

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2005

Commission file number 1-3779

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California 95-1184800

(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

8330 Century Park Court, San Diego, California 92123

(Address of principal executive offices)
(Zip Code)

(619) 696-2000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports
required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange
Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period
that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has
been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes X No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer
(as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No X

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's
classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common stock outstanding: Wholly owned by Enova Corporation

2

INFORMATION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report contains statements that are not historical fact
and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the
Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The words
"estimates," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "plans," "intends,"
"may," "could," "would" and "should" or similar expressions, or
discussions of strategy or of plans are intended to identify forward-
looking statements. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of
performance. They involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Future
results may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-
looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon various
assumptions involving judgments with respect to the future and other
risks, including, among others, local, regional and national economic,
competitive, political, legislative and regulatory conditions and
developments; actions by the California Public Utilities Commission,
the California State Legislature, the California Department of Water
Resources, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and other
regulatory bodies in the United States; capital markets conditions,
inflation rates, interest rates and exchange rates; energy and trading
markets, including the timing and extent of changes in commodity
prices; the availability of natural gas; weather conditions and
conservation efforts; war and terrorist attacks; business, regulatory,
environmental and legal decisions and requirements; the status of
deregulation of retail natural gas and electricity delivery; the timing
and success of business development efforts; the outcome of litigation;
and other uncertainties, all of which are difficult to predict and many
of which are beyond the control of the company. Readers are cautioned
not to rely unduly on any forward-looking statements and are urged to
review and consider carefully the risks, uncertainties and other
factors which affect the company's business described in this report
and other reports filed by the company from time to time with the
Securities and Exchange Commission.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
 ITEM 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY
 STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME
 (Dollars in millions)

Three months ended March 31, -----	-----
2005 2004 -	-----
---	---
Operating revenues	
Electric \$ 298 \$ 385	
Natural gas 223 195	
<u>-----</u>	<u>-----</u>
Total operating revenues	
621 580	
<u>-----</u>	<u>-----</u>
Operating expenses	
Cost of electric fuel and purchased power 145	
127 Cost of natural gas 139 109	
Other operating expenses 145 140	
Depreciation and amortization 65 68	
Income taxes 27 45	
Franchise fees and other taxes 32 29	
<u>-----</u>	<u>-----</u>
Total operating expenses	
553 518	
<u>-----</u>	<u>-----</u>
Operating income 68	
62	
<u>-----</u>	<u>-----</u>
Other income and (deductions)	
Interest income 5 5	
Regulatory interest, net (2) (1)	
Allowance for equity funds used during construction 2 2	
Income taxes on non-operating income (1) Other, net 3 1	
<u>-----</u>	<u>-----</u>
Total 8 6	
<u>-----</u>	<u>-----</u>
Interest charges	
Long term debt 14 16	
Other 3 2	
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction (1) (1)	
<u>-----</u>	<u>-----</u>
Total 16	

17 ~~-----~~
~~-----~~ Net

income 60

51

Preferred
dividend
requirements

~~1 1~~
~~-----~~

Earnings
applicable
to common
shares \$ 59
\$ 50
=====

===== See
notes to
Consolidated
Financial
Statements.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY
 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 (Dollars in millions)

March 31,
 December 31,
 2005 2004 -----

----- ASSETS
 Utility plant,
 at original
 cost \$ 6,473 \$
 6,345
 Accumulated
 depreciation
 and
 amortization
 (1,841) (1,821)

Utility plant,
 net 4,632 4,524

Nuclear
 decommissioning
 trusts 613 612

Current assets:

Cash and cash
 equivalents 8 9

Accounts
 receivable—
 trade 175 185

Accounts
 receivable—
 other 27 30

Interest
 receivable 10
 55 Due from

unconsolidated
 affiliates —

30 Regulatory
 assets arising
 from fixed-

price contracts
 and other
 derivatives 53
 55 Other

regulatory
 assets 77 77

Inventories 46
 88 Other 24 31

Total current
 assets 420 560

Other assets:
 Deferred taxes
 recoverable in
 rates 270 278

Regulatory
 assets arising
 from fixed-

price contracts
 and other
 derivatives 438
 448 Other

regulatory
 assets 322 341

Sundry 77 71

Total other
 assets 1,116
 1,138

Total
 assets \$ 6,781
 \$ 6,834 =====

===== See
 notes to
 Consolidated
 Financial
 Statements.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY
 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 (Dollars in millions)

March 31,
 December 31,
 2005 2004 -----

~~CAPITALIZATION
 AND LIABILITIES~~

~~Capitalization:~~

~~Common stock
 (255 million
 shares
 authorized; 117
 million shares
 outstanding) \$
 938 \$ 938
 Retained
 earnings 356
 372 Accumulated
 other
 comprehensive
 income (loss)
 (12) (13)~~

~~Total common
 equity 1,282
 1,297 Preferred
 stock not
 subject to
 mandatory
 redemption 79
 79~~

~~Total
 shareholders'
 equity 1,361
 1,376 Long term
 debt 1,005
 1,022~~

~~Total
 capitalization
 2,366 2,398~~

~~Current
 liabilities:~~

~~Short term debt
 67 Accounts
 payable 142 200
 Interest
 payable 10 9
 Due to
 unconsolidated
 affiliates 12
 15 Income taxes
 payable 159 225
 Deferred income
 taxes 14 15
 Regulatory
 balancing
 accounts, net
 339 331 Fixed-
 price contracts
 and other
 derivatives 53
 55 Current
 portion of
 long term debt
 66 66 Other 279
 292~~

