

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549  
 FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003

OR

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number	Exact Name of Registrant as specified in its charter	State of Incorporation	IRS Employer Identification Number
1-40	PACIFIC ENTERPRISES	California	94-0743670
1-1402	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY	California	95-1240705
	555 WEST FIFTH STREET, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA		90013
	(Address of principal executive offices)		(Zip Code)
	Registrant's telephone number, including area code		(213)244-1200

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Pacific Enterprises Preferred Stock: \$4.75 dividend; \$4.50 dividend; \$4.40 dividend; \$4.36 dividend	American and Pacific
Southern California Gas Co. Preferred Stock	Pacific

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT:

Pacific Enterprises	None
Southern California Gas Company	None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Exhibit Index on page 90. Glossary on page 94.

Aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of January 31, 2004:

Pacific Enterprises	\$68.6 Million
Southern California Gas Company	\$19.1 Million

Common Stock outstanding without par value as of January 31, 2004:

Pacific Enterprises	Wholly owned by Sempra Energy
Southern California Gas Company	Wholly owned by Pacific Enterprises

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the Information Statement prepared for the May 2004 annual meeting of shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I	
Item 1. Business . . . . .	3
Item 2. Properties . . . . .	11
Item 3. Legal Proceedings. . . . .	12
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders. . .	12
PART II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters . . . . .	12
Item 6. Selected Financial Data. . . . .	13
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial	

Condition and Results of Operations . . . . .	14
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures	
About Market Risk . . . . .	27
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. . . . .	28
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on	
Accounting and Financial Disclosure . . . . .	80
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures. . . . .	80
PART III	
Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant . .	80
Item 11. Executive Compensation . . . . .	82
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners	
and Management and Related Stockholder Matters. . .	82
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions . . . .	82
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services . . . . .	82
PART IV	
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports	
on Form 8-K . . . . .	83
Independent Auditors' Consent and Report on Schedule. . . . .	85
Signatures. . . . .	88
Exhibit Index . . . . .	90
Glossary. . . . .	94

## INFORMATION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report contains statements that are not historical fact and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The words "estimates," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "plans," "intends," "may," "could," "would" and "should" or similar expressions, or discussions of strategy or of plans are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. They involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Future results may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon various assumptions involving judgments with respect to the future and other risks, including, among others, local, regional and national economic, competitive, political, legislative and regulatory conditions and developments; actions by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), the California Legislature, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC); capital market conditions, inflation rates, interest rates and exchange rates; energy and trading markets, including the timing and extent of changes in commodity prices; weather conditions and conservation efforts; war and terrorist attacks; business, regulatory and legal decisions; the status of deregulation of retail natural gas and electricity delivery; the timing and success of business development efforts; and other uncertainties, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond the control of the companies. Readers are cautioned not to rely unduly on any forward-looking statements and are urged to review and consider carefully the risks, uncertainties and other factors which affect the companies' business described in this report and other reports filed by the companies from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## PART I

## ITEM 1. BUSINESS

## Description of Business

Pacific Enterprises (PE or the company) is an energy services company whose only significant subsidiary is Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas), the nation's largest natural gas distribution utility. PE's common stock is wholly owned by Sempra Energy, a California-based Fortune 500 holding company, and PE owns all of the common stock of SoCalGas. The financial statements herein are, in one case, the Consolidated Financial Statements of PE and its subsidiary, SoCalGas, and, in the second case, the Consolidated Financial Statements of SoCalGas and its subsidiaries, which comprise less than one percent of SoCalGas' consolidated financial position and results of operations. Sempra Energy also indirectly owns all of the common stock of San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E). SoCalGas and SDG&E are collectively referred to herein as "the California Utilities." A description of SoCalGas is given in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" herein.

As PE itself has no operations, PE's financial position and operations consist of those of SoCalGas and some additional items attributable to PE's position as a holding company (e.g. cash, intercompany accounts, debt and equity.)

#### Company Website

The company's website address is <http://www.socalgas.com/> and Sempra Energy's website address is <http://www.sempra.com/investor.htm>. The company makes available free of charge via a hyperlink on its website, its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

#### RISK FACTORS

The following risk factors and all other information contained in this report should be considered carefully when evaluating the company. These risk factors could affect the actual results of the company and cause such results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements of, or made by or on behalf of, the company. Other risks and uncertainties, in addition to those that are described below, may also impair its business operations. If any of the following risks occurs, the company's business, cash flows, results of operations and financial condition could be seriously harmed. These risk factors should be read in conjunction with the other detailed information concerning the company set forth in the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" herein.

SoCalGas is subject to extensive regulation by state, federal and local legislation and regulatory authorities, which may adversely affect the operations, performance and growth of its business.

The CPUC, which consists of five commissioners appointed by the Governor of California for staggered six-year terms, regulates SoCalGas' rates and conditions of service, sales of securities, rates of return, rates of depreciation, uniform systems of accounts, examination of records and long-term resource procurement. The CPUC conducts various reviews of utility performance (including reasonableness and prudence reviews) and conducts audits and investigations into various matters which may, from time to time, result in disallowances and penalties adversely affecting earnings and cash flows. The CPUC also regulates the relationship of utilities with their affiliates and is currently conducting an investigation into this relationship. Various proceedings involving the CPUC and relating to SoCalGas' rates, costs, incentive mechanisms, performance-based regulation and affiliate and holding company rule compliance are discussed in the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" herein.

Periodically SoCalGas' rates are approved by the CPUC based on forecasts of capital and operating costs. If SoCalGas' actual capital and operating costs were to exceed the amount included in its base

rates approved by the CPUC, it would adversely affect earnings and cash flows.

To promote efficient operations and improved productivity and to move away from reasonableness reviews and disallowances, the CPUC adopted Performance-Based Regulation (PBR) effective in 1997. Under PBR, regulators require future income potential to be tied to achieving or exceeding specific performance and productivity goals, rather than relying solely on expanding utility plant to increase earnings. The three areas that are eligible for PBR rewards are: operational incentives based on measurements of safety, reliability and customer satisfaction; demand-side management (DSM) rewards based on the effectiveness of the programs; and natural gas procurement rewards. Although SoCalGas has received significant PBR rewards in the past, there can be no assurance that SoCalGas will receive rewards at similar levels in the future, or at all. Additionally, if SoCalGas fails to achieve certain minimum performance levels established under the PBR mechanisms, it may be assessed financial disallowances or penalties which could adversely affect its earnings and cash flows.

SoCalGas may be impacted by new regulations, decisions, orders or interpretations of the CPUC or other regulatory bodies. New legislation, regulations, decisions, orders or interpretations could change how SoCalGas operates, could affect its ability to recover its various costs through rates or adjustment mechanisms, or could require SoCalGas to incur additional expenses.

SoCalGas' future results of operations, cash flows and financial condition may be materially adversely affected by the outcome of pending litigation against it. Lawsuits filed in 2000 and currently consolidated in San Diego Superior Court seek class-action certification and damages, alleging Sempra Energy and the California Utilities, along with El Paso Energy Corp. and several of its affiliates, unlawfully sought to control natural gas markets. Similar lawsuits have been filed by the Attorneys General of Arizona and Nevada and by others. Although the California Utilities expect to prevail in these cases, they have expended or accrued substantial amounts to pay the costs of defending these claims. If the plaintiffs in these cases were to prevail in their claims, the future results of operations, cash flows and financial condition of the company may be materially adversely affected.

These proceedings are discussed in the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" herein.

SoCalGas' cash flows, ability to pay dividends and ability to meet its debt obligations largely depend on the performance of its utility operations.

SoCalGas' utility operations are its major source of liquidity. SoCalGas' cash flows, ability to meet its obligations to creditors and its ability to pay dividends on its common stock are largely dependent upon the sufficiency of utility earnings and cash flows in excess of utility needs.

Natural disasters, catastrophic accidents or acts of terrorism could materially adversely affect SoCalGas' business, earnings and cash flows.

Like other major industrial facilities, SoCalGas' natural gas pipelines may be damaged by natural disasters, catastrophic accidents or acts of terrorism. Any such incidents could result in severe business disruptions, significant decreases in revenues and/or significant additional costs to the company, which could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' earnings and cash flows. Given the nature and location of these facilities, any such incidents also could cause fires, leaks, explosions, spills or other significant damage to natural resources and/or property belonging to third parties, or personal injuries, which could lead to significant claims against the company and its subsidiaries. Insurance coverage may become unavailable for certain of these risks and the insurance proceeds received for any loss of or damage to any of its facilities, or for any loss of or damage to natural resources or property or personal injuries caused by its operations, may be insufficient to cover the company's losses or liabilities without materially adversely affecting the company's financial condition, earnings and cash flows.

#### GOVERNMENT REGULATION

##### California Utility Regulation

The CPUC, which consists of five commissioners appointed by the Governor of California for staggered six-year terms, regulates SoCalGas' rates and conditions of service, sales of securities, rate of return, rates of depreciation, uniform systems of accounts, examination of records, and long-term resource procurement. The CPUC conducts various reviews of utility performance and conducts investigations into various matters, such as deregulation, competition and the environment, to determine its future policies. The CPUC also regulates the relationship of utilities with their holding companies and is currently conducting an investigation into this relationship.

##### United States Utility Regulation

The FERC regulates the interstate sale and transportation of natural gas, the uniform systems of accounts and rates of depreciation. Both the FERC and the CPUC are currently investigating prices charged to the California investor-owned utilities (IOUs) by various suppliers of natural gas and electricity. See further discussion in Note 9 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

## Local Regulation

SoCalGas has natural gas franchises with the 240 legal jurisdictions in its service territory. These franchises allow SoCalGas to locate facilities for the transmission and distribution of natural gas in the streets and other public places. Some franchises have fixed terms, such as that for the city of Los Angeles, which expires in 2012. Most of the franchises do not have fixed terms and continue indefinitely. The range of expiration dates for the franchises with definite terms is 2005 to 2048.

## Licenses and Permits

SoCalGas obtains a number of permits, authorizations and licenses in connection with the transmission and distribution of natural gas. They require periodic renewal, which results in continuing regulation by the granting agency.

Other regulatory matters are described in Note 9 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

## NATURAL GAS OPERATIONS

### Resource Planning and Natural Gas Procurement and Transportation

SoCalGas is engaged in the sale, distribution, storage and transportation of natural gas. The company's resource planning, natural gas procurement, contractual commitments and related regulatory matters are discussed below and in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and in Notes 9 and 10 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

### Customers

For regulatory purposes, customers are separated into core and noncore customers. Core customers are primarily residential and small commercial and industrial customers without alternative fuel capability. Noncore customers consist primarily of electric generation (EG), wholesale, large commercial, industrial and enhanced oil recovery customers.

Most core customers purchase natural gas directly from SoCalGas. Core customers are permitted to aggregate their natural gas requirement and purchase directly from brokers or producers. SoCalGas continues to be obligated to purchase reliable supplies of natural gas to serve the requirements of the core customers.

### Natural Gas Procurement and Transportation

Most of the natural gas purchased and delivered by SoCalGas is produced outside of California, primarily in the southwestern U.S. and Canada. SoCalGas purchases natural gas under short-term contracts, primarily based on monthly spot-market prices.

To ensure the delivery of the natural gas supplies to the distribution system and to meet the seasonal and annual needs of customers, SoCalGas is committed to firm pipeline capacity contracts that require the

payment of fixed reservation charges to reserve firm transportation entitlements. SoCalGas releases and brokers excess capacity on a short-term basis. Interstate pipeline companies, primarily El Paso Natural Gas Company and Transwestern Pipeline Company, provide transportation services to SoCalGas' intrastate transmission system for supplies purchased by SoCalGas or its transportation customers from outside of California. The last of these contracts expires in 2007. The rates that interstate pipeline companies may charge for natural gas and transportation services are regulated by the FERC.

