracts allocated to SDG&E's customers. Legal and financial responsibility associated with these activities continues to reside with the DWR. Therefore, the revenues and costs associated with the contracts are not included in the Statements of Consolidated Income.

SDG&E's 20-year resource plan identifies the near-term need for capacity resources within its service territory to support transmission grid reliability. An updated long-term resource plan will be filed during the summer of 2004 in a CPUC proceeding which will consider utility resource planning, such as energy efficiency, contracted power, demand response, qualifying facilities, renewable generation and distributed generation. However, in order to satisfy SDG&E's recognized near-term need for grid reliability capacity, in May 2003 SDG&E issued a Request for Proposals (RFP) for the years 2005-2007 for 69 megawatts (MW) in 2005 increasing to 291 MWs in 2007.

As a result of its RFP, in October 2003, SDG&E filed a motion requesting CPUC authorization to enter into five new electric resource contracts (including two under which SDG&E would take ownership of new generating assets, one of which is being developed by Sempra Energy Resources, an affiliate), as more fully described in the Annual Report. Hearings concluded on February 20, 2004. Two draft decisions were issued on April 6, 2004, one by the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) and an Alternate Draft by the Assigned Commissioner. Both draft decisions would approve all five proposed contracts. The Assigned Commissioner's Alternate Draft would also grant SDG&E's cost recovery, ratemaking and revenue requirement proposals for the proposed resources, including a return on equity (ROE) for SDG&E's new generation investments that is 50-basis points higher than SDG&E's ROE on distribution assets, an equity offset for the debt equivalency of purchase power contracts, and an equity buildup for construction. The CPUC may adopt all or part of the proposed decisions as written, or amend or modify them. Only when the CPUC acts does a decision become binding and final. The CPUC is expected to issue a final decision in the late spring of 2004. Given the CPUC's prior denial of the company's request for approval of additional transmission facilities, the company believes that customer requirements for electricity could not be met without the requested resources or similar additions.

As discussed in the Annual Report, in December 2001 the CPUC issued a decision related to natural gas industry restructuring (GIR), with implementation anticipated during 2002. On April 1, 2004, after many delays and changes, the CPUC issued a decision that adopts tariffs to implement the 2001 decision. However, by that same decision, the CPUC stayed implementation of the GIR tariffs until it issues a decision in Phase I of the Natural Gas Market Order Instituting Ratemaking (OIR) (see below). At that time, the CPUC will reconcile the GIR market structure with whatever structure results from the Phase I decision of the Gas Market OIR.

#### NATURAL GAS MARKET OIR

The Natural Gas Market OIR was approved on January 22, 2004, and will be addressed in two concurrent phases. The schedule calls for a Phase I decision by summer 2004 and a Phase II decision by the end of 2004. Further discussion of Phase I and Phase II is included in the Annual Report. The focus of the Gas OIR is 2006 to 2016. Since GIR (see above) would end in August 2006 and there is overlap between GIR and the Gas OIR issues, a number of parties (including SoCalGas) advised the CPUC not to implement GIR.

The California Utilities have made comprehensive filings in the Gas OIR outlining a proposed market structure that will help create access to new natural gas supply sources (such as LNG) for California. In the Phase I filing, SoCalGas and SDG&E proposed a framework to provide firm tradable access rights for intrastate natural gas transportation; provide SoCalGas with continued balancing account protection for intrastate transmission and distribution revenues, thereby eliminating throughput risk; and integrate the transmission systems of SoCalGas and SDG&E so as to have common rates and rules. The California Utilities have proposed that the investments necessary to access new sources of supply be included in rate base. The estimated costs of these system enhancements to access as much as 2 billion cubic feet per day of new supplies are \$200 million.

In addition, the California Utilities have filed a recommended methodology and framework to be used by the CPUC for granting preapproval of new interstate transportation agreements. They expect to receive a CPUC decision approving a methodology during the third quarter of 2004.

#### COST OF SERVICE FILINGS

In 2002, the California Utilities filed Cost of Service applications with the CPUC, seeking rate increases reflecting forecasts of 2004 capital and operating costs, as further discussed in the Annual Report. SDG&E is requesting revenue increases of \$76 million. On December 19, 2003, settlements were filed with the CPUC for SDG&E that, if approved, would resolve most of the cost of service issues. A CPUC decision is likely in the second quarter of 2004. The SDG&E settlement would reduce its electric rate revenues by \$19.6 million from 2003 rate revenues and increase its natural gas rate revenues by \$1.8 million from 2003 rate revenues. A CPUC order has provided that the new rates will be retroactive to January 1, 2004. Beginning in the first quarter of 2004, SDG&E is recognizing revenues consistent with the proposed settlements.