~~Total
 current
 liabilities
 1,141 1,208~~

~~Deferred
 credits and
 other
 liabilities:~~

~~Due to
 unconsolidated
 affiliate 317
 267 Customer
 advances for
 construction 41
 45 Deferred
 income taxes
 526 522
 Deferred
 investment tax
 credits 36 37
 Regulatory
 liabilities
 arising from
 cost of removal
 obligations 926
 913 Regulatory
 liabilities~~

arising from
asset
retirement
obligations 330
~~333 Fixed price
contracts and
other
derivatives 438
448 Asset
retirement
obligations 322~~
~~318 Mandatorily
redeemable
preferred
securities 18
19 Deferred
credits and
other 320 326~~

Total deferred
credits and
other
liabilities
3,274 3,228

Commitments and
contingencies
(Note 6) Total
liabilities and
shareholders'
equity \$ 6,781
\$ 6,834 =====

===== See
notes to
Consolidated
Financial
Statements.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY
 CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS
 (Dollars in millions)

	Three months ended March 31, ----- ----- 2005 2004 - -----
--- CASH	
FLOWS FROM	
OPERATING	
ACTIVITIES	
Net income	\$ 60 \$ 51
Adjustments	
to	
reconcile	
net income	
to net cash	
provided by	
operating	
activities:	
Depreciation	
and	
amortization	
65 68	
Deferred	
income	
taxes and	
investment	
tax credits	
(2) 6	
Non-	
cash rate	
reduction	
bond	
expense 18	
19	
Other,	
net (4)	
Net change	
in other	
working	
capital	
components	
(0) 8	
Changes in	
other	
assets 5	
Changes in	
other	
liabilities	
(5) (16)	
Net cash	
provided by	
operating	
activities	
123 141	
GASH FLOWS	
FROM	
INVESTING	
ACTIVITIES	
Expenditures	
for	
property,	
plant and	
equipment	
(04) (60)	
Other, net	
(1) (2)	
Net cash	
used in	
investing	
activities	
(05) (71)	
GASH FLOWS	
FROM	
FINANCING	
ACTIVITIES	
Common	
dividends	
paid (75)	
(126)	
Preferred	
dividends	
paid (1)	
(1)	
Payments on	
long term	
debt (17)	
(17)	
Increase in	
short term	
debt 67	

Redemptions
of
preferred
stock (3)
(3)
Net
cash used
in
financing
activities
(29) (147)

Decrease in
cash and
cash
equivalents
(1) (77)

Cash and
cash
equivalents,
January 1 9
148

Cash
and cash
equivalents,
March 31 \$
8 \$ 71

=====

SUPPLEMENTAL
DISCLOSURE
OF CASH
FLOW
INFORMATION

Interest
payments,
net of
amounts
capitalized
\$ 14 \$ 15

=====

Income tax
payments
(refunds),
net \$ 42 \$
(2) =====

===== See
notes to
Consolidated
Financial
Statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. GENERAL

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is that of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E or the company). SDG&E's common stock is wholly owned by Enova Corporation, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Sempra Energy, a California-based Fortune 500 holding company. The financial statements herein are the Consolidated Financial Statements of SDG&E and its sole subsidiary, SDG&E Funding LLC.

Sempra Energy also indirectly owns all of the common stock of Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas). SDG&E and SoCalGas are collectively referred to herein as the California Utilities.

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with the interim-period-reporting requirements of Form 10-Q. Results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the entire year. In the opinion of management, the accompanying statements reflect all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation. These adjustments are only of a normal recurring nature.

Information in this Quarterly Report is unaudited and should be read in conjunction with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 (the Annual Report).

The company's significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report. The same accounting policies are followed for interim reporting purposes.

SDG&E accounts for the economic effects of regulation on utility operations in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 71, Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation.

In accordance with SFAS 132 (revised), Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits, the following table provides the components of benefit costs for the quarters ended March 31:

Other Pension
Benefits
Postretirement
Benefits ----

- (Dollars in
millions)
2005 2004
2005 2004 - -

Service cost	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$
Interest cost	11	10	1	
Expected return on assets	(11)	(10)	(1)	
Amortization of prior service cost	1	1		
Regulatory adjustment	(2)	1		
<hr/>				
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1	\$ 4	\$ 2	\$

Note 6 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report discusses the company's expected contribution to its pension and other postretirement benefit plans in 2005. For the quarter ended March 31, 2005, the company made pension and other postretirement benefit plan contributions of \$1 million each.

Changes in asset-retirement obligations, as defined in SFAS 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, for the quarters ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 are as follows (dollars in millions):

	2005	2004

Balance as of January 1	\$ 339*	\$ 326*
Accretion expense	6	6
Payments	(2)	(3)

Balance as of March 31	\$ 343*	\$ 329*

* The current portion of the obligation is included in Other Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

At March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, the estimated removal costs recorded as a regulatory liability were \$926 million and \$913 million, respectively.

NOTE 2. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Stock-Based Compensation: In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS 123 (revised), a revision of SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, which establishes the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services received. This statement requires companies to measure and record the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award and gives companies three alternative transition methods. Sempra Energy has not determined the transition method it will use. The effective date of this statement is January 1, 2006 for Sempra Energy.

FASB Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51" (FIN 46): Contracts under which SDG&E acquires power from generation facilities otherwise unrelated to SDG&E could result in a requirement for SDG&E to consolidate the entity that owns the facility. As permitted by the interpretation, SDG&E is continuing the process of determining whether it has any such situations and, if so, gathering the information that would be needed to perform the consolidation. The effects of this, if any, are not expected to significantly affect the financial position of SDG&E and there would be no effect on results of operations or liquidity.