According to "Btu's Daily Gas Wire", the annual average spot price of natural gas at the California/Arizona border was \$5.10 per million British thermal unit (mmbtu) in 2003 (\$5.59 in December 2003), compared with \$3.14 per mmbtu in 2002 and \$7.27 per mmbtu in 2001. A number of factors associated with California's energy crisis from late 2000 through early 2001 resulted in higher natural gas prices during that period. Prices for natural gas decreased in the later part of 2001 and increased toward the end of 2002 and in 2003. The following table summarizes the average commodity costs of natural gas sold for the last three years, which are above previous levels:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Cost of natural gas	\$1,830	\$1,192	\$2,117
Volumes delivered (bcf)	347	356	358
Average cost of natural gas (dollars per bcf)	\$ 5.27	\$ 3.35	\$ 5.91

With improved delivery capacity to California, SoCalGas expects adequate resources to be available at prices that generally will follow national natural gas pricing trends and volatility.

#### Natural Gas Storage

SoCalGas provides natural gas storage services for use by the core, noncore and off-system customers. Core customers are allocated a portion of SoCalGas storage capacity. Remaining customers can bid and negotiate the desired amount of storage on a contract basis. The storage service program provides opportunities for customers to store natural gas, usually during the summer, to reduce winter purchases when natural gas costs are generally higher. This allows customers to select the level of service they desire to assist them to manage their fuel procurement and transportation needs.

#### Demand for Natural Gas

SoCalGas faces competition in the residential and commercial customer markets based on the customers' preferences for natural gas compared with other energy products. The demand for natural gas by electric generators is influenced by a number of factors. In the short-term, natural gas use by EGs is impacted by the availability of alternative sources of generation. The availability of hydroelectricity is highly dependent on precipitation in the western United States. In addition, natural gas use is impacted by the performance of other generation sources in the western United States, including nuclear and coal, and

other natural gas facilities outside the service area. Natural gas use is also impacted by changes in end-use electricity demand. For example, natural gas use generally increases during summer heat waves. Over the long-term, natural gas use will be greatly influenced by additional factors such as the location of new power plant construction. More generation capacity currently is being constructed outside Southern California than within the utility service area. This new generation will likely displace the output of older, less efficient local generation, reducing EG natural gas use.

Effective March 31, 1998, electric industry restructuring provided out-of-state producers the option to purchase energy for California utility customers. As a result, natural gas demand for electric generation within Southern California competes with electric power generated throughout the western United States. Although electric industry restructuring has no direct impact on SoCalGas' natural gas operations, future volumes of natural gas transported for electric generating plant customers may be significantly affected to the extent that regulatory changes divert electric generation from SoCalGas' service area.

Growth in the natural gas markets is largely dependent upon the health and expansion of the Southern California economy and prices of other energy products. External factors such as weather, the price of electricity, electric deregulation, the use of hydroelectric power, competing pipelines and general economic conditions can result in significant shifts in demand and market price. SoCalGas added 72,000 new customer meters in 2003 and 61,000 in 2002, representing growth rates of 1.3 percent and 1.2 percent, respectively. SoCalGas expects that its growth rate for 2004 will approximate that for 2003.

In the interruptible industrial market, customers are capable of burning a fuel other than natural gas. Fuel oil is the most significant competing energy alternative. The company's ability to maintain its industrial market share is largely dependent on price. The relationship between natural gas supply and demand has the greatest impact on the price of the company's product. With the reduction of natural gas production from domestic sources, the cost of natural gas from non-domestic sources may play a greater role in the company's competitive position in the future. The price of oil depends upon a number of factors beyond the company's control, including the relationship between supply and demand, and policies of foreign and domestic governments.

The natural gas distribution business is seasonal in nature as variations in weather conditions generally result in greater revenues during the winter months when temperatures are colder. As is prevalent in the industry, the company injects natural gas into storage during the summer months (usually April through October) for withdrawal storage during the winter months (usually November through March) when customer demand is higher.

## RATES AND REGULATION

Information concerning rates and regulations applicable to SoCalGas is provided in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and in Notes 1 and 9 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

## ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Discussions about environmental issues affecting the company are included in Note 10 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements herein. The following additional information should be read in conjunction with those discussions.

## Hazardous Substances

In 1994, the CPUC approved the Hazardous Waste Collaborative Memorandum account, allowing California's IOUs to recover their hazardous waste cleanup costs, including those related to Superfund sites or similar sites requiring cleanup. Recovery of 90 percent of hazardous waste cleanup costs and related third-party litigation costs and 70 percent of the related insurance-litigation expenses is permitted. In addition, the company has the opportunity to retain a percentage of any insurance recoveries to offset the 10 percent of costs not recovered in rates.

During the early 1900s, SoCalGas and its predecessors manufactured gas from coal or oil. The manufactured-gas plants (MGPs) often have become contaminated with the hazardous residues of the process. SoCalGas has identified 42 such sites at which it (together with other users as to 21 of these sites) may have cleanup obligations. Preliminary investigations, at a minimum, have been completed on 41 of the sites. As of December 31, 2003, 26 of these sites have been remediated, of which 20 have received certification from the California Environmental Protection Agency. At December 31, 2003, SoCalGas' estimated remaining investigation and remediation liability for the MGPs is \$42.9 million.

SoCalGas lawfully disposes of wastes at permitted facilities owned and operated by other entities. Operations at these facilities may result in actual or threatened risks to the environment or public health. Under California law, businesses that arrange for legal disposal of wastes at a permitted facility from which wastes are later released, or threaten to be released, can be held financially responsible for corrective actions at the facility.

SoCalGas has been named as a potentially responsible party (PRP) for one landfill site and one industrial waste disposal site, from which releases have occurred, as described below.

At December 31, 2003, the company's estimated remaining investigation and remediation liability related to hazardous waste sites, including the MGPs, was \$43.8 million, of which 90 percent is authorized to be recovered through the Hazardous Waste Collaborative mechanism. The company believes that any costs not ultimately recovered through rates, insurance or other means will not have a material adverse effect on the company's consolidated results of operations or financial position.

Estimated liabilities for environmental remediation are recorded when amounts are probable and estimable. Amounts authorized to be recovered in rates under the Hazardous Waste Collaborative mechanism are recorded as a regulatory asset.

#### Air and Water Quality

California's air quality standards are more restrictive than federal standards.

The transmission and distribution of natural gas require the operation of compressor stations, which are subject to increasingly stringent air-quality standards. Costs to comply with these standards are recovered in rates.

#### OTHER MATTERS

##### Research, Development and Demonstration (RD&D)

The SoCalGas RD&D portfolio is focused in five major areas: operations, utilization systems, power generation, public interest and transportation. Each of these activities provides benefits to customers and society by providing more cost-effective, efficient natural gas equipment with lower emissions, increased safety and reduced operating costs. The CPUC has authorized SoCalGas to recover its operating costs associated with RD&D. SoCalGas' annual RD&D costs have averaged \$6.9 million over the past three years.

##### Employees of Registrant

As of December 31, 2003 SoCalGas had 6,570 employees, compared to 6,230 at December 31, 2002.

##### Labor Relations

Field, technical and most clerical employees at SoCalGas are represented by the Utility Workers' Union of America or the International Chemical Workers' Council. The collective bargaining agreement for field, technical and most clerical employees at SoCalGas covering wages, hours, working conditions, medical and various benefit plans is in effect through December 31, 2004.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

##### Natural Gas Properties

At December 31, 2003, SoCalGas' natural gas facilities included 2,848 miles of transmission and storage pipeline, 46,712 miles of distribution pipeline and 45,578 miles of service piping. They also included 11 transmission compressor stations and 4 underground storage reservoirs, with a combined working capacity of 122 bcf.

##### Other Properties

SoCalGas leases approximately half of a 52-story office building in downtown Los Angeles through 2011. The lease has six separate five-year renewal options.

The company owns or leases other offices, operating and maintenance centers, shops, service facilities and equipment necessary in the conduct of its business.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Except for the matters described in Note 10 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements herein or referred to elsewhere in this Annual Report, neither the companies nor their subsidiaries are party to, nor is their property the subject of, any material pending legal proceedings other than routine litigation incidental to their businesses.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

All of the issued and outstanding common stock of PE is owned by Sempra Energy. The information required by Item 5 concerning dividends declared is included in the "Statements of Consolidated Changes in Shareholders' Equity" set forth in Item 8 of this Annual Report herein.



millions) At  
December 31,  
or for the  
years then  
ended

~~2003~~  
~~2002-2001~~  
~~2000-1999~~

SoCalGas  
Income  
Statement  
Data:  
Operating  
revenues \$  
~~3,544~~ \$  
~~2,858~~ \$  
~~3,716~~ \$  
~~2,854~~ \$  
~~2,569~~  
Operating  
income \$ ~~223~~  
~~\$ 242~~ \$ ~~273~~  
~~\$ 266~~ \$ ~~268~~  
Dividends on  
preferred  
stock \$ ~~1~~ \$  
~~1~~ \$ ~~1~~ \$ ~~1~~ \$  
Earnings  
applicable  
to common  
shares \$ ~~209~~  
~~\$ 212~~ \$ ~~207~~  
~~\$ 206~~ \$ ~~200~~  
Balance  
Sheet Data:  
Total assets  
~~\$ 5,412~~ \$  
~~5,403~~ \$  
~~4,986~~ \$  
~~5,329~~ \$  
~~4,579~~ Long-  
term debt \$  
~~762~~ \$ ~~657~~ \$  
~~579~~ \$ ~~821~~ \$  
~~939~~ Short-  
term debt  
(a) \$ ~~175~~ \$  
~~175~~ \$ ~~150~~ \$  
~~120~~ \$ ~~30~~  
Shareholders'  
equity \$  
~~1,376~~ \$  
~~1,340~~ \$  
~~1,327~~ \$  
~~1,309~~ \$  
~~1,310~~

(a) Includes long-term debt due within one year.

Since SoCalGas is a wholly owned subsidiary of Pacific Enterprises, per share data is not provided.

This data should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained herein.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

INTRODUCTION

This section includes management's discussion and analysis of operating results from 2001 through 2003, and provides information about the capital resources, liquidity and financial performance of Pacific Enterprises (PE) and Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas). SoCalGas, PE or the two together are referred to as "the company" herein, the distinction being indicated by the context. This section also focuses on the major factors expected to influence future operating results and discusses investment and financing activities and plans. It should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Financial Report.

PE is an energy services company whose only significant subsidiary is SoCalGas, the nation's largest natural gas distribution utility. SoCalGas owns and operates a natural gas distribution, transmission and storage system supplying natural gas throughout a 23,000-square mile service territory. Its service territory, which includes 535 cities, extends from San Luis Obispo on the north to the Mexican border in the south excluding San Diego County, the City of Long Beach and the desert area of San Bernadino County. SoCalGas provides natural gas service to residential, commercial, industrial, utility electric generation and wholesale customers through 5.4 million meters in a service area with a population of 19.2 million. SoCalGas and its affiliate, San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E), are collectively referred to herein as "the California Utilities."

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

2003 was a successful year for the company. Net income at SoCalGas was \$210 million, which is consistent with recent years. This is discussed further in the following pages.

The following chart shows net income for each of the last five years.

(Dollars in millions)

	PE	SoCalGas
2003	\$ 221	\$ 210
2002	\$ 213	\$ 213
2001	\$ 206	\$ 208
2000	\$ 211	\$ 207
1999	\$ 184	\$ 201

To understand the operations and financial results of the company, it is important to understand the ratemaking procedures to which the company is subject.

SoCalGas is subject to various regulatory bodies and rules at the national, state and local levels. The primary California body is the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), which regulates utility

rates and operations. The primary national body is the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). The FERC regulates interstate transportation of natural gas and various related matters. Local regulators and municipalities govern the placement of utility assets, including natural gas pipelines.