SDG&E is also awaiting the CPUC decision on the Cost of Service application of Southern California Edison (Edison). This decision will set rates for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS), 20 percent of which is owned by SDG&E. As discussed in the Annual Report, SDG&E's SONGS ratebase restarted at \$0 on January 1, 2004 and, therefore, SDG&E's earnings from SONGS will generally be limited to a return on new capital additions. Edison has applied for permission to replace SONGS' steam generator, which would increase the total cost of SONGS by an estimated \$800 million (\$160 million for SDG&E). SDG&E has raised objections at the CPUC and at the San Diego Superior Court, intended to compel Edison to declare an operating impairment as the basis for the expenditure. Under the terms of the ownership agreement, determination that an operating impairment exists will allow SDG&E to not participate in the project, which would proceed without SDG&E, and SDG&E's ownership percentage in SONGS would be reduced. A pre-hearing conference is scheduled for May 18, 2004.

SDG&E has also filed for continuation through 2004 of existing performance-based regulation (PBR) mechanisms for service quality and safety that would otherwise expire at the end of 2003. In January 2004, the CPUC issued a decision that extended 2003 service and safety targets through 2004, but deferred action on applying any rewards or penalties for performance relative to these targets to a decision to be issued later in 2004 in a second phase of these applications. On April 2, 2004, the CPUC's Office of Ratepayers Advocates (ORA) filed its report recommending that a Consumer Price Index with no productivity factor or customer growth factor be used to change the California Utilities' base margin, as opposed to the proposed Margin per Customer

proposal of the California Utilities, and that the pending decision be in effect for five years. The ORA also proposed the possibility of performance penalties, without the possibility of performance awards. Hearings are scheduled for June 2004 with a final decision expected by November 2004.

#### PERFORMANCE-BASED REGULATION

To promote efficient operations and improved productivity and to move away from reasonableness reviews and disallowances, the CPUC adopted PBR for SDG&E effective in 1994. As further described in the Annual Report, under PBR, regulators require future income potential to be tied to achieving or exceeding specific performance and productivity goals, rather than relying solely on expanding utility plant to increase earnings. PBR and demand-side management (DSM) rewards are not included in the company's earnings before CPUC approval is received. The cumulative amount of rewards subject to refund based on the outcome of the Border Price Investigation described below is \$6.7 million at March 31, 2004.

At March 31, 2004, the following performance incentives were pending CPUC approval and, therefore, were not included in the company's earnings (dollars in millions):

Program	
DSM/Energy Efficiency* 2003 Distribution PBR Natural gas PBR Year 10**	\$ 35.6 8.2 1.9
Total	\$ 45.7

- \* Dollar amounts shown do not include interest, franchise fees or uncollectible amounts.
- \*\*On March 15, 2004, the ORA recommended a modified reward of \$1.5 million.

#### COST OF CAPITAL

Effective January 1, 2003, SDG&E's authorized rate of return on equity (ROE) is 10.9 percent and its return on ratebase is 8.77 percent, for SDG&E's electric distribution and natural gas businesses. The electric-transmission cost of capital is determined under a separate FERC proceeding discussed below. As discussed in the Annual Report, these rates will continue to be effective until market interest-rate changes are large enough to trigger an automatic adjustment or until the CPUC orders a periodic review. The double-A utility bond yield must average less than 6.24 percent or greater than 8.24 percent during the April-September timeframe of any given year to trigger an automatic adjustment. The double-A utility bond yield averaged 6.30 percent during the first three weeks of April 2004.

#### BIENNIAL COST ALLOCATION PROCEEDING

The BCAP determines the allocation of authorized costs between customer classes for natural gas transportation service provided by the company and adjusts rates to reflect variances in customer demand as compared to the forecasts previously used in establishing transportation rates. SDG&E filed with the CPUC its 2005 BCAP application in September 2003, requesting updated transportation rates effective January 1, 2005. In November 2003, an Assigned Commissioner Ruling delayed the BCAP applications until a decision is issued in the GIR implementation proceeding. As a result of the April 1, 2004 decision on GIR implementation as described in "Natural Gas Industry Restructuring," the ALJ in the 2005 BCAP issued a ruling suspending the BCAP schedule pending CPUC dismissal of the applications. It is not known at this time when the California Utilities would be required to file new BCAP applications.