FIN 47, "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143": Issued in March 2005, FIN 47 clarifies that the term conditional asset-retirement obligation as used in SFAS 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset-retirement activity in which the

timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. FIN 47 requires companies to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional asset-retirement obligation if the fair value of the obligation can be reasonably estimated. FIN 47 is effective for the company's 2005 annual report. The company has not determined the effect of FIN 47 on its financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 3. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following is a reconciliation of net income to comprehensive income.

(Dollars in millions)	Quarters ended March 31,	
	2005	2004
Net income	\$ 60	\$ 51
Financial instruments, net of income tax of \$1 (Note 4)	1	--
Comprehensive income	\$ 61	\$ 51

NOTE 4. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

In accordance with SFAS 133 and related amendments SFAS 138 and 149 (collectively SFAS 133), derivative instruments and related hedges are recognized as assets or liabilities on the balance sheet (measured at fair value) and changes in their fair values are recognized in earnings unless the derivative qualifies as an accounting hedge.

SFAS 133 provides for hedge accounting treatment when certain criteria are met. For derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges, the gain or loss is recognized in earnings in the period of change together with the offsetting gain or loss on the item to which the risk being hedged is related; therefore, there is no effect on net income.

For derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the derivative gain or loss is included in other comprehensive income, but not in net income until the corresponding hedged transaction is similarly reflected. Any ineffective portion is reported in earnings immediately. For the quarter ended March 31, 2005, pre-tax income arising from the ineffective portion of interest-rate swaps included \$4 million recorded in Other Income and Deductions on the Statements of Consolidated Income. There was no effect on net income for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.

The effect of cash flow hedges on other comprehensive income (loss) for the quarter ended March 31, 2005 was \$1 million, and for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 there was no effect on other comprehensive income.

The balance in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) at March 31, 2005 related to cash flow hedges was \$1 million.

The company utilizes natural gas and energy derivatives to manage commodity price risk associated with servicing its load requirements. These contracts allow the company to predict with greater certainty the effective prices to be received by the company and the prices to be charged to its customers. The use of derivative financial instruments is subject to certain limitations imposed by company policy and regulatory requirements.

The company classifies its forward contracts as follows:

Contracts that meet the definition of normal purchases and sales, i.e., those that rarely settle by means other than physical delivery of the commodities involved in the transaction, are eligible for the normal purchases and sales exception of SFAS 133, whereby they are accounted for under accrual accounting and recorded in Revenues or Cost of Sales on the Statements of Consolidated Income at the time of delivery.

Electric and Natural Gas Purchases and Sales:

The unrealized gains and losses related to forward contracts are offset by regulatory assets and liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets to the extent derivative gains and losses will be recoverable or payable in future rates. If gains and losses are not recoverable or payable through future rates, the company applies hedge accounting if certain criteria are met. When a contract no longer meets the hedging requirements of SFAS 133, the unrealized gains and losses and the related regulatory asset or liability will be amortized over the remaining contract life.

The transactions associated with fixed-price contracts and other derivatives had no material impact on the Statements of Consolidated Income for the quarters ended March 31, 2005 and 2004.

Market Risk

The company's policy is to use derivative physical and financial instruments to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and commodity prices. Transactions involving these instruments are with major exchanges and other firms believed to be credit-worthy. The use of these instruments exposes the company to market and credit risk, which may at times be concentrated with certain counterparties, although counterparty nonperformance is not anticipated.

Interest-Rate Risk Management

As described in Note 3 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report, the company periodically enters into interest-rate swap agreements to moderate its exposure to interest-rate changes and to lower the overall cost of borrowing.

Energy Contracts

SDG&E records transactions for natural gas and electric energy contracts in Cost of Natural Gas and Cost of Electric Fuel and Purchased Power, respectively, in the Statements of Consolidated Income. For open contracts not expected to result in physical delivery, changes in the market value of the contracts are recorded in these accounts during the period the contracts are open, with an offsetting entry to a regulatory asset or liability. The majority of the company's contracts result in physical delivery.

NOTE 5. REGULATORY MATTERS

COST OF SERVICE FILINGS

There has been no resolution of SDG&E's Petition for Modification or Application for Rehearing filed in December 2004 and January 2005, respectively, to increase revenues for each of 2004 and 2005 by \$10 million to correct what SDG&E believes was a computational error by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) concerning SDG&E's nuclear electric rate revenues.

In July 2004, the California Utilities filed with the CPUC a proposed settlement of Phase II of their cost of service proceedings, addressing attrition allowances and performance-based incentive mechanisms. On March 17, 2005, the CPUC approved the settlement and adopted related performance measures and incentives.

The CPUC's decision establishes an indexing methodology for post-test-year ratemaking which includes inflation adjustments and earnings-sharing mechanisms. The decision is retroactive to January 1, 2005 and is applicable to years 2005-2007. It eliminates earnings sharing that would otherwise have been applicable for 2004 and incentive awards for 2004.

For the years 2005-2007, the California Utilities' authorized base-rate revenues will be annually increased by the increase in the Consumer Price Index, subject to minimum and maximum percentage increases that vary with the particular utility and increase yearly. The annual minimum percentage increases range from 3.2% to 3.8% and the annual maximum percentage increases range from 4.2% to 4.8%. For these years, any utility base-rate earnings that exceed the CPUC-authorized rate of return on ratebase plus 0.5 percentage point will be shared with customers, in proportions that vary with the amount of the excess, beginning with customers' receiving 75% of the excess, declining to 25% as the excess increases. The decision authorizes either utility to file for a suspension of the indexing and sharing mechanisms if its base-rate earnings for any year are at least 1.75 percentage points below its authorized rate of return and authorizes others to file for a suspension if either utility's base-rate earnings for any year are at least 1.75 percentage points above its authorized rate of return. The mechanisms will be automatically suspended for either utility if its base-rate earnings for either 2005 or 2006 are at least 3 percentage points above or below its authorized rate of return.