The natural gas industry experienced an initial phase of restructuring during the 1980s by deregulating natural gas sales to noncore customers. Restructuring is again being considered, as discussed in Note 9 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

See additional discussion of these matters under "Factors Influencing Future Performance" and in Note 9 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Natural Gas Revenue and Cost of Natural Gas. Natural gas revenues increased to \$3.5 billion in 2003 from \$2.9 billion in 2002, and the cost of natural gas increased to \$1.8 billion in 2003 from \$1.2 billion in 2002. Additionally, natural gas revenues increased to \$922 million for the three months ended December 31, 2003 from \$859 million for the corresponding period in 2002, and the cost of natural gas increased to \$476 million in the 2003 period from \$384 million in the 2002 period. These changes were primarily attributable to natural gas price increases. For the year, this was partially offset by reduced volumes. Revenues also increased due to \$48 million of Gas Cost Incentive Mechanism (GCIM) awards and \$1 million of Performance-Based Regulation (PBR) awards recognized during 2003. See discussion of performance awards in Note 9 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Under the current regulatory framework, the cost of natural gas purchased for customers and the variations in that cost are passed through to the customers on a substantially concurrent basis. However, SoCalGas' GCIM allows SoCalGas to share in the savings or costs from buying natural gas for customers below or above monthly benchmarks. The mechanism permits full recovery of all costs within a tolerance band above the benchmark price and refunds all savings within a tolerance band below the benchmark price. The costs or savings outside the tolerance band are shared between customers and shareholders. See further discussion in Notes 1 and 9 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Natural gas revenues decreased to \$2.9 billion in 2002 from \$3.7 billion in 2001, and the cost of natural gas decreased to \$1.2 billion in 2002 from \$2.1 billion in 2001. The decrease in natural gas revenues was primarily due to lower natural gas prices and decreased transportation charges related to electric generation plants and the North Baja pipeline's beginning of service in September 2002. The decrease in the cost of natural gas was primarily due to lower average natural gas commodity prices. For the fourth quarter, natural gas revenues increased to \$859 million in 2002 from \$681 million in 2001, and the cost of natural gas increased to \$384 million in the 2002 period from \$270 million in the 2001 period due primarily to increased natural gas prices.

The table below summarizes SoCalGas' natural gas volumes and revenues by customer class for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001.

NATURAL GAS SALES, TRANSPORTATION AND EXCHANGE  
 (Dollars in millions, volumes in billion cubic feet)

Natural Gas  
 Sales  
 Transportation  
 & Exchange  
 Total - -----  
 -----  
 -----  
 -----  
 -----  
 -----  
 -----

Volumes  
 Revenue  
 Volumes  
 Revenue  
 Volumes  
 Revenue - ---  
 -----  
 -----  
 -----  
 -----  
 -----  
 -----

<del>2003+</del>	
Residential	
241	<del>\$ 2,188 2</del>
	<del>\$ 7 243</del> \$
	<u>2,195</u>
Commercial	
and	
industrial	
106	<del>741 273</del>
184	<del>379 925</del>
Electric	
generation	
plants	
179	<del>49 179 49</del>
Wholesale	
	<del>138 34 138</del>
34	
<hr/>	
<hr/>	
	<del>347</del> \$ 2,929
592	<del>\$ 274 939</del>
	<u>3,203</u>
Balancing	
accounts and	
other	<del>341</del>
Total	\$
3,544	<del>-----</del>
<hr/>	

<del>2002+</del>	
Residential	
256	<del>\$ 1,843 2</del>
	<del>\$ 7 258</del> \$
	<u>1,850</u>
Commercial	
and	
industrial	
100	<del>537 289</del>
168	<del>389 705</del>
Electric	
generation	
plants	
201	<del>38 201 38</del>
Wholesale	
	<del>156 23 156</del>
23	
<hr/>	
<hr/>	

~~356~~ \$ ~~2,380~~  
~~648~~ \$ ~~236~~  
~~1,004~~ ~~2,616~~  
Balancing  
accounts and  
other ~~242~~  
Total \$  
~~2,858~~

2001+  
Residential  
~~263~~ \$ ~~2,336~~ ~~2~~  
\$ ~~6~~ ~~265~~ \$  
~~2,342~~  
Commercial  
and  
industrial ~~95~~  
~~670~~ ~~258~~ ~~157~~  
~~353~~ ~~827~~  
Electric  
generation  
plants  
~~361~~ ~~86~~ ~~361~~ ~~86~~  
Wholesale  
~~174~~ ~~36~~ ~~174~~  
~~36~~

~~358~~ \$ ~~3,006~~  
~~795~~ \$ ~~285~~  
~~1,153~~ ~~3,291~~  
Balancing  
accounts and  
other ~~425~~  
Total \$  
~~3,716~~

Other Operating Expenses. Other operating expenses at SoCalGas were \$954 million, \$872 million and \$792 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The increase in 2003 compared to 2002 was primarily a result of a \$56 million before-tax charge for litigation and for losses associated with a sublease of portions of the SoCalGas headquarters building, as well as higher labor and employee benefits costs. The non-recurring sublease losses pertain to pre-2003 transactions, but are charged against current operations because they are not material to annual financial statements. During 2002 the company recorded \$13 million in litigation costs related to the California energy crisis. Other operating expenses increased in 2002 compared to 2001 due to higher legal costs, labor and employee benefits costs and other operating costs, including those that are associated with balancing accounts.

Other Income. Other income and deductions consist primarily of interest income from short-term investments and interest income/expense from regulatory balancing accounts. Excluding the impact of income taxes on non-operating income, other income at SoCalGas was \$40 million, \$10

million, and \$7 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. For the fourth quarters the corresponding amounts were \$30 million and \$6 million for 2003 and 2002, respectively, compared to a loss of \$8 million in 2001. The increases in 2003 were due to higher interest income resulting from the favorable \$30 million before-tax resolution of income-tax issues with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) in 2003. The increases during 2002 were due to lower regulatory interest expense, offset by lower interest income from affiliates. Additionally, PE earned higher rental income in 2002.

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense at SoCalGas was \$45 million, \$44 million and \$68 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. For the fourth quarters the corresponding amounts were \$12 million, \$14 million and \$8 million, respectively. The decrease for the year in 2002 was mainly due to SoCalGas' repayments of \$270 million in long-term debt during the fourth quarter of 2001. See further discussion in "Cash Flows from Financing Activities" below.

**Income Taxes.** Income tax expense at SoCalGas was \$150 million, \$178 million and \$169 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The corresponding effective income tax rates were 41.7 percent, 45.5 percent and 44.8 percent. For the fourth quarter income tax expense was \$34 million, \$44 million and \$33 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The effective income tax rates for the respective periods were 35.8 percent, 49.4 percent and 39.3 percent. The decreases in 2003 were due to the \$12 million favorable resolution of income tax issues in the fourth quarter of 2003. In addition, income before taxes in 2003 included \$30 million in interest income arising from the income tax settlement, resulting in an offsetting \$13 million income tax expense. The increased income tax expense in 2002 was due to higher income before taxes.

**Net Income.** SoCalGas recorded net income of \$210 million and \$213 million in 2003 and 2002, respectively, and net income of \$61 million and \$45 million for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. During 2003, net income was affected by the resolution of income-tax issues in the fourth quarter and the \$29 million after-tax GCIM awards in the third quarter (see Note 9 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of GCIM awards), offset by a \$32 million after-tax charge for litigation and for losses associated with a long-term sublease of portions of its headquarters building, and the end of sharing of merger savings (which positively impacted earnings by \$17 million for the year ended December 31, 2002). The non-recurring sublease losses pertain to pre-2003 transactions, but are charged against current operations because they are not material to annual financial statements. The change for the quarter was due primarily to the resolution of the income tax issues, offset partially by the end of sharing of merger savings (which positively impacted earnings by \$4 million for the fourth quarter of 2002). In addition, PE's net income included lower interest expense in 2003.

Net income for SoCalGas increased to \$213 million in 2002 compared to \$208 million in 2001 primarily due to decreased interest expense in 2002, offset partially by higher depreciation expense and the 2000 GCIM award recorded in 2001. Additionally, PE's net income included less interest income from affiliates in 2002. Net income for the fourth

quarter of 2002 decreased compared to the fourth quarter of 2001 for both SoCalGas and PE due mainly to increased operating costs, partially offset by lower interest expense in 2002.

#### CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

SoCalGas' operations are the major source of liquidity. In addition, working capital requirements can be met through the issuance of short-term and long-term debt. Cash requirements primarily consist of capital expenditures for utility plant.

At December 31, 2003, the company had \$32 million in cash and \$675 million in available unused, committed lines of credit of which PE had \$375 million for the sole purpose of providing loans to Sempra Energy Global Enterprises (Global), another subsidiary of Sempra Energy, and SoCalGas had \$300 million.

Management believes that cash flows from operations will be adequate to finance capital expenditure requirements (see "Future Capital Expenditures" for forecasted capital expenditures for the next five years) and other commitments. Management continues to regularly monitor SoCalGas' ability to finance the needs of its operating, financing and investing activities in a manner consistent with its intention to maintain strong, investment-quality credit ratings.

#### CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Net cash provided by PE's consolidated operating activities totaled \$375 million, \$521 million and \$300 million for 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Net cash provided by SoCalGas' operating activities totaled \$385 million, \$527 million and \$280 million for 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

The decreases in 2003 compared to 2002 were primarily attributable to SoCalGas' decrease in overcollected regulatory balancing accounts in 2003 resulting from higher natural gas prices and lower usage and the refunding of customer deposits, partially offset by lower tax payments in 2003.

The increases in cash flows from operations in 2002 compared to 2001 were primarily due to the payment of higher accounts payable in 2001 and the increase in regulatory balancing accounts, partially offset by higher accounts receivable at the end of 2002.

During 2003, the company made a pension plan contribution of \$2 million for the 2003 plan year.

#### CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Net cash used in PE's consolidated investing activities totaled \$216 million, \$508 million and \$74 million for 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Net cash used in SoCalGas' investing activities totaled \$279 million, \$417 million and \$61 million for 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

PE's decrease in 2003 compared to 2002 was primarily due to the \$97 million repayment from Sempra Energy in 2003 compared to \$177 million

of advances to Sempra Energy in 2002. For SoCalGas, the change in 2003 compared to 2002 was the same as for PE except that SoCalGas received \$34 million of the \$97 million repayment from Sempra Energy in 2003 and made \$86 million of the \$177 million in advances to Sempra Energy in 2002. Advances to Sempra Energy are payable on demand.

PE's increase in cash used in investing activities in 2002 compared to 2001 was primarily due to increased capital expenditures and advances to Sempra Energy. PE advanced \$177 million to Sempra Energy in 2002 compared to being repaid \$220 million by Sempra Energy in 2001. For SoCalGas, the change in 2002 compared to 2001 was the same as for PE, except that SoCalGas advanced \$86 million of the \$177 million to Sempra Energy in 2002 compared to being repaid \$233 million by Sempra Energy in 2001.

#### Capital Expenditures for Utility Plant

Capital expenditures were \$318 million in 2003 compared to \$331 million and \$294 million in 2002 and 2001, respectively. The increase in capital expenditures in 2002 was primarily due to improvements to the natural gas distribution system and expansion of pipeline capacity to provide increased access to natural gas supplies to meet the fluctuating demand patterns of electric generators and of commercial and industrial customers. The expansion of SoCalGas' pipeline capacity was completed in 2002.

#### Future Capital Expenditures

Significant capital expenditures in 2004 are expected to be for improvements to the distribution and transmission systems. These expenditures are expected to be financed by cash flows from operations and security issuances.

Over the next five years, the company expects to make capital expenditures of \$1.7 billion consisting of \$350 million in 2004, \$300 million in 2005 and \$350 million in each of 2006, 2007 and 2008.

Construction programs are periodically reviewed and revised by the company in response to changes in economic conditions, competition, customer growth, inflation, customer rates, the cost of capital, and environmental and regulatory requirements.

#### CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Net cash used in PE's consolidated financing activities totaled \$149 million, \$4 million and \$418 million for 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Net cash used in SoCalGas' financing activities totaled \$96 million, \$101 million and \$411 million for 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

The increase in PE's cash used in financing activities in 2003 was attributable to higher repayments on long-term debt and an increase of \$150 million in dividends paid to Sempra Energy in 2003, partially offset by an increase in the issuances of long-term debt. The change in SoCalGas' net cash used in financing activities was the same as for PE,

except for dividends paid to PE, which reflected no change from 2002 to 2003.