#### BORDER PRICE INVESTIGATION

In November 2002, the CPUC instituted an investigation into the Southern California natural gas market and the price of natural gas delivered to the California-Arizona border between March 2000 and May 2001. If the investigation determines that the conduct of any party to the investigation, including the California Utilities, contributed to the natural gas price spikes, the CPUC may modify the party's natural gas procurement incentive mechanism, reduce the amount of any shareholder award for the period involved, and/or order the party to issue a refund to ratepayers. Hearings are scheduled to begin on June 14, 2004. At a later date, the CPUC will hold a second round of hearings to consider whether Sempra Energy or any of its non-utility subsidiaries contributed to the price spikes. Decisions are expected by late 2004. The company believes that the CPUC will find that the California Utilities acted in the best interests of its core customers

and that none of the Sempra Energy companies was responsible for the price spikes.

#### CPUC INVESTIGATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH AFFILIATE RULES

In February 2003, the CPUC opened an investigation of the business activities of SDG&E, SoCalGas and Sempra Energy to determine if they have complied with statutes and CPUC decisions in the management, oversight and operations of their companies. In September 2003, the CPUC suspended the procedural schedule until it completes an independent audit to evaluate energy-related holding company systems and affiliate activities undertaken by Sempra Energy within the service territories of SDG&E and SoCalGas. The audit, covering years 1997 through 2003, is expected to be completed by March 2005. The scope of the audit will be broader than the annual affiliate audit. In accordance with existing CPUC requirements, the California Utilities' transactions with other Sempra Energy affiliates have been audited by an independent auditing firm each year, with results reported to the CPUC, and there have been no material adverse findings in those audits.

#### CPUC INVESTIGATION OF ENERGY-UTILITY HOLDING COMPANIES

The CPUC has initiated an investigation into the relationship between California's investor-owned utilities (IOUs) and their parent holding companies. The CPUC broadly determined that it would require the holding company to provide cash to a utility subsidiary to cover its operating expenses and working capital to the extent they are not adequately funded through retail rates. This would be in addition to the requirement of holding companies to cover their utility subsidiaries' capital requirements, as the IOUs previously acknowledged in connection with the holding companies' formations. In January 2002 the CPUC ruled on jurisdictional issues, deciding that the CPUC had jurisdiction to create the holding company system and, therefore, retains jurisdiction to enforce conditions to which the holding companies had agreed. The company's request for rehearing on the issues was denied by the CPUC and the company subsequently filed appeals in the California Court of Appeal. Oral argument was held on March 5, 2004 before the First District Court of Appeal and a written opinion from the Court is expected by June 2004.

#### RECOVERY OF CERTAIN DISALLOWED TRANSMISSION COSTS

In August 2002 the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) issued Opinion No. 458, which effectively disallowed SDG&E's recovery of the differentials between certain payments to SDG&E by its co-owners of the Southwest Powerlink (SWPL) under the Participation Agreements and charges assessed to SDG&E under the California Independent System Operator (ISO) FERC tariff for transmission line losses and grid management charges related to energy schedules of Arizona Public Service Co. (APS) and the Imperial Irrigation District (IID), its SWPL co-owners. As a result, SDG&E is incurring unreimbursed costs of \$4 million to \$8 million per year. On November 17, 2003, SDG&E petitioned the United States Court of Appeals for review of this FERC order and argued that the disallowed costs should be allowed for recovery through the Transmission Revenue Balancing Account Adjustment. On February 12, 2004, on the FERC's motion, the court remanded the case back to the FERC for further consideration, "based on the FERC's representation that it intends to act expeditiously on remand." The FERC has not yet issued further orders in this matter.

On July 6, 2001, in a separate matter related to ISO charges giving rise to most of the cost differentials described above, SDG&E filed an arbitration claim against the ISO, claiming the ISO should not charge SDG&E for the transmission losses attributable to energy schedules on the APS and the IID shares of the SWPL. On October 23, 2003, the independent arbitrator found in SDG&E's favor, awarding to SDG&E all amounts claimed, which totaled \$22 million, including interest, as of the time of the award. The ISO appealed this result to the FERC and a FERC decision is expected in 2004. SDG&E has also commenced a private arbitration to reform the Participation Agreements to remove prospectively SDG&E's obligation to provide services giving rise to unreimbursed ISO tariff charges. On April 6, 2004, the ISO filed its reply brief to SDG&E's brief and the matter was submitted to the FERC. In addition, APS, IID and Edison filed briefs in support of SDG&E's arbitration award.