The decision also establishes formula-based performance measures for customer service, safety and reliability. These provide symmetrical

annual reward and penalty potentials aggregating approximately \$14 million.

With the end of the Incremental Cost Incentive Mechanism in 2003, SDG&E's San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) ratebase restarted at \$0 on January 1, 2004 and, therefore, SDG&E's earnings from SONGS are now generally limited to a return on new capital additions.

Southern California Edison (Edison), the operator of SONGS, has applied for CPUC approval to replace SONGS' steam generators, which Edison stated would require an estimated capital expenditure of \$782 million. Hearings before the CPUC on Edison's application were completed on February 11, 2005 and a final decision addressing the cost effectiveness of the steam generator project is expected during the second half of 2005. SDG&E had elected not to participate in the project. SDG&E nonparticipation would result in a reduction in its ownership in the project and a proportionate reduction in its share of SONGS' output. On February 18, 2005, an arbitrator issued a decision that, based upon Edison's cost calculations, would result in SDG&E's ownership interest in SONGS and its related share of SONGS' output being reduced to zero if SDG&E continues to decline to participate in the project. If this were to occur, SDG&E would seek to recover its investments in SONGS made since January 1, 2004 (\$35 million) and any future SONGS investments until the reduced ownership becomes effective, and its return on those investments. The arbitration decision is subject to CPUC review and approval, with a CPUC decision expected in 2006. The CPUC could require SDG&E to participate in the project or, if the reductions of SDG&E's ownership percentage resulting from the CPUC final decision were to be unacceptable, SDG&E may elect to participate.

UTILITY RATEMAKING INCENTIVE AWARDS

Performance-Based Regulation (PBR) and demand-side management (DSM) awards are not included in the company's earnings before CPUC approval of the award is received.

On December 30, 2004, a joint settlement agreement between the California Utilities and the CPUC's Office of Ratepayers Advocates (collectively, the joint parties) was filed with the CPUC for approval. The settlement agreement resolves all outstanding shareholder earnings claims filed with the CPUC commencing in 2000 associated with DSM, energy efficiency and low-income energy efficiency programs and those claims that would have been filed through 2007 (for SDG&E's DSM programs through 1997 and through various dates for the efficiency programs). The proposed settlement is for \$73 million (including interest, franchise fees, uncollectible amounts and awards earned in prior years that had not yet then been requested). Approximately \$40 million of the \$73 million, depending on the timing of the CPUC approval, would be included in 2005 income. The joint parties requested expeditious approval of the settlement agreement, without modification. A CPUC decision is expected in the second or third quarter of 2005.

Other performance incentives pending CPUC approval at March 31, 2005 and, therefore, not included in the company's earnings were (dollars in millions):

Program	
2003 Distribution PBR	\$ 8.2
Natural gas PBR Year 11	0.2
Total	\$ 8.4

The cumulative amount of awards subject to refund based on the outcome of the Border Price Investigation discussed in "Litigation" below is \$8.4 million, substantially all of which has been included in net income.

ELECTRIC RESOURCES

The California Department of Water Resources' (DWR) operating agreement with SDG&E, approved by the CPUC, provides that SDG&E is acting as a limited agent on behalf of the DWR in undertaking energy sales and natural gas procurement functions under the DWR contracts allocated to SDG&E's customers. Legal and financial responsibility associated with these activities continues to reside with the DWR. Therefore, the revenues and costs associated with the contracts are not included in the Statements of Consolidated Income.

In October 2003, the CPUC initiated a proceeding to consider a permanent methodology for allocating the DWR's revenue requirement beginning in 2004 through the remaining life of the DWR contracts (2013). On December 2, 2004, the CPUC issued a decision that would shift \$790 million of the costs to SDG&E's customers over that period and subsequently initiated consideration of other methods, one of which could increase that amount by an additional \$450 million. On December 20, 2004, SDG&E filed an application for rehearing of the December 2, 2004 decision, arguing that the CPUC reached its decision without the proper evidentiary review of the method of calculating above-market costs. On January 13, 2005, the CPUC acted to grant rehearing on that limited issue.

Such a shift would not affect SDG&E's net income, but would adversely affect its customers' commodity costs. In the near term, the effect on SDG&E's cash flows would be minor, but could become significant in later years unless rate ceilings imposed by Assembly Bill 1X, which froze total rates for most residential customers at the February 2001 level, are increased to provide more-contemporaneous recovery. Until January 1, 2016, CPUC Decision 04-12-048 provides SDG&E with a true-up triggering mechanism when an overcollection or undercollection in SDG&E's power procurement balancing account exceeds approximately five percent of the prior year's recorded electric commodity revenue.

SDG&E's long-term resource plan identifies the forecasted needs for capacity resources within its service territory to support transmission grid reliability. A 10-year resource plan was approved by the CPUC in December 2004, in a proceeding to consider utility resource planning, including energy efficiency, contracted power, demand response, qualifying facilities, renewable generation and distributed generation. Further discussion of this plan is included in the Annual Report. In December 2004, the CPUC also endorsed SDG&E's continued analysis and

planning for a 500-kV transmission line. SDG&E expects to file for a new transmission line by the end of 2005.