Net cash used in PE's consolidated financing activities decreased in 2002 compared to 2001 due primarily to the 2002 issuance of long-term debt of \$250 million, the decrease in common dividends paid and lower debt repayments. The change in SoCalGas' net cash used in financing activities was the same as for PE, except for the change in dividends paid to PE, which reflected a \$10 million increase in dividends paid to PE from 2001 to 2002.

#### Long-Term and Short-Term Debt

In 2003, SoCalGas issued \$500 million of first mortgage bonds. Repayments on long-term debt in 2003 included \$325 million of SoCalGas' first mortgage bonds. In addition, \$70 million of SoCalGas' \$75 million medium-term notes were also put back to the company. The remaining \$5 million matures in 2028.

In January 2004, SoCalGas optionally redeemed its \$175 million 6.875% first mortgage bonds.

In October 2002, SoCalGas publicly offered and sold \$250 million of 4.80% first mortgage bonds, maturing in October 2012. Repayments on long-term debt in 2002 included \$100 million of first mortgage bonds. In 2002, cash was used for the repayment of \$50 million of short-term debt.

In 2001, repayments on long-term debt consisted of \$150 million of first mortgage bonds and \$120 million of unsecured notes. Also in 2001, SoCalGas had an increase of \$50 million in short-term debt.

See Notes 2 and 3 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of debt activity and lines of credit.

#### Dividends

Dividends paid to Sempra Energy amounted to \$250 million in 2003 compared to \$100 million in 2002 and \$190 million in 2001. Dividends paid by SoCalGas to PE amounted to \$200 million, \$200 million and \$190 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

The payment of future dividends and the amount thereof are within the discretion of the companies' boards of directors. The CPUC's regulation of SoCalGas' capital structure limits the amounts that are available for loans and dividends to Sempra Energy from SoCalGas. At December 31, 2003, the company could have provided a total (combined loans and dividends) of \$175 million to Sempra Energy. At December 31, 2003, SoCalGas had actual loans, net of payables, to Sempra Energy of \$21 million.

#### Capitalization

Total capitalization, including the current portion of long-term debt at December 31, 2003 was \$2.6 billion, of which \$2.3 billion applied to SoCalGas. The debt-to-capitalization ratios were 36 percent and 41 percent at December 31, 2003 for PE and SoCalGas, respectively.

Significant changes in capitalization during 2003 included long-term borrowings and repayments, income and dividends.

#### Commitments

The following is a summary of the company's principal contractual commitments at December 31, 2003. Liabilities reflecting fixed-price contracts and other derivatives are excluded as they are primarily offset against regulatory assets and would be recovered from customers through the ratemaking process. Additional information concerning commitments is provided above and in Notes 3 and 10 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

By Period -	----- 2005				2007	-----				
					(Dollars in millions) and	Description				
2004	2006	2008	Thereafter	Total	-	-----				
<del>-----</del>										
<del>SOCALGAS Long term debt \$ 175 \$ 8 \$</del>										
<del>\$ 754 \$ 937 Natural gas contracts 833 301 7</del>										
<del>1,141 Operating leases 43 83 89 130 345</del>										
<del>Environmental commitments 15 29 --- 44 Asset</del>										
<del>retirement obligations 1 2 1 7 11</del>										
<del>-----</del>										
<del>Total 1,067</del>										
<del>423 97 891 2,478 PE operating leases 13 26 27 21</del>										
<del>87</del>										
<del>-----</del>										
<del>Total PE consolidated \$ 1,080 \$ 449 \$ 124 \$</del>										
<del>912 \$ 2,565</del>										
<del>-----</del>										

#### Credit Ratings

Several credit ratings of the company declined in 2003, but remain investment grade. As of January 31, 2004, company credit ratings were as follows:

	S&P*	Moody's**	Fitch
<del>-----</del>			
SOCALGAS			
Secured debt	A+	A1	AA
Unsecured debt	A-	A2	AA-
Preferred stock	BBB+	Baal	A+
Commercial paper	A-1	P-1	F1+
<del>-----</del>			
PE - preferred stock	BBB+	-	A
<del>-----</del>			

\* Standard & Poor's

\*\* Moody's Investor Services, Inc.

As of January 31, 2004, SoCalGas had a stable outlook rating from all three credit rating agencies.

## FACTORS INFLUENCING FUTURE PERFORMANCE

Performance of the companies will depend primarily on the ratemaking and regulatory process, electric and natural gas industry restructuring and the changing energy marketplace. These factors are discussed in Note 9 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Natural Gas Restructuring and Rates

In December 2001 the CPUC issued a decision related to natural gas industry restructuring; however, implementation has been delayed. A CPUC decision could be issued in the first quarter of 2004. With the company's natural gas supply contracts nearing expiration, the company believes that regulation needs to consider sufficiently the adequacy and diversity of supplies to California, transportation infrastructure and cost recovery thereof, hedging opportunities to reduce cost volatility, and programs to encourage and reward conservation. Additional information on natural gas industry restructuring is provided in Note 9 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## CPUC Investigation of Compliance with Affiliate Rules

In February 2003, the CPUC opened an investigation of the business activities of SDG&E, SoCalGas and Semptra Energy to ensure that they have complied with statutes and CPUC decisions in the management, oversight and operations of their companies. In September 2003, the CPUC suspended the procedural schedule until it completes an independent audit to evaluate energy-related holding company systems and affiliate activities undertaken by Semptra Energy within the service territories of SDG&E and SoCalGas. The audit, which will cover the years 1997 through 2003, is expected to commence in March 2004 and to be completed by the end of 2004. In accordance with existing CPUC requirements, the California Utilities' transactions with other Semptra Energy affiliates have been audited by an independent auditing firm each year, with results reported to the CPUC, and there have been no material adverse findings in those audits.

## Cost of Service Filing

The California Utilities have filed cost of service applications with the CPUC, seeking rate increases designed to reflect forecasts of 2004 capital and operating costs. SoCalGas is requesting revenue increases of \$45 million. On December 19, 2003, settlements were filed with the CPUC for SoCalGas and for SDG&E that, if approved, would resolve most of the cost of service issues. A CPUC decision is likely in the second quarter of 2004. The California Utilities have also filed for continuation through 2004 of existing PBR mechanisms for service quality and safety that would otherwise expire at the end of 2003. In January 2004, the CPUC issued a decision that extended 2003 service and safety targets through 2004, but deferred action on applying any rewards or penalties for performance relative to these targets to a decision to be issued later in 2004 in a second phase of these applications. This is discussed in Note 9 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

**MARKET RISK**

Market risk is the risk of erosion of the company's cash flows, net income, asset values and equity due to adverse changes in prices for various commodities and in interest rates.

Sempra Energy has adopted corporate-wide policies governing its market risk management activities. Assisted by Sempra Energy's Energy Risk Management Group (ERMG), Sempra Energy's Energy Risk Management Oversight Committee (ERMOC), consisting of senior officers, oversees company-wide energy risk management activities and monitors the results of activities to ensure compliance with the company's stated energy risk management policies. Utility management receives daily information on positions and the ERMG receives information detailing positions creating market and credit risk for the company, consistent with affiliate rules. The ERMG independently measures and reports the market and credit risk associated with these positions. In addition, ERMOC monitors energy price risk management activities independently from the groups responsible for creating or actively managing these risks.

Along with other tools, the company uses Value at Risk (VaR) to measure its exposure to market risk. VaR is an estimate of the potential loss on a position or portfolio of positions over a specified holding period, based on normal market conditions and within a given statistical confidence interval. The company has adopted the variance/covariance methodology in its calculation of VaR, and uses both the 95-percent and 99-percent confidence intervals. VaR is calculated independently by the ERMG for the company. Historical volatilities and correlations between instruments and positions are used in the calculation. As of December 31, 2003, the total VaR of the company's natural gas positions was not material.

The company uses energy and gas derivatives to manage natural gas price risk associated with servicing their load requirements. The use of derivative financial instruments is subject to certain limitations imposed by company policy and regulatory requirements.

See the revenue recognition discussion in Note 1 and the additional market risk information regarding derivative instruments in Note 7 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following discussion of the company's primary market risk exposures as of December 31, 2003 includes a discussion of how these exposures are managed.

**Commodity Price Risk**

Market risk related to physical commodities is created by volatility in the prices and basis of natural gas. The company's market risk is impacted by changes in volatility and liquidity in the markets in which these commodities or related financial instruments are traded. The company is exposed, in varying degrees, to price risk primarily in the natural gas markets. The company's policy is to manage this risk within a framework that considers the unique markets and operating and regulatory environments.

The company's market risk exposure is limited due to CPUC authorized rate recovery of natural gas purchase, sale, intrastate transportation and storage activity. However, the company may, at times, be exposed to market risk as a result of SoCalGas' GCIM, which is discussed in Note 9 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The company manages its risk within the parameters of the company's market risk management framework. As of December 31, 2003, the company's exposure to market risk was not material. However, if commodity prices rose too rapidly, it is likely that volumes would decline. This would increase the per-unit fixed costs, which could lead to further volume declines, leading to increased per-unit fixed costs and so forth.

#### Interest Rate Risk

The company is exposed to fluctuations in interest rates primarily as a result of its long-term debt. The company historically has funded operations through long-term debt issues with fixed interest rates and these interest rates are recovered in utility rates. As a result, some recent debt offerings have used a combination of fixed-rate and floating-rate debt. Subject to regulatory constraints, interest-rate swaps may be used to adjust interest-rate exposures when appropriate, based upon market conditions.

At December 31, 2003, the company had \$788 million of fixed-rate debt and \$150 million of variable-rate debt. Interest on fixed-rate utility debt is fully recovered in rates on a historical cost basis and interest on variable-rate debt is provided for in rates on a forecasted basis. At December 31, 2003, SoCalGas' fixed-rate debt had a one-year VaR of \$131 million and SoCalGas' variable-rate debt had a one-year VaR of \$10.9 million.

At December 31, 2003, the notional amount of interest-rate swap transactions totaled \$150 million. See Note 3 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding interest-rate swap transactions.

In addition the company is ultimately subject to the effect of interest rate fluctuation on the assets of its pension plan and other postretirement plans.

#### Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of loss that would be incurred as a result of nonperformance by counterparties of their contractual obligations. As with market risk, the company has adopted corporate-wide policies governing the management of credit risk. Credit risk management is performed by the ERMG and the company's credit department and overseen by the ERMOC. Using rigorous models, the groups continuously calculate current and potential credit risk to counterparties to monitor actual balances in comparison to approved limits and reports this information to the ERMG. The company avoids concentration of counterparties whenever possible and management believes its credit policies with regard to counterparties significantly reduce overall credit risk. These policies include an evaluation of prospective counterparties' financial condition (including credit ratings), collateral requirements under certain circumstances, the use of standardized agreements that allow for the netting of positive and negative exposures associated

with a single counterparty and other security such as lock-box liens and downgrade triggers.

The company monitors credit risk through a credit approval process and the assignment and monitoring of credit limits. These credit limits are established based on risk and return considerations under terms customarily available in the industry.

The company periodically enters into interest-rate swap agreements to moderate exposure to interest-rate changes and to lower the overall cost of borrowing. The company would be exposed to interest-rate fluctuations on the underlying debt should counterparties to the agreement not perform. See "Interest-Rate Risk" for additional information regarding the company's use of interest-rate swap agreements.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND KEY NON-CASH PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Certain accounting policies are viewed by management as critical because their application is the most relevant, judgmental and/or material to the company's financial position and results of operations, and/or because they require the use of material judgments and estimates.

The company's most significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The most critical policies, all of which are mandatory under generally accepted accounting principles and the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, are the following:

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," establishes the amounts and timing of when the company provides for contingent losses. Details of the company's issues in this area are discussed in Note 10 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

SFAS 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation," has a significant effect on the way the California Utilities record assets and liabilities, and the related revenues and expenses, that would not be recorded absent the principles contained in SFAS 71.