In addition, on January 23, 2004, the FERC denied rehearing of its Opinion No. 463, which upheld the ISO's grid management charges billed to SDG&E for the APS and IID SWPL energy schedules. This rehearing order did require the ISO to refund amounts of such charges covered by SDG&E self-supply of imbalance energy. Pursuant to this order, the ISO issued its refund report on February 23, 2004, calculating the refunds due SDG&E at \$320,000. On March 15, 2004, SDG&E protested the ISO's refund report, claiming refunds of \$3.3 million, before interest. A FERC decision on the refunds is expected later in 2004. In addition, on March 22, 2004, SDG&E petitioned the United States Court of Appeals for

review of these FERC orders and will argue that the ISO lacks authority under its tariff to assess grid management charges on the subject SWPL schedules. The court has not yet scheduled briefing or argument in this matter.

#### FERC ACTIONS

#### Refund Proceedings

The FERC is investigating prices charged to buyers in the California Power Exchange (PX) and ISO markets by various electric suppliers. The FERC is seeking to determine the extent to which individual sellers have yet to be paid for power supplied during the period of October 2, 2000 through June 20, 2001 and to estimate the amounts by which individual buyers and sellers paid and were paid in excess of competitive market prices. Based on these estimates, the FERC could find that individual net buyers, such as SDG&E, are entitled to refunds and individual net sellers are required to provide refunds. To the extent any such refunds are actually realized by SDG&E, they would reduce SDG&E's rate-ceiling balancing account.

In December 2002, a FERC ALJ issued preliminary findings indicating that the California PX and ISO owe power suppliers \$1.2 billion (the \$3.0 billion that the California PX and ISO still owe energy companies less \$1.8 billion that the energy companies charged California customers in excess of the preliminarily determined competitive market clearing prices). On March 26, 2003, the FERC largely adopted the ALJ's findings, but expanded the basis for refunds by adopting a staff recommendation from a separate investigation to change the natural gas proxy component of the mitigated market clearing price that is used to calculate refunds. The March 26 order estimates that the replacement formula for estimating natural gas prices will increase the refund obligations from \$1.8 billion to more than \$3 billion. The FERC recently released additional instructions, and ordered the ISO and PX to recalculate the precise number through their settlement models. California is seeking \$8.9 billion in refunds from its electricity suppliers and has appealed the FERC's preliminary findings and requested rehearing of the March 26 order. In March 2004, the Attorney General of California requested the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals to compel the FERC to comply with the Court's earlier orders, contending that the FERC had violated an August 2002 court order that should have resulted in larger refunds to California and that the FERC had failed to properly weigh evidence of market manipulation by power companies when deciding the refunds due California ratepayers.

#### Manipulation Investigation

The FERC is also investigating whether there was manipulation of short-term energy markets in the West that would constitute violations of applicable tariffs and warrant disgorgement of associated profits. In this proceeding, the FERC's authority is not confined to the October 2, 2000 through June 20, 2001 period relevant to the refund proceeding. In May 2002, the FERC ordered all energy companies engaged in electric energy trading activities to state whether they had engaged in various specific trading activities in violation of the PX and ISO tariffs (generally described as manipulating or "gaming" the California energy markets).

On June 25, 2003, the FERC issued several orders requiring various entities to show cause why they should not be found to have violated California ISO and PX tariffs. FERC directed 43 entities, including SDG&E, to show cause why they should not disgorge profits from certain transactions between January 1, 2000 and June 20, 2001 that are asserted to have constituted gaming and/or anomalous market behavior under the California ISO and/or PX tariffs. SDG&E and the FERC resolved the matter by SDG&E's paying \$28 thousand into a FERC-established fund.

On June 25, 2003, the FERC also determined that it was appropriate to initiate an investigation into possible physical and economic withholding in the California ISO and PX markets. For the purpose of investigating economic withholding, the FERC used an initial screen of all bids exceeding \$250 per megawatt between May 1, 2000 and October 2, 2001. SDG&E has received data requests from the FERC staff and has provided responses. The FERC staff will prepare a report to the FERC, which will be the basis to decide whether additional proceedings are warranted. SDG&E believes that its bids and bidding procedures were consistent with ISO and PX tariffs and protocols and applicable FERC price caps. On August 1, 2003, the FERC staff issued an initial report that determined there was no need to further investigate particular entities for physical withholding of generation.