RECOVERY OF CERTAIN DISALLOWED TRANSMISSION COSTS

The Federal Court of Appeals has set oral argument for May 9, 2005 on SDG&E's appeal of a Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) decision that denied SDG&E recovery in its transmission rates of the differentials between certain payments to SDG&E by its co-owners of the Southwest Powerlink (SWPL) and charges assessed to SDG&E under the California Independent System Operator (ISO) FERC tariff. As a result of the FERC decision, SDG&E has been and is incurring unreimbursed costs of \$5 million to \$11 million per year, including \$2.7 million in the first quarter of 2005.

In July 2001, SDG&E filed an arbitration claim against the ISO, claiming the ISO should not charge SDG&E for the transmission losses attributable to its SWPL co-owners' energy schedules. In October 2003, the arbitrator awarded SDG&E all amounts claimed, which totaled \$22 million, including interest, as of the time of the award. The ISO appealed this result to the FERC and a decision on this appeal is pending.

SDG&E has also challenged at the FERC the ISO's grid management charges assessed on the subject SWPL schedules. In January 2004, the FERC denied rehearing of its Opinion No. 463, which upheld such charges on the subject SWPL schedules for 2001 through 2003, but ordered certain refunds to SDG&E. The refunds are pending before the FERC. In March 2004, SDG&E petitioned the U.S. Court of Appeals for review of these FERC orders. The court has held SDG&E's appeal in abeyance pending the FERC's disposition of other parties' rehearing requests.

SDG&E has also commenced a private arbitration to reform the SWPL Participation Agreements to remove prospectively SDG&E's obligation to provide to its SWPL co-owners the services that result in unreimbursed ISO tariff charges. The parties have agreed to hold the arbitration in abeyance pending resolution of the related FERC proceedings.

SDG&E and the ISO have entered into discussions in an attempt to settle this matter.

NATURAL GAS MARKET OIR

The CPUC's Natural Gas Market Order Instituting Rulemaking (OIR) was instituted in January 2004 and is being addressed in two phases. A decision on Phase I was issued in September 2004; Phase II has had a prehearing conference and is awaiting CPUC direction on further proceedings. Further discussion of Phase I and Phase II is included in the Annual Report.

In Phase I, the CPUC's objective was to develop a process enabling the CPUC to review and approve new interstate capacity contracts before they are executed. The Phase I decision directed SoCalGas and SDG&E to file an application to establish proposals for transmission system integration, firm access rights and off-system delivery services. In Phase II, the CPUC will investigate the need for emergency natural gas storage reserves and the role of utilities in backstopping the noncore

market, and address ratemaking policies. The focus of the OIR is the period from 2006 to 2016. Since Natural Gas Industry Restructuring (GIR), as discussed in the Annual Report, would end in August 2006 and there is overlap between GIR and the OIR issues, a number of parties (including SoCalGas) have requested the CPUC not to implement GIR.

CPUC INVESTIGATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH AFFILIATE RULES

In February 2003, the CPUC opened an investigation of the business activities of SDG&E, SoCalGas and Sempra Energy to determine if they have complied with statutes and CPUC decisions in the management, oversight and operations of their companies.

Beginning in November 2004, the CPUC initiated an independent audit to evaluate energy-related holding company systems and affiliate activities undertaken by Sempra Energy within the service territories of SDG&E and SoCalGas. A final audit report, covering years 1997 through 2003, is due on August 31, 2005. The scope of the audit will be broader than the annual affiliate audit.

On May 2, 2005, the California Utilities filed with the CPUC the results of the annual independent audit of the California Utilities' transactions with other Sempra Energy affiliates. In response to a finding of the auditor that utility procurement information was improperly provided to an affiliated risk management consulting firm employed by Sempra Energy, the California Utilities will adopt the auditor's recommendation to perform risk management functions themselves rather than utilizing Sempra Energy's Risk Management Department.

ELECTRIC METERS

In March 2005, SDG&E submitted a proposal to the CPUC for installing advanced electric meters with integrated two-way communications. This advanced metering infrastructure (AMI) has the capability to measure usage at frequent intervals, and would enable SDG&E to establish rates that vary by time, thus encouraging customers to conserve and to shift usage from time periods of high prices or capacity constraints. AMI could also result in efficiency improvements by eliminating the need for manual meter reading and by streamlining the handling of outages and routine services by providing SDG&E with real-time diagnostics of customers' electric usage. Installing AMI would require spending \$420 million over four years, including \$13 million in 2005 if CPUC approval is received on a timely basis. A CPUC decision is expected by the first quarter of 2006.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FIRES

The company had expected a decision on recovery of its costs during the first quarter of 2005. The assigned administrative law judge (ALJ) recently indicated that he now expects to issue a proposed decision during the second quarter of 2005.

NOTE 6. CONTINGENCIES

NUCLEAR INSURANCE

SDG&E and the other owners of SONGS have insurance to respond to nuclear liability claims related to SONGS. The insurance provides coverage of \$300 million, the maximum amount available. In addition, the Price-Anderson Act provides for up to \$10.5 billion of secondary financial protection. Should any of the licensed/commercial reactors in the United States experience a nuclear liability loss which exceeds the \$300 million insurance limit, all utilities owning nuclear reactors could be assessed to provide the secondary financial protection. SDG&E's total share would be \$40 million, subject to an annual maximum assessment of \$4 million, unless a default were to occur by any other SONGS owner. In the event the secondary financial protection limit were insufficient to cover the liability loss, SDG&E could be subject to an additional assessment.