SFAS 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," governs the way the company provides for income taxes. Details of the company's issues in this area are discussed in Note 4 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" and SFAS 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure," give companies the choice of recognizing a cost at the time of issuance of stock options or merely disclosing what that cost would have been and not recognizing it in its financial statements. Sempra Energy, like most U.S. companies, has elected the disclosure option for all options that are so eligible. The subsidiaries record an expense for the stock-based compensation plans to the extent that subsidiary employees participate in the plans, or that subsidiaries are allocated a portion of Sempra

Energy's cost of the plans. The effect of this is discussed in Note 1 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

SFAS 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," SFAS 138, "Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities" and SFAS 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", have a significant effect on the balance sheets of the company but have no significant effect on its income statements because of the principles contained in SFAS 71.

In connection with the application of these and other accounting policies, the company makes estimates and judgments about various matters. The most significant of these involve:

The collectibility of receivables, regulatory assets, deferred tax assets and other assets.

The various assumptions used in actuarial calculations for pension and other postretirement benefit plans.

The likelihood of recovery of various deferred tax assets.

The probable costs to be incurred in the resolution of litigation. Differences between estimates and actual amounts have had significant impacts in the past and are likely to do so in the future.

As discussed elsewhere herein, the company uses exchange quotations or other third-party pricing to estimate fair values whenever possible. When no such data is available, it uses internally developed models and other techniques. The assumed collectibility of receivables considers the aging of the receivables, the creditworthiness of customers and the enforceability of contracts, where applicable. The assumed collectibility of regulatory assets considers legal and regulatory decisions involving the specific items or similar items. The assumed collectibility of other assets considers the nature of the item, the enforceability of contracts where applicable, the creditworthiness of the other parties and other factors. Costs to fulfill contracts that are carried at fair value are based on prior experience. Actuarial assumptions are based on the advice of the company's independent actuaries. The likelihood of deferred tax recovery is based on analyses of the deferred tax assets and the company's expectation of future financial and/or taxable income, based on its strategic planning.

Choices among alternative accounting policies that are material to the company's financial statements and information concerning significant estimates have been discussed with the audit committee of the board of directors.

Key non-cash performance indicators for the company include numbers of customers and quantities of natural gas sold. This information is provided in "Introduction" and "Results of Operations."

## NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Relevant pronouncements that have recently become effective and have had a significant effect on the company are SFAS 143, 148, 149, 150 and FIN 45. They are described in Note 1 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Pronouncements that could have a material effect on the company are described below.

SFAS 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations": SFAS 143, requires entities to record the fair value of liabilities for legal obligations related to asset retirements in the period in which they are incurred. It also requires the company to reclassify amounts recovered in rates for future removal costs not covered by a legal obligation from accumulated depreciation to a regulatory liability.

SFAS 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities": SFAS 149 amends and clarifies accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS 133. Under SFAS 149 natural gas forward contracts that are subject to unplanned netting (see Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements) do not qualify for the normal purchases and normal sales exception. The company has determined that all natural gas contracts are subject to unplanned netting and as such, these contracts will be marked-to-market. Implementation of SFAS 149 on July 1, 2003 did not have a material impact on reported net income.

## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information required by Item 7A is set forth under "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Market Risk."

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA -  
Pacific Enterprises

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Pacific Enterprises:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Pacific Enterprises and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the related statements of consolidated income, cash flows and changes in shareholders' equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Pacific Enterprises and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/S/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

San Diego, California  
February 23, 2004

PACIFIC ENTERPRISES AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME  
 (Dollars in millions)

Years ended

December  
 31, 2003  
 2002 2001 -

-----

-----

~~OPERATING  
 REVENUES \$~~

~~3,544 \$~~

~~2,050 \$~~

~~3,716~~

-----

~~OPERATING  
 EXPENSES~~

~~Cost of~~

~~natural gas~~

~~1,830 1,192~~

~~2,117 Other~~

~~operating~~

~~expenses~~

~~950 879 794~~

~~Depreciation~~

~~289 276 268~~

~~Income~~

~~taxes 132~~

~~172 167~~

~~Franchise~~

~~fees and~~

~~other taxes~~

~~106 93 101~~

-----

~~Total~~

~~operating~~

~~expenses~~

~~3,307 2,612~~

~~3,447~~

-----

~~Operating~~

~~income 237~~

~~246 269~~

-----

~~Other~~

~~income and~~

~~(deductions)~~

~~Interest~~

~~income 38~~

~~11 40~~

~~Regulatory~~

~~interest~~

~~net 3 (4)~~

~~(19)~~

~~Allowance~~

~~for equity~~

~~funds used~~

~~during~~

~~construction~~

~~9 10 6~~

~~Income~~

~~taxes on~~

~~non-~~

~~operating~~

~~income (8)~~

~~2 (4)~~

~~Preferred~~

~~dividends~~

~~of~~

~~subsidiaries~~

~~(1) (1) (1)~~

~~Other net~~

~~(6) 9 1~~

-----

~~Total 35 27~~

~~23~~

-----

~~Interest~~

~~charges~~

Long term  
debt 41 40  
63 Other 13  
23 25  
Allowance  
for  
borrowed  
funds used  
during  
construction  
(3) (3) (2)

-----  
Total 51  
60 86  
-----

Net  
income 221  
213 206  
Preferred  
dividend  
requirements  
4 4 4  
-----

Earnings  
applicable  
to common  
shares \$  
217 \$ 209 \$  
202 -----  
-----

----- See  
notes to  
Consolidated  
Financial  
Statements.

PACIFIC ENTERPRISES AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
 (Dollars in millions)

December 31,

	2003	2002
<hr/>		
- ASSETS		
Utility plant		
<del>at original</del>		
<del>cost \$ 7,008</del>		
<del>\$ 6,701</del>		
Accumulated		
<del>depreciation</del>		
<del>(2,739)</del>		
<del>(2,590)</del>		
<hr/>		
Utility plant		
<del>net 4,269</del>		
4,111		
<hr/>		
Current		
assets: Cash		
and cash		
equivalents		
32 22		
Accounts		
receivable—		
trade 509 458		
Accounts		
receivable—		
other 36 44		
Interest		
receivable 30		
Due from		
unconsolidated		
affiliates 76		
83 Income		
taxes		
receivable		
110 97		
Deferred		
income taxes		
55		
Regulatory		
assets		
arising from		
fixed price		
contracts and		
other		
derivatives		
85 92 Other		
regulatory		
assets 8		
Inventories		
74 76 Other		
12 20		
<hr/>		
Total		
current		
assets 972		
947		
<hr/>		
Other		
assets: Due		
from		
unconsolidated		
affiliates		
356 419		
Regulatory		
assets		
arising from		
fixed price		
contracts and		
other		
derivatives		
148 233		
Sundry 150		
173		
<hr/>		
Total		
other assets		
654 825		
<hr/>		
Total assets		
\$ 5,895 \$		
5,883		

~~----- See  
notes to  
Consolidated  
Financial  
Statements.~~

PACIFIC ENTERPRISES AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
 (Dollars in millions)

December 31, --

-----  
 ----- 2003  
 2002 ----- -  
 -----

~~CAPITALIZATION  
 AND LIABILITIES~~

~~Capitalization:~~

~~Common stock  
 (600 million  
 shares~~

~~authorized; 84  
 million shares  
 outstanding) \$  
 1,367 \$ 1,318~~

~~Retained  
 earnings 253~~

~~286 Accumulated  
 other~~

~~comprehensive  
 income/(loss)  
 (3)~~

~~----- Total  
 common equity  
 1,617 1,604~~

~~Preferred stock  
 80 80~~

~~----- Total  
 shareholders'  
 equity 1,697~~

~~1,684 Long term  
 debt 762 657~~

~~-----  
 Total  
 capitalization  
 2,459 2,341~~

~~Current  
 liabilities:~~

~~Accounts  
 payable - trade  
 227 200~~

~~Accounts  
 payable - other  
 44 36 Due to~~

~~unconsolidated  
 affiliates 121~~

~~96 Interest  
 payable 18 25~~

~~Deferred income  
 taxes 24~~

~~Regulatory  
 balancing  
 accounts - net  
 86 184~~

~~Regulatory  
 liabilities -  
 16 Fixed price~~

~~contracts and  
 other  
 derivatives 86~~

~~96 Current  
 portion of  
 long term debt  
 175 175~~

~~Customer  
 deposits 43 108  
 Other 262 265~~

~~-----  
 Total current  
 liabilities  
 1,086 1,201~~

~~Deferred  
 credits and  
 other  
 liabilities:~~

~~Customer  
 advances for  
 construction 40~~

Postretirement  
benefits other  
than pensions  
~~72 77~~ Deferred  
income taxes  
185 176  
Deferred  
investment tax  
credits ~~44 47~~  
Regulatory  
liabilities  
arising from  
cost of removal  
obligations  
~~1,392 1,324~~  
Regulatory  
liabilities ~~108~~  
~~121~~ Fixed price  
contracts and  
other  
derivatives ~~148~~  
~~233~~ Preferred  
stock of  
subsidiary ~~20~~  
~~20~~ Deferred  
credits and  
other ~~341 306~~

---

Total deferred  
credits and  
other  
liabilities  
~~2,350 2,341~~

---

Contingencies  
and commitments  
(Note 10) Total  
liabilities and  
shareholders'  
equity \$ 5,895  
~~\$ 5,883~~

----- See  
notes to  
Consolidated  
Financial  
Statements.

PACIFIC ENTERPRISES AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS  
 (Dollars in millions)

Years ended  
 December  
 31, 2003  
 2002 2001 -

~~CASH FLOWS  
 FROM~~

~~OPERATING  
 ACTIVITIES~~

~~Net income  
 \$ 221 \$ 213  
 \$ 206~~

~~Adjustments  
 to  
 reconcile  
 net income  
 to net cash  
 provided by  
 operating  
 activities:~~

~~Depreciation  
 289 276 268~~

~~Deferred  
 income  
 taxes and  
 investment  
 tax credits  
 65 47 24~~

~~Changes in  
 other  
 assets (3)  
 16 (12)~~

~~Changes in  
 other  
 liabilities  
 (10) 32~~

~~Changes in  
 working  
 capital  
 components:~~

~~Accounts  
 and notes  
 receivable  
 (44) (67)  
 244~~

~~Interest  
 receivable  
 (30) —~~

~~Fixed price  
 contracts  
 and other  
 derivatives  
 (2) 6 (2)~~

~~Inventories  
 2 (34) 25~~

~~Other  
 current  
 assets 10  
 (4) 4~~

~~Accounts  
 payable 35  
 (4) (171)~~

~~Income  
 taxes (25)  
 (78) (71)~~

~~Due to/from  
 affiliates  
 net 37 12~~

~~5  
 Regulatory  
 balancing  
 accounts  
 (99) 80  
 (338)~~

~~Regulatory  
 assets and  
 liabilities  
 (24) 1 39  
 Customer  
 deposits~~

~~(64) 66 8~~  
~~Other~~  
~~current~~  
~~liabilities~~  
~~17 (9) 39~~

~~Net cash~~  
~~provided by~~  
~~operating~~  
~~activities~~  
~~375 521 300~~

~~CASH~~  
~~Flows from~~  
~~investing~~  
~~activities~~  
~~Capital~~

~~expenditures~~  
~~(310) (331)~~  
~~(294) Loans~~  
~~to/from~~  
~~affiliates~~  
~~net 97~~  
~~(177) 220~~

~~Net~~  
~~proceeds~~  
~~from sale~~  
~~of assets 5~~

~~Net~~  
~~cash used~~  
~~in~~  
~~investing~~  
~~activities~~  
~~(216) (500)~~  
~~(74)~~

~~CASH~~  
~~Flows from~~  
~~financing~~  
~~activities~~  
~~Common~~

~~dividends~~  
~~paid (250)~~  
~~(100) (190)~~  
~~Preferred~~  
~~dividends~~  
~~paid (4)~~  
~~(4) (4)~~