#### NOTE 6. CONTINGENCIES

#### NUCLEAR INSURANCE

SDG&E and the other owners of SONGS have insurance to respond to

nuclear liability claims related to SONGS. The insurance policy provides \$300 million in coverage, which is the maximum amount available. In addition to this primary financial protection, the Price-Anderson Act provides for up to \$10.5 billion of secondary financial protection if the liability loss exceeds the insurance limit. Should any of the licensed/commercial reactors in the United States experience a nuclear liability loss which exceeds the \$300 million insurance limit, all utilities owning nuclear reactors could be assessed under the Price-Anderson Act to provide the secondary financial protection. SDG&E and the other co-owners of SONGS could be assessed up to \$201 million under the Price-Anderson Act. SDG&E's share would be \$40 million unless a default was to occur by any other SONGS owner. In the event the secondary financial protection limit were insufficient to cover the liability loss, the Price-Anderson Act provides for Congress to enact further revenue-raising measures to pay claims. These measures could include an additional assessment on all licensed reactor operators.

SDG&E and the other owners of SONGS have \$2.75 billion of nuclear property, decontamination and debris removal insurance. The coverage also provides the SONGS owners up to \$490 million for outage expenses/replacement power incurred because of accidental property damage. This coverage is limited to \$3.5 million per week for the first 52 weeks, and \$2.8 million per week for up to 110 additional weeks. There is a deductible waiting period of 12 weeks prior to receiving indemnity payments. The insurance is provided through a mutual insurance company owned by utilities with nuclear facilities. Under the policy's risk sharing arrangements, insured members are subject to retrospective premium assessments if losses at any covered facility exceed the insurance company's surplus and reinsurance funds. Should there be a retrospective premium call, SDG&E could be assessed up to \$8.5 million.

Both the nuclear liability and property insurance programs subscribed to by members of the nuclear power generating industry include industry aggregate limits for non-certified acts, as defined by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act, of terrorism-related SONGS losses, including replacement power costs. An industry aggregate limit of \$300 million exists for liability claims, regardless of the number of non-certified acts affecting SONGS or any other nuclear energy liability policy or the number of policies in place. An industry aggregate limit of \$3.24 billion exists for property claims, including replacement power costs, for non-certified acts of terrorism affecting SONGS or any other nuclear energy facility property policy within twelve months from the date of the first act. These limits are the maximum amount to be paid to members who sustain losses or damages from these non-certified terrorist acts.

#### LITIGATION

Except for the matters referred to below, neither the company nor its subsidiary are party to, nor is their property the subject of, any material pending legal proceedings other than routine litigation incidental to their businesses. Management believes that none of these matters will have further material adverse effect on the company's financial condition or results of operations.

#### Antitrust Litigation

Class-action and individual lawsuits filed in 2000 and currently consolidated in San Diego Superior Court seek damages, alleging that Sempra Energy, SoCalGas and SDG&E, along with El Paso Energy Corp. (El Paso) and several of its affiliates, unlawfully sought to control natural gas and electricity markets. In March 2003, plaintiffs in these cases and the applicable El Paso entities (whose cases involved additional issues not applicable to Sempra Energy, SoCalGas or SDG&E) announced that they had reached a \$1.5 billion settlement, of which \$125 million is allocated to customers of the California Utilities. The Court approved that settlement in December 2003. The proceeding against Sempra Energy and the California Utilities has not been settled, is currently in discovery and continues to be litigated.

Natural Gas Cases: Similar lawsuits have been filed by the Attorneys General of Arizona and Nevada, alleging that El Paso and certain Sempra Energy subsidiaries unlawfully sought to control the natural gas market in their respective states. In October 2003, the Nevada state court denied defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint. On April 12, 2004, the Sempra Energy defendants filed a motion for reconsideration. In April 2003, Sierra Pacific Resources and its utility subsidiary Nevada Power filed a lawsuit in U.S. District Court in Las Vegas against major natural gas suppliers, including Sempra Energy, the California Utilities and other Sempra Energy subsidiaries, seeking damages resulting from an alleged conspiracy to drive up or control natural gas prices, eliminate competition and increase market volatility, breach of contract and wire fraud. On January 27, 2004, the U.S. District Court dismissed the Sierra Pacific Resources case against all of the

defendants, determining that this is a matter for the FERC. Plaintiffs have asked the court to reconsider its decision.