SDG&E and the other owners of SONGS have \$2.75 billion of nuclear property, decontamination and debris removal insurance and up to \$490 million for outage expenses and replacement power costs incurred because of accidental property damage. This coverage is limited to \$3.5 million per week for the first 52 weeks and \$2.8 million per week for up to 110 additional weeks, after a waiting period of 12 weeks. The insurance is provided through a mutual insurance company, through which insured members are subject to retrospective premium assessments (up to \$8.8 million in SDG&E's case).

The nuclear liability and property insurance programs subscribed to by members of the nuclear power generating industry include industry aggregate limits for non-certified acts (as defined by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act) of terrorism-related SONGS losses, including replacement power costs. An industry aggregate limit of \$300 million exists for liability claims. An industry aggregate limit of \$3.24 billion exists for property claims, including replacement power costs, for non-certified acts of terrorism. These limits are the maximum amount to be paid to members who sustain losses or damages from these non-certified terrorist acts. For certified acts of terrorism, the individual policy limits stated above apply.

Further information is provided in the Annual Report.

LITIGATION

Except for the matters referred to below, neither the company nor its subsidiary are party to, nor is their property the subject of, any material pending legal proceedings other than routine litigation incidental to their businesses. Further background on these matters is provided in the Annual Report. At March 31, 2005, the company had accrued \$37 million to provide for the costs of legal proceedings related to the 2000-2001 California energy crisis. Management believes that none of these matters will have material adverse effect on the company's financial condition.

California Energy Crisis

Dramatic increases in the prices of electricity and natural gas in California during 2000 and 2001 have resulted in many, often duplicative, governmental investigations, regulatory proceedings and lawsuits involving numerous energy companies seeking recovery of tens of billions of dollars for allegedly unlawful activities asserted to have caused or contributed to increased energy prices. The material proceedings that involve the company are summarized below.

Natural Gas Cases

Class-action and individual antitrust and unfair competition lawsuits filed in 2000 and thereafter, and currently consolidated in San Diego Superior Court, allege that Sempra Energy, SoCalGas and SDG&E, along with El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso) and several of its affiliates, unlawfully sought to control natural gas and electricity markets. In December 2003, the Court approved a settlement whereby the applicable El Paso entities will pay approximately \$1.6 billion to resolve these claims (including cases involving unrelated claims not applicable to Sempra Energy, SoCalGas or SDG&E). The proceeding against Sempra Energy and the California Utilities has not been resolved and continues to be litigated. The plaintiffs' damage claims, as revised, assert damages of approximately \$23 billion (after applicable trebling). Trial is scheduled to commence on September 2, 2005.

Similar lawsuits were filed by the Attorneys General of Arizona and Nevada, alleging that El Paso and certain Sempra Energy subsidiaries unlawfully sought to control the natural gas market in their respective states. The claims against the Sempra Energy defendants in the Arizona lawsuit were settled in September 2004 for \$150,000. The Nevada Attorney General's lawsuit remains pending.

The company is cooperating with an investigation being conducted by the California Attorney General into possible anti-competitive behavior in the natural gas and electricity markets during 2000-2001. Several of the company's senior officers have testified at investigational hearings conducted by the California Attorney General's Office, and the company expects additional hearings to be held.

In April 2003, Sierra Pacific Resources and its utility subsidiary Nevada Power filed a lawsuit in U.S. District Court in Las Vegas against major natural gas suppliers, and included Sempra Energy, the California Utilities and other company subsidiaries, seeking recovery of damages alleged to aggregate in excess of \$150 million (before trebling). The U.S. District Court dismissed the case in November 2004, determining that this is a matter for the FERC to resolve. In January 2005, plaintiffs filed an appeal with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

In July 2004, 12 antitrust actions were filed against the company alleging that energy prices were unlawfully manipulated by defendants' reporting artificially inflated natural gas prices to trade publications and by entering into wash trades.

Electricity Cases

Various antitrust lawsuits, which seek class-action certification, allege that numerous entities, including Sempra Energy and certain subsidiaries, including SDG&E, that participated in the wholesale electricity markets unlawfully manipulated those markets. Collectively, these lawsuits allege damages against all defendants in an aggregate amount in excess of \$16 billion (before trebling). In January 2003, the federal court granted a motion to dismiss one of these lawsuits, filed by the Snohomish County, Washington Public Utility District, on the grounds that the claims contained in the complaint were subject to the Filed Rate Doctrine and were preempted by the Federal Power Act. That ruling was appealed to the Ninth Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals.

CPUC Border Price Investigation

In November 2002, the CPUC instituted an investigation into the Southern California natural gas market and the price of natural gas delivered to the California - Arizona border between March 2000 and May 2001. A CPUC Administrative Law Judge-proposed decision has been rejected by the CPUC.

The portion of this investigation relating to the California Utilities is still open. If the investigation were to determine that the conduct of either of the California Utilities contributed to the natural gas price spikes that occurred during the investigation period, the CPUC may modify the party's natural gas procurement incentive mechanism, reduce the amount of any shareholder award for the period involved, and/or order the party to issue a refund to ratepayers. At March 31, 2005, the cumulative amount of shareholder awards, substantially all of which has been included in net income, was \$8.4 million.

The CPUC may hold additional rounds of hearings to consider whether other companies, including other California utilities, contributed to the natural gas price spikes or issue an order terminating the investigation. No hearings have yet been scheduled and discovery is ongoing.