~~Issuance of~~  
~~long term~~  
~~debt 500~~  
~~250~~

~~Payments on~~  
~~long term~~  
~~debt (395)~~  
~~(100) (270)~~

~~Increase~~  
~~(decrease)~~  
~~in short~~  
~~term debt~~  
~~(50) 50~~

~~Other~~  
~~(4)~~

~~Net~~  
~~cash used~~  
~~in~~  
~~financing~~  
~~activities~~  
~~(149) (4)~~  
~~(418)~~

~~Increase~~  
~~(decrease)~~  
~~in cash and~~  
~~cash~~  
~~equivalents~~  
~~10 9 (192)~~  
~~Cash and~~  
~~cash~~  
~~equivalents,~~  
~~January 1~~  
~~22 13 205~~

~~Cash and  
cash  
equivalents,  
December 31  
\$ 32 \$ 22 \$  
13 -----  
-----  
-----~~

~~SUPPLEMENTAL  
DISCLOSURE  
OF CASH  
FLOW  
INFORMATION~~

~~Interest  
payments,  
net of  
amounts  
capitalized  
\$ 54 \$ 50 \$  
03 -----  
-----  
-----~~

~~Income tax  
payments,  
net of  
refunds \$  
99 \$ 200 \$  
209 -----  
-----  
-----~~

~~SUPPLEMENTAL  
SCHEDULE OF  
NON CASH  
INVESTING  
AND  
FINANCING  
ACTIVITIES~~

~~Assets  
contributed  
by Sempra  
Energy \$ 40  
\$ -----  
Liabilities  
assumed  
(17) -----  
-----  
-----~~

~~Net  
assets  
contributed  
by Sempra  
Energy \$ 31  
\$ -----  
-----  
-----~~

~~See  
notes to  
Consolidated  
Financial  
Statements.~~

PACIFIC ENTERPRISES AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
 Years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

(Dollars in millions)

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Equity	Total	Preferred Common	Retained	Comprehensive	Shareholders' Income	Stock	Stock	Earnings	
-----									
Balance at December 31, 2000	\$ 80	\$1,282	\$ 165	\$ (1)	\$1,526	Net income	\$206	206	
comprehensive income adjustment	1	1	1	1	Comprehensive income	\$207	-----	Quasi reorganization adjustment (Note 1)	
35	Preferred stock dividends declared	(4)	(4)	Common stock dividends declared	(190)	(190)	-----		
-----									
2001	80	1,317	177	1,574	Net income/comprehensive income	\$213	213	213	
(4)	Common stock dividends declared	(100)	(100)	Capital contribution	1	1	-----		
-----									
1,684	Net income	\$221	221	221	Other comprehensive income adjustment	pension	(3)	(3)	
\$218	-----	Quasi reorganization adjustment (Note 1)	18	18	Preferred stock dividends declared	(4)	(4)	Common stock	
dividends declared	(250)	(250)	Capital contribution	31	31	-----			
-----									
						Balance at December 31, 2003	\$ 80	\$1,367	\$ 253
									\$ (3)
									\$1,697

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## NOTE 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Principles of Consolidation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Pacific Enterprises (PE or the company) and its subsidiary, Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas or the company). The financial statements herein are, in one case, the Consolidated Financial Statements of PE and its subsidiary, SoCalGas, and, in the second case, the Consolidated Financial Statements of SoCalGas and its subsidiaries, which comprise less than one percent of SoCalGas' consolidated financial position and results of operations. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

As a subsidiary of Sempra Energy, the company receives certain services therefrom, for which it is charged its allocable share of the cost of such services. Management believes that cost is reasonable, but probably less than if the company had to provide those services itself.

## Quasi-Reorganization

In 1993, PE divested substantially all of its non-utility business and effected a quasi-reorganization for financial reporting purposes as of December 31, 1992. Certain of the liabilities established in connection with the quasi-reorganization, including various income-tax issues, were favorably resolved, resulting in restoring \$35 million and \$18 million to shareholders' equity in 2001 and 2003, respectively. These restorations did not affect the calculation of net income or comprehensive income. The remaining liabilities will be resolved in future years and management believes the provisions established for these matters are adequate.

## Use of Estimates in the Preparation of the Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period, and the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual amounts can differ significantly from those estimates.

## Basis of Presentation

Certain prior-year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation.

## Regulatory Matters

## Effects of Regulation

The accounting policies of the company conform with generally accepted accounting principles for regulated enterprises and reflect the policies of the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). SoCalGas and its

affiliate, San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E), are collectively referred to herein as "the California Utilities."

The company prepares its financial statements in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation," under which a regulated utility records a regulatory asset if it is probable that, through the ratemaking process, the utility will recover that asset from customers. Regulatory liabilities represent reductions in future rates for amounts due to customers. To the extent that recovery is no longer probable as a result of changes in regulation or the utility's competitive position, the related regulatory assets and liabilities would be written off. In addition, SFAS 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" requires that a loss must be recognized whenever a regulator excludes all or part of utility plant or regulatory assets from ratebase. Information concerning regulatory assets and liabilities is described in "Revenues", "Regulatory Balancing Accounts" and "Regulatory Assets and Liabilities".

#### Regulatory Balancing Accounts

The amounts included in regulatory balancing accounts at December 31, 2003 represent net payables (payables net of receivables) of \$86 million and \$184 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The payables normally are returned by reducing future rates.

Balancing accounts provide a mechanism for charging utility customers the amount actually incurred for certain costs, primarily commodity costs. However, fluctuations in most operating and maintenance costs affect earnings. The CPUC approved 100 percent balancing account treatment for variances between forecast and actual for SoCalGas' noncore revenues and throughput, eliminating the impact on earnings from any throughput and revenue variances from adopted forecast levels. Additional information on regulatory matters is included in Note 9.

#### Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

In accordance with the accounting principles of SFAS 71, the company records regulatory assets and regulatory liabilities as discussed above.

Regulatory assets (liabilities) as of December 31 relate to the following matters:

(Dollars in millions)	2003	2002
-----		
SoCalGas		
-----		
Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives	\$ 233	\$ 325
Environmental remediation	44	43
Unamortized loss on retirement of debt - net	45	38
Cost of removal obligations*	(1,392)	(1,324)
Deferred taxes refundable in rates	(192)	(164)
Employee benefit costs	(77)	(142)
Other	8	8
	-----	-----
Total	(1,331)	(1,216)
PE - Employee benefit costs	72	80
	-----	-----
Total PE consolidated	\$ (1,259)	\$ (1,136)
	=====	=====

\* See discussion of SFAS 143 in "New Accounting Standards"

Net regulatory liabilities are recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31 as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	2003	2002
-----		
SoCalGas		
-----		
Current regulatory assets	\$ 93	\$ 92
Noncurrent regulatory assets	148	233
Current regulatory liabilities	--	(16)
Noncurrent regulatory liabilities	(1,572)	(1,525)
	-----	-----
Total	(1,331)	(1,216)
PE - Noncurrent regulatory assets	72	80
	-----	-----
Total PE consolidated	\$ (1,259)	\$ (1,136)
	=====	=====

All of the assets either earn a return, generally at short-term rates, or the cash has not yet been expended and the assets are offset by liabilities that do not incur a carrying cost.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents are highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase.

#### Collection Allowances

The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$4 million, \$4 million and \$14 million at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The company recorded a provision (reduction thereof) for doubtful accounts of \$3 million, (\$5) million and \$9 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

#### Inventories

At December 31, 2003, inventory shown on the Consolidated Balance Sheets included natural gas of \$63 million and materials and supplies of \$11 million. The corresponding balances at December 31, 2002 were \$65 million and \$11 million, respectively. Natural gas is valued by the last-in first-out (LIFO) method. When the inventory is consumed, differences between the LIFO valuation and replacement cost are reflected in customer rates. Materials and supplies at SoCalGas are generally valued at the lower of average cost or market.

#### Property, Plant and Equipment

Utility plant primarily represents the buildings, equipment and other facilities used by SoCalGas to provide natural gas services.

The cost of plant includes labor, materials, contract services and related items. In addition, the cost of plant includes an allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC). The cost of most retired depreciable utility plant minus salvage value is charged to accumulated depreciation.

Accumulated depreciation for natural gas utility plant at SoCalGas was \$2.7 billion and \$2.6 billion at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. See discussion of SFAS 143 under "New Accounting Standards." Depreciation expense is based on the straight-line method over the useful lives of the assets, an average of 23 years in each of 2003, 2002 and 2001, or a shorter period prescribed by the CPUC. The provision for depreciation as a percentage of average depreciable utility plant was 4.36, 4.34 and 4.33 in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. See Note 9 for discussion of industry restructuring. Maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

AFUDC, which represents the cost of funds used to finance the construction of utility plant, is added to the cost of utility plant. AFUDC also increases income, partly as an offset to interest charges and partly as a component of Other Income - Net in the Statements of Consolidated Income, although it is not a current source of cash. AFUDC amounted to \$12 million, \$13 million and \$8 million for 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

#### Legal Fees

Legal fees that are associated with a past event and not expected to be recovered in the future are accrued when it is probable that they will be incurred.

## Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes all changes, except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners, in the equity of a business enterprise from transactions and other events, including foreign-currency translation adjustments, minimum pension liability adjustments and certain hedging activities. The components of other comprehensive income are shown in the Statements of Consolidated Changes in Shareholders' Equity.

## Revenues

Revenues of SoCalGas are primarily derived from deliveries of natural gas to customers and changes in related regulatory balancing accounts. Revenues from natural gas sales and services are generally recorded under the accrual method and recognized upon delivery. Operating revenue includes amounts for services rendered but unbilled (approximately one-half month's deliveries) at the end of each year.

Additional information concerning utility revenue recognition is discussed above under "Regulatory Matters."

## Transactions with Affiliates

At December 31, 2003, PE has intercompany receivables from Sempra Energy and other affiliates of \$73 million and \$3 million, respectively. The corresponding amounts at December 31, 2002 were \$81 million and \$2 million, respectively. Of the total balances, \$22 million and \$81 million were recorded at SoCalGas at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Such amounts are included in current assets under the caption Due from Unconsolidated Affiliates. PE has a promissory note due from Sempra Energy which bears a variable interest rate based on short-term commercial paper rates. The balances of the note were \$354 million and \$416 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and are included in noncurrent assets as Due from Unconsolidated Affiliates. PE also had \$2 million and \$3 million due from other affiliates at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

In addition, PE had intercompany payables due to various affiliates of \$121 million and \$96 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, which are reported as a current liability. These balances are due on demand. Of the total balances, \$55 million and \$31 million were recorded at SoCalGas at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

## New Accounting Standards

SFAS 132 (revised 2003), "Employers Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits": This statement revised employers' disclosures about pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans. It requires disclosures beyond those in the original SFAS 132 about the assets, obligations, cash flows and net periodic benefit cost of defined benefit pension plans and other defined postretirement plans. It does not change the measurement or recognition of those plans.

SFAS 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations": SFAS 143, issued in July 2001, addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived

assets and the associated asset retirement costs. It applies to legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and/or normal operation of long-lived assets, such as nuclear plants. It requires entities to record the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation in the period in which it is incurred. When the liability is initially recorded, the entity increases the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset by the present value of the future retirement cost. Over time, the liability is accreted to its full value and paid, and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset.

On January 1, 2003, the company recorded asset retirement obligations of \$10 million associated with the future retirement of three storage facilities.

The change in the asset retirement obligations for the year ended December 31, 2003 is as follows (dollars in millions):

Balance as of January 1, 2003	\$ --
Adoption of SFAS 143	10
Accretion expense	1
	-----
Balance as of December 31, 2003	\$ 11*
	=====

\* The current portion of the obligation is included in Other Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Had SFAS 143 been in effect on January 1, 2002, the asset retirement obligation liability would have been \$9 million as of that date.

Except for the items noted above, the company has determined that there is no other material retirement obligation associated with tangible long-lived assets.