Electricity Cases: Various lawsuits, which seek class-action certification, allege that Sempra Energy and certain subsidiaries, including SDG&E, unlawfully manipulated the electric-energy market. In January 2003, the applicable federal court granted a motion to dismiss a similar lawsuit on the grounds that the claims contained in the complaint were subject to the Filed Rate Doctrine and were preempted by the Federal Power Act. That ruling has been appealed in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Oral argument has not yet been scheduled by the Court. SDG&E and two other subsidiaries of Sempra Energy, along with all other sellers in the western power market, have been named defendants in a complaint filed at the FERC by the California Attorney General's office seeking refunds for electricity purchases based on alleged violations of FERC tariffs. The FERC has dismissed the complaint. The California Attorney General has filed an appeal in the Ninth Circuit of Appeals. The matter was argued before the Ninth Circuit Court in October 2003. No decision has yet been rendered.

#### 0ther

On August 21, 2003, the CPUC denied a rehearing requested by opponents of its December 2002 decision that had approved a settlement with SDG&E allocating between SDG&E customers and shareholders the profits from intermediate-term purchase power contracts that SDG&E had entered into during the early stages of California's electric utility industry restructuring. As previously reported, the settlement provided \$199 million of these profits to customers, by reductions to balancing account undercollections in prior years. The settlement provided the remaining \$173 million of profits to SDG&E shareholders, of which \$57 million had been recognized for financial reporting purposes in prior years. As a result of the decision, SDG&E recognized additional aftertax income of \$65 million in the third quarter of 2003. The Utility Consumers' Action Network, a consumer-advocacy group which had requested the CPUC rehearing, appealed the decision to the California Court of Appeals and the court agreed to hear the case. Oral argument has not yet been scheduled by the Court. The company expects that the Court of Appeals will affirm the CPUC's decision.

ITEM 2.

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements contained in this Form 10-Q and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" contained in the Annual Report.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Electric revenues decreased to \$385 million in 2004 from \$397 million in 2003, and the cost of electric fuel and purchased power decreased to \$127 million in 2004 from \$163 million in 2003. These changes were mainly due to decreases in electric commodity costs partially offset by higher volumes. Under the current regulatory framework, changes in commodity costs normally do not affect net income. During 2004 and 2003, revenues and costs associated with long-term contracts allocated to SDG&E from the DWR were not included in the income statement, since the DWR retains legal and financial responsibility for these contracts.

Natural gas revenues increased \$195 million in 2004 from \$165 million in 2003, and the cost of natural gas distributed increased to \$109 million in 2004 from \$85 million in 2003. These changes were primarily attributable to natural gas cost increases, which are passed on to customers.

Under the current regulatory framework, the cost of natural gas purchased for customers and the variations in that cost are passed through to the customers on a substantially concurrent basis. However, SDG&E's natural gas procurement Performance-Based Regulation (PBR) mechanism provides an incentive mechanism by measuring SDG&E's procurement of natural gas against a benchmark price comprised of monthly natural gas indices, resulting in shareholder rewards for costs achieved below the benchmark and shareholder penalties when costs exceed the benchmark.

The tables below summarize the electric and natural gas volumes and revenues by customer class for the quarters ended March 31, 2004 and 2003.

Electric Distribution and Transmission (Volumes in millions of kWhs, dollars in millions) 2004 2003 ----------- Volumes Revenue Volumes Revenue ------------Residential 1,813 \$ <del>183 1,672</del> <del>\$ 184</del> **Commercial** <del>1,512 138</del> 1,454 150 **Industrial** 467 30 437 35 Direct access 729 <del>21 806 18</del> Street and <del>highway</del> <del>lighting</del> 23 3 23 2 Off system sales <del>23 1</del> 4,544 375 4,415 390 **Balancing** accounts and other <del>10 7</del> Total \$ 385 \$ 397

Although commodity-related revenues from the DWR's allocated contracts are not included in revenue, the associated volumes and distribution revenue are included herein.

```
Transportation
Gas Sales &
Exchange
Total -----

Volumes
Revenue
Volumes
Revenue
Volumes
Revenue
Volumes
Revenue
Volumes
Revenue
Volumes
Revenue
Volumes
```

(Volumes in billion cubic feet, dollars in millions)

Gas Sales, Transportation and Exchange

\_\_\_\_\_

<del>2004:</del> Residential 13 \$ 128 13 \$ 128 **Commercial** and industrial 5 43 1 1 6 44 **Electric** generation plants 15 7 15 7 18 \$ 171 16 \$ 8 179 Ralancing accounts and other 16 Total \$ 2003: Residential <del>11 \$ 100</del> <del>11 \$ 100</del> Commercial and industrial 6 37 1 1 7 38 Electric generation plants <del>17 7 17 7</del> 137 18 \$ 8 145 Balancing accounts and other 20 Total 165

Other operating expenses increased to \$140 million in 2004 from \$126 million in 2003 due to higher labor and employee benefit costs and nuclear refueling costs at SONGS.