FERC Refund Proceedings

In December 2002, a FERC ALJ issued preliminary findings indicating that the California Power Exchange (PX) and ISO owe power suppliers \$1.2 billion for the October 2, 2000 through June 20, 2001 period (the \$3.0 billion that the California PX and ISO still owe energy companies less \$1.8 billion that the energy companies charged California customers in excess of the preliminarily determined competitive market clearing prices). In March 2003, the FERC adopted its ALJ's findings, but changed the calculation of the refund by basing it on a different estimate of natural gas prices. The March 26 order estimates that the replacement formula for estimating natural gas prices will increase the refund obligations from \$1.8 billion to more than \$3 billion for the same time period. Pending in the Ninth Circuit are various parties' appeals on aspects of the FERC's order. On April 12 and 13, 2005, the Ninth Circuit heard oral argument on issues relating to the scope of the refund proceeding and whether the FERC had jurisdiction to order refunds from governmental entities.

FERC Manipulation Investigation

The FERC is separately investigating whether there was manipulation of short-term energy markets in the western United States that would constitute violations of applicable tariffs and warrant disgorgement of associated profits. In this proceeding, the FERC's authority is not confined to the periods relevant to the refund proceeding. In May 2002, the FERC ordered all energy companies engaged in electric energy trading activities to state whether they had engaged in various specific trading activities in violation of the PX and ISO tariffs.

On June 25, 2003, the FERC issued several orders requiring various entities to show cause why they should not be found to have violated California ISO and PX tariffs. The FERC directed 43 entities, including SDG&E, to show cause why they should not disgorge profits from certain transactions between January 1, 2000 and June 20, 2001 that are asserted to have constituted gaming and/or anomalous market behavior under the California ISO and/or PX tariffs. SDG&E and the FERC resolved the matter through a settlement, which documents the ISO's finding that SDG&E did not engage in market activities in violation of the ISO or PX tariffs, and in which SDG&E agreed to pay \$27,792 into a FERC-established fund.

Settlement of Claims Associated with the FERC's Investigations

On January 13, 2005, SDG&E announced a \$23.8 million settlement (including an unsecured claim in the Mirant bankruptcy proceeding valued at approximately \$2.4 million), which resolves specified claims against merchant generator Mirant Corp. for the 2000-2001 energy crisis period. The settlement has received final CPUC, FERC and U.S. Bankruptcy Court (for Mirant) approval. The majority of the funds is expected to be received within 20 days of receiving FERC approval (on or before May 12, 2005) with the remainder contingent on certain actions by the FERC, the ISO and the PX. Receipt of the remaining amounts by SDG&E would take place at the conclusion of the FERC refund proceeding, now expected to be in early 2006. These funds would be received for the benefit of SDG&E's bundled customers and will reimburse SDG&E for the costs of litigating this matter. The unsecured claim in the bankruptcy proceeding is estimated to be paid out at a rate of 60 cents on the dollar and is not guaranteed. Funds associated with the unsecured claim will not be disbursed until a final decision is reached in the bankruptcy proceeding, expected in the third quarter of 2005.

ITEM 2.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements contained in this Form 10-Q and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Risk Factors" contained in the Annual Report.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Electric revenues increased as a result of higher costs of electric fuel and purchased power resulting from increased volumes and electric commodity costs. Under the current regulatory framework, changes in commodity costs generally do not affect net income.

Natural gas revenues increased as a result of higher natural gas costs, which are passed on to customers.

Under the current regulatory framework, the cost of natural gas purchased for customers and the variations in that cost are passed through to the customers on a substantially concurrent basis. However, SDG&E's natural gas procurement PBR mechanism provides an incentive mechanism by measuring SDG&E's procurement of natural gas against a benchmark price comprised of monthly natural gas indices, resulting in shareholder awards for costs achieved below the benchmark and shareholder penalties when costs exceed the benchmark. Further discussion is provided in Notes 1 and 11 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

The tables below summarize the electric and natural gas volumes and revenues by customer class for the quarters ended March 31, 2005 and 2004.

Electric Distribution and Transmission
(Volumes in millions of kWhs, dollars in millions)

	2005	2004
- Volumes		
Revenue		
Volumes		
Revenue	--	--
Residential	1,841	1,813
	\$ 183	\$ 183
Commercial	1,545	1,512
	147	138
Industrial	409	33
	467	
30 Direct		
access	820	27
	720	21
Street and		
highway	24	3
lighting	23	3
	4,729	393
	4,544	375
Balancing		
accounts		
and other	5	10
Total	\$ 398	\$ 385

2005, the company had \$8 million in unrestricted cash and \$233 million in available unused, committed lines of credit.

Management believes that these amounts and cash flows from operations and security issuances will be adequate to finance capital expenditures and meet liquidity requirements and other commitments. Management continues to regularly monitor the company's ability to finance the needs of its operating, financing and investing activities in a manner consistent with its intention to maintain strong, investment-quality credit ratings.

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$18 million to \$123 million for 2005.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2005, the company made pension and other postretirement benefit plan contributions of \$1 million each.

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Net cash used in investing activities increased by \$24 million to \$95 million for 2005 primarily due to higher capital expenditures in 2005.

Significant capital expenditures in 2005 are expected to be for additions to the company's natural gas and electric distribution systems. These expenditures are expected to be financed by cash flows from operations and security issuances.

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Net cash used in financing activities decreased by \$118 million to \$29 million for 2005. The change was due to an increase in short-term debt and lower common dividends paid in 2005.