Implementation of SFAS 143 has had no effect on results of operations and is not expected to have a significant effect in the future.

In accordance with CPUC regulation the company collects estimated removal costs in rates through depreciation. SFAS 143 also requires the company to reclassify estimated removal costs, which have historically been recorded in accumulated depreciation, to a regulatory liability. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, these costs were \$1.4 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively.

SFAS 144, "Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets": In August 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS 144, which replaces SFAS 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of." It applies to all long-lived assets. Among other things SFAS 144 requires that those long-lived assets classified as held for sale be measured at the lower of carrying amount (cost less accumulated depreciation) or fair value less cost to sell. Adoption of this statement on January 1, 2002 had no impact on the company's financial statements.

SFAS 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure": In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS 148, an amendment

to SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," which gives companies electing to expense employee stock options three methods to do so. In addition, the statement amends the disclosure requirements to require more prominent disclosure about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results in both annual and interim financial statements.

Sempra Energy has elected to continue using the intrinsic value method of accounting for stock-based compensation. Therefore, SFAS 148 will not have any effect on the companies' financial statements. See Note 6 for additional information regarding stock-based compensation.

SFAS 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities": Effective July 1, 2003, SFAS 149 amended and clarified accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS 133. Under SFAS 149 natural gas forward contracts that are subject to unplanned netting generally do not qualify for the normal purchases and normal sales exception. ("Unplanned netting" refers to situations whereby contracts are settled by paying or receiving money for the difference between the contract price and the market price at the date on which physical delivery would have occurred.) In addition, effective January 1, 2004, power contracts that are subject to unplanned netting and that do not meet the normal purchases and normal sales exception under SFAS 149 will continue to be marked to market. Implementation of SFAS 149 did not have a material impact on reported net income.

Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 03-11, "Reporting Realized Gains and Losses on Derivative Instruments that are Subject to FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities and Not 'Held for Trading Purposes' as Defined in EITF Issue No. 02-3, Issues Involved in Accounting for Derivative Contracts Held for Trading Purposes and Contracts Involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities": During 2003, the EITF reached a consensus that determining whether realized gains and losses on physically settled derivative contracts not held for trading purposes should be reported in the income statement on a gross or net basis is a matter of judgment that depends on the relevant facts and circumstances. Adoption of EITF 03-11 in 2003 did not have a significant impact to the company's financial statements and the company does not expect a significant impact in the future.

FASB Interpretation No. (FIN) 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees": In November 2002, the FASB issued FIN 45, which elaborates on the disclosures to be made in interim and annual financial statements of a guarantor about its obligations under certain guarantees that it has issued. It also clarifies that a guarantor is required to recognize, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing a guarantee. As of December 31, 2003, the company did not have any outstanding guarantees.

FASB Staff Position (FSP) 106-1, "Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003": Issued January 12, 2004, FSP 106-1 permits a sponsor of a postretirement health care plan that provides a

prescription drug benefit to make a one-time election to defer accounting for the effects of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (the Act). The company has elected to defer the effects of the Act as provided by FSP 106-1. Any measure of the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation or net periodic postretirement benefit cost in the financial statements or the accompanying notes do not reflect the impact of the Act on the plans. At this time, specific authoritative guidance on the accounting for the federal subsidy provided by the Act is pending and that guidance could require the company to change previously reported information.

Other Accounting Standards: During 2003 and 2002 the FASB and the EITF issued several statements that are not applicable to the companies but could be in the future. In July 2001, the FASB issued SFAS 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." In April 2002, the FASB issued SFAS 145, which rescinds SFAS 4, "Reporting Gains and Losses from Extinguishment of Debt", and SFAS 64, "Extinguishments of Debt Made to Satisfy Sinking-Fund Requirements." In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." SFAS 146 supersedes previous accounting guidance, principally EITF 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." In 2003 the FASB issued SFAS 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity." In 2002, consensus were reached in EITF 02-3 and the rescission of EITF 98-10, both dealing with mark-to-market accounting for energy-trading activities. In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an Interpretation of ARB No. 51."

#### NOTE 2. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

##### Committed Lines of Credit

SoCalGas and its affiliate, SDG&E, have a combined revolving line of credit, under which each utility individually may borrow up to \$300 million, subject to a combined borrowing limit for both utilities of \$500 million. Borrowings under the agreement bear interest at rates varying with market rates and SoCalGas' credit rating. The revolving credit commitment expires in May 2004, at which time outstanding borrowings may be converted into a one-year term loan subject to any requisite regulatory approvals related to long-term debt. The agreement requires SoCalGas to maintain a debt-to-total capitalization ratio (as defined in the agreement) of not to exceed 60 percent. Borrowings under the agreement are individual obligations of the borrowing utility and a default by one utility would not constitute a default or preclude borrowings by the other. These lines of credit have never been drawn upon. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, SoCalGas had no commercial paper outstanding.

PE has a \$375 million revolving agreement, guaranteed by Sempra Energy, for the purpose of providing loans to Sempra Energy Global Enterprises (Global). The revolving credit commitment, initially \$500 million and \$375 million at December 31, 2003, declines semi-annually by \$125 million until expiration on April 5, 2005. Borrowings are guaranteed by Sempra Energy and are subject to mandatory repayment prior to the maturity date should SoCalGas' unsecured long-term credit ratings cease

to be at least BBB by Standard & Poor's (S&P) and Baa2 by Moody's Investor Services, Inc. (Moody's), should Sempra Energy's or SoCalGas' debt-to-total capitalization ratio (as defined in the agreement) exceed 65 percent, or should there be a change in law materially and adversely affecting the ability of SoCalGas to pay dividends or make distributions to PE. Borrowings bear interest at rates varying with market rates, PE's credit ratings and the amount of outstanding borrowings. This line of credit has never been used.

## NOTE 3. LONG-TERM DEBT

(Dollars in millions)	December 31,	
	2003	2002
-----		
First Mortgage bonds		
4.375% January 15, 2011	\$ 100	\$ --
Variable rates after fixed to floating rate swaps (1.43% at December 31, 2003) January 15, 2011	150	--
4.8% October 1, 2012	250	250
5.45% April 15, 2018	250	--
6.875% November 1, 2025	175	175
5.75% November 15, 2003	--	100
7.375% March 1, 2023	--	100
7.5% June 15, 2023	--	125
	-----	-----
	925	750
	-----	-----
Other long-term debt		
5.67% January 18, 2028	5	75
6.375% May 14, 2006	8	8
	-----	-----
	13	83
	-----	-----
	938	833
Current portion of long-term debt	(175)	(175)
Unamortized discount on long-term debt	(1)	(1)
	-----	-----
Total	\$ 762	\$ 657
-----		

Maturities of long-term debt are \$175 million in 2004, \$8 million in 2006 and \$755 million thereafter. On January 26, 2004, SoCalGas optionally redeemed its \$175 million 6.875% first mortgage bonds. Therefore that liability is classified as current at December 31, 2003.

## Callable Bonds

At SoCalGas' option, certain bonds are callable at various dates. Of SoCalGas' callable bonds, \$175 million are callable in 2004 and \$8 million in 2006.

## First Mortgage Bonds

The first mortgage bonds are secured by a lien on SoCalGas' utility plant. SoCalGas may issue additional first mortgage bonds upon

compliance with the provisions of its bond indentures, which require, among other things, the satisfaction of pro forma earnings-coverage tests on first mortgage bond interest and the availability of sufficient mortgaged property to support the additional bonds, after giving effect to prior bond redemptions. The most restrictive of these tests (the property test) would permit the issuance, subject to CPUC authorization, of an additional \$490 million of first mortgage bonds at December 31, 2003.

In November 2001, SoCalGas optionally redeemed its \$150 million 8.75% first mortgage bonds. In December 2001, SoCalGas entered into an interest-rate swap which effectively exchanged the fixed rate on its \$175 million 6.875% first mortgage bonds for a floating rate. In September 2002, SoCalGas terminated the swap, receiving cash proceeds of \$10 million, comprised of \$4 million in accrued interest and a \$6 million amortizable gain.

In August 2002, SoCalGas paid at maturity its \$100 million 6.875% first mortgage bonds. In October 2002, SoCalGas publicly offered and sold \$250 million of 4.8% first mortgage bonds, maturing on October 1, 2012. The bonds are not subject to a sinking fund and are redeemable prior to maturity only through a make-whole mechanism. Proceeds from the bond sale were used to replenish amounts previously expended to refund and retire indebtedness, and for working capital and other general corporate purposes.

On April 7, 2003, SoCalGas optionally redeemed its \$100 million 7.375% first mortgage bonds. On August 21, 2003, SoCalGas optionally redeemed its \$125 million 7.5% first mortgage bonds.

On October 17, 2003, SoCalGas issued \$250 million of 5.45% first mortgage bonds due in April 2018. The proceeds were used to replenish amounts previously expended to refund and retire indebtedness and for general corporate purposes. On November 17, 2003, SoCalGas paid off its \$100 million 5.75% first mortgage bonds.

On December 15, 2003, SoCalGas issued \$250 million of 4.375% first mortgage bonds maturing in January 2011. The proceeds were used to retire outstanding debt and for other general corporate purposes. On December 15, 2003, SoCalGas entered into an interest-rate swap which effectively exchanged the fixed rate on \$150 million of the 4.375% first mortgage bonds for a floating rate.

#### Unsecured Long-term Debt

Various long-term obligations totaling \$13 million are unsecured at December 31, 2003.

In October 2001, SoCalGas paid at maturity its \$120 million of 6.38% medium-term notes.

On January 15, 2003, \$70 million of SoCalGas' 5.67% \$75 million medium-term notes were put back to the company. The remaining \$5 million matures on January 18, 2028.

## Interest-Rate Swaps

The company periodically enters into interest-rate swap agreements to moderate its exposure to interest-rate changes and to lower its overall cost of borrowing. The schedule of long-term debt reflects past swap interest rates. The company believes the swaps have been fully effective in their purpose of converting the underlying debt's fixed rates to floating rates and meet the criteria for accounting under one of the methods defined in SFAS 133 for fair value hedges of debt instruments.

## NOTE 4. INCOME TAXES

The reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate to the effective income tax rate is as follows:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
-----			
Statutory federal income tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Depreciation	6.1	5.2	5.4
State income taxes - net of federal income tax benefit	5.8	5.4	6.9
Tax credits	(0.8)	(0.8)	(0.8)
Settlement of Internal Revenue Service audit	(3.1)	--	--
Other - net	(4.2)	(0.4)	(1.1)
-----			
Effective income tax rate	38.8%	44.4%	45.4%
-----			

The components of income tax expense are as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	2003	2002	2001
-----			
Current:			
Federal	\$ 52	\$ 94	\$ 116
State	23	29	30
Total	-----	-----	-----
	75	123	146
-----			
Deferred:			
Federal	58	45	20
State	10	5	8
Total	-----	-----	-----
	68	50	28
-----			
Deferred investment tax credits	(3)	(3)	(3)
-----			
Total income tax expense	\$ 140	\$ 170	\$ 171
-----			

On the Statements of Consolidated Income, federal and state income taxes are allocated between operating income and other income. The companies are included in the consolidated income tax return of Sempra Energy and are allocated income tax expense from Sempra Energy in an amount equal to that which would result from their having always filed a separate return.