Net income for SDG&E increased to \$51 million in 2004 compared to \$47 million in 2003, primarily due to higher transmission and distribution revenue offset partially by higher operating costs and the absence of the 2003 Incremental Cost Incentive Pricing for SONGS and performance-based regulation gains.

#### CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

The company's operations are the major source of liquidity. In addition, working capital requirements can be met through the issuance of short-term and long-term debt. Cash requirements primarily consist of capital expenditures for utility plant.

At March 31, 2004, the company had \$71 million in cash and \$300 million in available unused, committed lines of credit.

Management believes that cash flows from operations and debt issuances will be adequate to finance capital expenditure requirements and other commitments. Management continues to regularly monitor the company's

ability to finance the needs of its operating, financing and investing activities in a manner consistent with its intention to maintain strong, investment-quality credit ratings.

#### CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$141 million and \$106 million for the quarters ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The increase was mainly due to lower accounts receivable in 2004 and higher tax payments in 2003, partially offset by lower accounts payable in 2004.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2004, the company made no pension plan contributions for the 2004 plan year.

#### CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities totaled \$(71) million and \$46 million for the quarters ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The change was primarily due to the repayment of \$138 million of an intercompany loan by Sempra Energy in 2003.

Significant capital expenditures in 2004 are expected to be for additions to the company's natural gas and electric distribution systems. These expenditures are expected to be financed by cash flows from operations and security issuances.

In connection with the importation of additional sources of natural gas into Southern California, for which the California Utilities have made filings with the CPUC, the California Utilities could incur capital expenditures estimated at \$200 million in order to connect with new delivery locations. The expenditures would be included in utility rate bases.

In addition to its normal capital expenditures related to its distribution and transmission systems and its share of the additional \$200 million referred to above, SDG&E expects to be making significant capital expenditures for the proposed generation resources referred to in Note 5 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Net cash used in financing activities totaled \$147 million and \$70 million for the quarters ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The change was due to higher dividends paid to Sempra Energy in 2004.

#### FACTORS INFLUENCING FUTURE PERFORMANCE

Performance of the company will depend primarily on the ratemaking and regulatory process, electric and natural gas industry restructuring, and the changing energy marketplace. These matters, including the pending cost of service filings and the CPUC's investigation of compliance with affiliate rules are discussed in the Annual Report and in Note 5 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND KEY NON-CASH PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

There have been no significant changes to the accounting policies viewed by management as critical or key non-cash performance indicators for the company's subsidiaries, as set forth in the Annual Report.

#### NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Relevant pronouncements that have recently become effective and have had a significant effect on the company are SFAS Nos. 143, 149 and 150, as discussed in Note 2 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Pronouncements that have or are likely to have a material effect on future earnings are described below.

SFAS 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations": SFAS 143 requires entities to record the fair value of liabilities for legal obligations related to asset retirements in the period in which they are incurred. It also requires the company to reclassify amounts recovered in rates for future removal costs not covered by a legal obligation from accumulated depreciation to a regulatory liability. Further discussion is provided in Note 2 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

SFAS 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities": SFAS 149 amends and clarifies accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS 133. Under SFAS 149 natural gas forward contracts that are subject to unplanned netting do not qualify for the normal purchases and normal sales exception. The company has determined that all natural gas contracts are subject to unplanned netting and as such, these contracts

will be marked to market. In addition, effective January 1, 2004, power contracts that are subject to unplanned netting and that do not meet the normal purchases and normal sales exception under SFAS 149 will be further marked to market. Implementation of SFAS 149 on July 1, 2003 did not have a material impact on reported net income.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There have been no significant changes in the risk issues affecting the company subsequent to those discussed in the Annual Report.

As of March 31, 2004, the total Value at Risk of SDG&E's positions was not material.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The company has designed and maintains disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the company's reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission and is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating these controls and procedures, management recognizes that any system of controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired objectives and necessarily applies judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of other possible controls and procedures.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, the company as of March 31, 2004 has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the controls and procedures are effective.