FACTORS INFLUENCING FUTURE PERFORMANCE

Performance of the company will depend primarily on the ratemaking and regulatory process, electric and natural gas industry restructuring, and the changing energy marketplace. These factors are discussed in Note 5 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND KEY NON-CASH PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

There have been no significant changes to the accounting policies viewed by management as critical or to key non-cash performance indicators for the company, as set forth in the Annual Report.

NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Stock-Based Compensation: In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123 (revised), a revision of SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. This statement requires companies to measure and record the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. The effective date of this statement is January 1, 2006 for Sempra Energy.

FASB Interpretation No. 47, "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143" (FIN 47): Issued in March 2005, FIN 47 clarifies that the term conditional asset-retirement obligation as used in SFAS 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset-retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. FIN 47 requires companies to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional asset-retirement obligation if the fair value of the obligation can be reasonably estimated. FIN 47 is effective for the company's 2005 annual report.

Further discussion is provided in Note 2 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There have been no significant changes in the risk issues affecting the company subsequent to those discussed in the Annual Report.

As of March 31, 2005, the total Value at Risk of SDG&E's positions was not material.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Company management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f). The company has designed and maintains disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the company's reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission and is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating these controls and procedures, management recognizes that any system of controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired objectives and necessarily applies judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of other possible controls and procedures.

The company evaluates the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control--Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, the company evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the company's disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2005, the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

There has been no change in the internal controls over financial reporting during the company's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

SDG&E and the County of San Diego are continuing to discuss alleged environmental law violations by SDG&E and its contractors in connection with the abatement of asbestos-containing materials during the demolition of a natural gas storage facility in 2001. SDG&E expects that any settlement with the County would involve payments by SDG&E of less than \$750,000. In January 2005, Sempra Energy and SDG&E received a grand jury subpoena from the United States Attorney's Office in San

Diego seeking documents related to this matter and are fully cooperating with the investigation.

Except as described above and in Notes 5 and 6 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements herein, neither the company nor its subsidiary is party to, nor is their property the subject of, any material pending legal proceedings other than routine litigation incidental to their businesses.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits

Exhibit 12 - Computation of ratios

12.1 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends.

Exhibit 31 -- Section 302 Certifications

31.1 Statement of Registrant's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

31.2 Statement of Registrant's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Exhibit 32 -- Section 906 Certifications

32.1 Statement of Registrant's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350.

32.2 Statement of Registrant's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

The following reports on Form 8-K were filed after December 31, 2004:

Current Reports on Form 8-K filed January 11, 2005 and January 18, 2005, discussing the current status of energy crisis litigation.

Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 23, 2005, filing as an exhibit Sempra Energy's press release of February 23, 2005, giving the financial results for the three months ended December 31, 2004.

Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 17, 2005, announcing the CPUC's March 17, 2005, decision in Phase II of the California Utilities' cost of service proceedings.

Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 4, 2005, filing as an exhibit Sempra Energy's press release of May 4, 2005, giving the financial results for the three months ended March 31, 2005.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

(Registrant)

Date: May 4, 2005

By: /s/ S. D. Davis

S. D. Davis
Sr. Vice President-External Relations
and Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT 12.1

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO COMBINED FIXED CHARGES

AND PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS

(Dollars in millions)

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Quarter ended March 31, 2005
Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends:						
Interest	\$ 119	\$ 96	\$ 83	\$ 78	\$ 71	\$ 17
Interest portion of annual rentals	3	3	2	2	2	1
Total fixed charges	122	99	85	80	73	18
Preferred stock dividends (1)	13	11	9	9	8	1
Combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends for purpose of ratio	\$ 135	\$ 110	\$ 94	\$ 89	\$ 81	\$ 19
Earnings:						
Pretax income from continuing operations	\$ 295	\$ 324	\$ 300	\$ 488	\$ 361	\$ 87
Total fixed charges (from above)	122	99	85	80	73	18
Less: interest capitalized	3	1	1	1	1	0
Total earnings for purpose of ratio	\$ 414	\$ 422	\$ 384	\$ 567	\$ 433	\$ 105
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends	3.07	3.84	4.09	6.37	5.35	5.53

(1) In computing this ratio, "Preferred stock dividends" represents the before-tax earnings necessary to pay such dividends, computed at the effective tax rates for the applicable periods

I, Edwin A. Guiles, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of San Diego Gas & Electric Company;

2. Based on my knowledge, this Quarterly Report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this Quarterly Report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this Quarterly Report fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this Quarterly Report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this Quarterly Report is being prepared;

b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this Quarterly Report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report, based on such evaluation; and

d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

May 4, 2005

/S/ EDWIN A. GUILLES
Edwin A. Guiles
Chief Executive Officer

I, Steven D. Davis, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of San Diego Gas & Electric Company;

2. Based on my knowledge, this Quarterly Report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this Quarterly Report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this Quarterly Report fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this Quarterly Report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this Quarterly Report is being prepared;

b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this Quarterly Report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report, based on such evaluation; and

d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

May 4, 2005

/S/ STEVEN D. DAVIS
Steven D. Davis
Chief Financial Officer

Statement of Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned Chief Executive Officer of San Diego Gas & Electric (the "Company") certifies that:

(i) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2005 (the "Quarterly Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(ii) the information contained in the Quarterly Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

May 4, 2005

/S/ EDWIN A. GUILLES

Edwin A. Guiles
Chief Executive Officer

Statement of Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned Chief Financial Officer of San Diego Gas & Electric (the "Company") certifies that:

(i) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2005 (the "Quarterly Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(ii) the information contained in the Quarterly Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

May 4, 2005

/S/ STEVEN D. DAVIS

Steven D. Davis
Chief Financial Officer