There have been no significant changes in the internal controls over financial reporting or in other factors that could significantly affect the internal controls subsequent to the date the company completed its evaluation.

#### ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Effective May 1, 2004, Debra L. Reed, President of SoCalGas and SDG&E, also will become their Chief Operating Officer. Simultaneously, Steven D. Davis, who remains Senior Vice President, External Relations, will succeed her as Chief Financial Officer.

#### PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

SDG&E has been advised by the County of San Diego that the county is considering initiating legal proceedings against SDG&E relating to alleged environmental law violations by SDG&E and its contractors in connection with the abatement of asbestos-containing materials during the demolition of a natural gas storage facility that was completed in 2001. SDG&E disputes the county's allegations and believes that the abatement of these materials was properly managed. The county has indicated a willingness to settle this matter for less than \$1 million.

Except as described above and in Notes 5 and 6 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, neither the company nor its subsidiary is party to, nor is their property the subject of, any material pending legal proceedings other than routine litigation incidental to their businesses.

#### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

#### (a) Exhibits

Exhibit 12 - Computation of ratios

12.1 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends.

Exhibit 31 -- Section 302 Certifications

- 31.1 Statement of Registrant's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Statement of Registrant's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Exhibit 32 -- Section 906 Certifications

- 32.1 Statement of Registrant's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350.
- 32.2 Statement of Registrant's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350.

#### (b) Reports on Form 8-K

The following report on Form 8-K was filed after December 31, 2003:

Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 24, 2004, filing as an exhibit Sempra Energy's press release of February 24, 2004, giving the financial results for the quarter ended December 31, 2003.

Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 29, 2004, filing as an exhibit Sempra Energy's press release of April 29, 2004, giving the financial results for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.

#### SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (Registrant)

Date: April 29, 2004 By: /s/ D.L. Reed

D L Dood

D.L. Reed President and Chief Financial Officer

# EXHIBIT 12.1 SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO COMBINED FIXED CHARGES AND PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS (Dollars in millions)

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	Quarter ended March 31, 2004
Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends:						
Interest	\$ 131	\$ 119	\$ 96	\$ 83	\$ 78	\$ 18
Interest portion of annual rentals	5	3	3	4	3	1
Total fixed charges	136	122	99	87	81	19
Preferred stock dividends (1)	10	13	11	9	9	2
Combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends for purpose of ratio	\$ 146	\$ 135	\$ 110	\$ 96	\$ 90	\$ 21
Earnings:						
Pretax income from continuing operations	\$ 325	\$ 295	\$ 324	\$ 300	\$ 488	\$ 97
Total fixed charges (from above)	136	122	99	87	81	19
Less: interest capitalized	1	3	1	1	1	-
Total earnings for purpose of ratio	\$ 460	\$ 414	\$ 422	\$ 386	\$ 568	\$ 116
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends	3.15	3.07	3.84	4.02	6.31	5.52

<sup>(1)</sup> In computing this ratio, "Preferred stock dividends" represents the before-tax earnings necessary to pay such dividends, computed at the effective tax rates for the applicable periods

#### CERTIFICATION

- I, Edwin A. Guiles, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of San Diego Gas & Electric Company;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this Quarterly Report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this Quarterly Report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this Quarterly Report fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this Quarterly Report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:
- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this Quarterly Report is being prepared;
- b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this Quarterly Report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report, based on such evaluation; and
- d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

April 29, 2004

/S/ EDWIN A. GUILES Edwin A. Guiles Chief Executive Officer

#### CERTIFICATION

- I, Debra L. Reed, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of San Diego Gas & Electric Company;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this Quarterly Report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this Quarterly Report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this Quarterly Report fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this Quarterly Report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:
- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this Quarterly Report is being prepared;
- b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this Quarterly Report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report, based on such evaluation; and
- d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

April 29, 2004

/S/ DEBRA L. REED Debra L. Reed Chief Financial Officer Statement of Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned Chief Executive Officer of San Diego Gas & Electric (the "Company") certifies that:

- (i) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2004 (the "Quarterly Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (ii) the information contained in the Quarterly Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

April 29, 2004

/S/ EDWIN A. GUILES

Edwin A. Guiles Chief Executive Officer Statement of Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned Chief Financial Officer of San Diego Gas & Electric (the "Company") certifies that:

- (i) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2004 (the "Quarterly Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (ii) the information contained in the Quarterly Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

April 29, 2004

/S/ DEBRA L. REED

Debra L. Reed Chief Financial Officer