Accumulated deferred income taxes at December 31 relate to the following:

(Dollars in millions)	2003	2002
-----		
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Differences in financial and tax bases of utility plant	\$ 301	\$ 290
Regulatory balancing accounts	93	54
Regulatory assets	32	32
Global settlement	15	11
Loss on reacquired debt	17	16
Unbilled revenue	--	36
Other	29	77
	-----	
Total deferred tax liabilities	487	516
	-----	
Deferred tax assets:		
Investment tax credits	31	32
Postretirement benefits	77	100
Deferred compensation	19	13
State income taxes	11	20
Workers compensation	20	20
Contingent liabilities	95	117
Lease	18	21
Restructuring costs	--	42
Other	7	30
	-----	
Total deferred tax assets	278	395
	-----	
Net deferred income tax liability	\$ 209	\$ 121
	-----	

The net deferred income tax liability is recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31 as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	2003	2002
-----		
Current (asset) liability	\$ 24	\$ (55)
Noncurrent liability	185	176
	-----	
Total	\$ 209	\$ 121
	-----	

#### Resolution of Certain Internal Revenue Service Matters

The company favorably resolved matters related to various prior years' returns during 2003. The primary issue involving the treatment of utility balancing accounts for the company was resolved following the issuance of an IRS Revenue Ruling and resolution of factual issues involving these claims with the IRS. The total after-tax earnings for this issue was \$29 million.

## NOTE 5. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

## Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

The company has funded and unfunded noncontributory defined benefit plans that together cover substantially all of its employees. The plans provide defined benefits based on years of service and final average salary.

The company also has other postretirement benefit plans covering substantially all of its employees. The life insurance plans are noncontributory and the health care plans are contributory, with participants' contributions adjusted annually. Other postretirement benefits include retiree life insurance, medical benefits for retirees and their spouses and Medicare Part B reimbursement for certain retirees.

During 2002, the company had amendments reflecting retiree cost of living adjustments, which resulted in an increase in the pension plan benefit obligation of \$48 million.

There were no amendments to the company's pension and other postretirement benefit plans in 2003.

December 31 is the measurement date for the pension and other postretirement benefit plans.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the changes in the plans' projected benefit obligations during the latest two years, the fair value of assets and a statement of the funded status as of the latest two year ends:

Other Pension  
Benefits  
Postretirement  
Benefits ----  
-----  
-----

-- (Dollars  
in millions)

2003 2002  
2003 2002 - -  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

-----  
CHANGE IN  
PROJECTED  
BENEFIT  
OBLIGATION:  
Net

obligation at  
January 1 \$  
1,360 \$ 1,111  
\$ 682 \$ 457  
Service cost  
27 27 15 10  
Interest cost  
90 86 47 35  
Actuarial  
loss 172 98  
103 177  
Transfer of  
liability  
from Semptra  
Energy 6 91  
30 Benefit  
payments  
(112) (93)  
(27) (27)  
Plan  
amendments ---  
48  
-----  
-----

-----  
Net  
obligation at  
December 31  
1,551 1,360  
820 682  
-----  
-----

-----  
CHANGE IN  
PLAN ASSETS:

Fair value of  
plan assets  
at January 1  
1,299 1,452  
370 392  
Actual return  
on plan  
assets 294  
(168) 83 (44)  
Employer  
contributions  
2 1 45 17  
Transfer of  
assets from  
Semptra Energy  
97 30  
Benefit  
payments  
(112) (93)  
(27) (27)  
Other  
2  
-----  
-----

~~Fair value of  
plan assets  
at December  
31 1,473  
1,289 471 370~~

~~Benefit  
obligation,  
net of plan  
assets at  
December 31  
(79) (79)  
(349) (312)  
Unrecognized  
net actuarial  
loss 71 82  
277 235  
Unrecognized  
prior service  
cost 71 78~~

~~Unrecognized  
net  
transition  
obligation 1  
1~~

~~Net  
recorded  
asset  
(liability)  
at December  
31 \$ 65 \$ 82  
\$ (72) \$ (77)~~

~~The following  
table  
provides the  
amounts  
recognized on  
the  
Consolidated  
Balance  
Sheets (in  
Noncurrent  
Sundry Assets  
and  
Postretirement  
Benefits  
Other Than  
Pensions) at  
December 31:  
Other Pension  
Benefits  
Postretirement  
Benefits~~

~~(Dollars in  
millions)  
2003 2002  
2003 2002~~

~~Prepaid  
benefit cost  
\$ 78 \$ 93 \$  
\$  
Accrued  
benefit cost~~

~~(13) (11)~~  
~~(72) (77)~~  
 Additional  
 minimum  
 liability (6)  


---

 Accumulated  
 other  
 comprehensive  
 income,  
 pretax 6  


---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---

Net  
 recorded  
 asset  
 (liability) \$  
 65 \$ 82 \$  
~~(72) \$ (77)~~

48 At  
 December 31,  
 2003, the  
 company's  
 pension plan  
 had benefit  
 obligations  
 in excess of  
 its plan  
 assets. The  
 following  
 table  
 provides the  
 projected  
 benefit  
 obligation,  
 the  
 accumulated  
 benefit  
 obligation  
 and fair  
 market value  
 of the plan  
 assets at  
 December 31:  
 Projected  
 Benefit  
 Accumulated  
 Benefit  
 Obligation  
 Exceeds  
 Obligation  
 Exceeds the  
 Fair Value of  
 the Fair  
 Value of Plan  
 Assets Plan  
 Assets

(Dollars in  
 millions)  
 2003 2002  
 2003 2002

Projected  
 benefit  
 obligation \$  
 1,551 \$ 1,360  
 \$ 25 \$ 13  
 Accumulated  
 benefit  
 obligation \$  
 1,354 \$ 1,177

~~§ 20 § 12~~  
~~Fair value of~~  
~~plan assets §~~  
~~1,473 § 1,289~~  
~~§ — § —~~

The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit costs for the years ended December 31:

Other Pension  
Benefits  
Postretirement  
Benefits ----  
-----  
-----  
-----

(Dollars in  
millions)

2003 2002  
2001 2003  
2002 2001 - -

Service cost	\$ 27	\$ 27	\$ 25	\$ 15	\$ 10
Interest cost	90	86	78	47	35
Expected return on assets	(107)	(130)	(129)	(32)	(35)
Amortization of transition obligation	1	1	8	8	8
Prior service cost	6	4	3		
Actuarial (gain) loss	(19)	(28)	9	(3)	
Regulatory adjustment	(14)	32	51	(4)	24
<b>Total net periodic benefit cost</b>	<b>\$ 4</b>	<b>\$ 1</b>	<b>\$ 1</b>	<b>\$ 43</b>	<b>\$ 41</b>

The significant assumptions related to the company's pension and other postretirement benefit plans are as follows:

Other Pension Benefits  
Postretirement Benefits ----  
-----  
-----  
-----

2003 2002  
2003 2002 - -  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

-----  
~~WEIGHTED-  
AVERAGE  
ASSUMPTIONS  
USED TO  
DETERMINE  
BENEFIT  
OBLIGATION AS  
OF DECEMBER  
31: Discount  
rate 6.00%  
6.50% 6.00%  
6.50% Rate of  
compensation  
increase  
4.50% 4.50%  
4.50% 4.50%~~  
~~WEIGHTED-  
AVERAGE  
ASSUMPTIONS  
USED TO  
DETERMINE NET  
PERIODIC  
BENEFIT COSTS  
FOR YEARS  
ENDED  
DECEMBER 31:  
Discount rate  
6.50% 7.25%  
6.50% 7.25%  
Expected  
return on  
plan assets  
7.50% 8.00%  
7.50% 8.00%  
Rate of  
compensation  
increase  
4.50% 4.50%  
4.50% 4.50%~~  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is derived from historical returns for broad asset classes consistent with expectations from a variety of sources, including pension consultants and investment advisors.

	2003	2002
-----		
ASSUMED HEALTH CARE COST		
TREND RATES AT DECEMBER 31:		
Health-care cost trend rate	30.00% (1)	7.00%
Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (the ultimate trend)	5.50%	6.50%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend	2008	2004
-----		

(1) This is the weighted average of the increases for all health plans. The 2003 rate for these plans ranged from 15% to 40%.

Assumed health-care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health-care plan costs. A one-percent change

in assumed health-care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

(Dollars in millions)	1% Increase	1% Decrease
Effect on total of service and interest cost components of net periodic postretirement health-care benefit cost	\$ 12	\$ (9)
Effect on the health-care component of the accumulated other postretirement benefit obligation	\$ 141	\$ (112)

## Pension Plan Investment Strategy

The asset allocation for Sempra Energy's pension trust (which includes SoCalGas' pension plan and other postretirement benefit plans, except for the plans described below) at December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the target allocation for 2004 by asset categories are as follows:

Asset Category	Target Allocation	Percentage of Plan Assets at December 31	
	2004	2003	2002
U.S. Equity	45%	45%	44%
Foreign Equity	25%	30%	26%
Fixed Income	30%	25%	30%
Total	100%	100%	100%

The company's goal is to remain within a reasonable risk tolerance shown above. Its investment strategy is to stay fully invested at all times and maintain its strategic asset allocation, keeping the investment structure relatively simple. The equity portfolio is balanced to maintain risk characteristics similar to the S&P 1500 with respect to market capitalization, industry and sector exposures. The foreign equity portfolios are managed to track the MSCI Europe, Pacific Rim and Emerging Markets indexes. Bond portfolios are managed with respect to the Lehman Aggregate Index. The plan does not invest in Sempra Energy securities.

## Investment Strategy for Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

The asset allocation for the company's other postretirement benefit plans at December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the target allocation for 2004 by asset categories are as follows:

Asset Category	Target Allocation	Percentage of Plan Assets at December 31	
	2004	2003	2002
U.S. Equity	70%	71%	63%
Fixed Income	30%	27%	34%
Cash	--	2%	3%
Total	100%	100%	100%

The company's other postretirement benefit plans, which are distinct from other postretirement benefit plans included in Sempra Energy's pension trust (see above), are funded by cash contributions from the company and the retirees. The asset allocation is designed to match the long-term growth of the plan's liability. This plan is managed using 100% index funds.

## Future Payments

The company expects to contribute \$1 million to the pension plan and \$55 million to its other postretirement benefit plans in 2004.

The following table reflects the total benefits expected to be paid to current employees and retirees from the plans or from the company's assets, including both the company's share of the benefit cost and, where applicable, the participants' share of the costs, which is funded by participant contributions to the plans.

(Dollars in millions)	Other	
	Pension Benefits	Postretirement Benefits
2004	\$ 98	\$ 27
2005	\$ 103	\$ 32
2006	\$ 107	\$ 35
2007	\$ 113	\$ 37
2008	\$ 118	\$ 39
Thereafter	\$ 669	\$ 219

#### Savings Plan

The company offers trustee savings plan to all eligible employees. Eligibility to participate in the plan is immediate for salary deferrals. Employees may contribute, subject to plan provisions, from one percent to 25 percent of their regular earnings. After one year of completed service, the company begins to make matching contributions. Employer contributions are equal to 50 percent of the first 6 percent of eligible base salary contributed by employees and, if certain company goals are met, an additional amount related to incentive compensation payments.

Employer contributions are invested in Sempra Energy common stock and must remain so invested until termination of employment or until the employee's attainment of age 55, when they may be transitioned into other investments. At the direction of the employees, the employees' contributions are invested in Sempra Energy stock, mutual funds or institutional trusts. Employer contributions for the SoCalGas plans are partially funded by the Sempra Energy Employee Stock Ownership Plan and Trust. Company contributions to the savings plan were \$9 million in 2003, \$8 million in 2002 and \$7 million in 2001.

#### NOTE 6. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Sempra Energy has stock-based compensation plans intended to align employee and shareholder objectives related to the long-term growth of the company. The plans permit a wide variety of stock-based awards, including nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, restricted stock, stock appreciation rights, performance awards, stock payments and dividend equivalents.

In 1995, SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," was issued. It encourages a fair-value-based method of accounting for stock-based compensation. As permitted by SFAS 123, Sempra Energy and its subsidiaries adopted only its disclosure requirements and continue to account for stock-based compensation in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25. See additional discussion of SFAS 148, the amendment to SFAS 123, in Note 1.

The subsidiaries record an expense for the plans to the extent that subsidiary employees participate in the plans, or that subsidiaries are allocated a portion of Sempra Energy's costs of the plans. PE recorded

expenses of \$9 million, \$1 million and \$3 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

NOTE 7. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair Value

The fair values of certain of the company's financial instruments (cash, temporary investments, notes receivable, dividends payable, and customer deposits) approximate their carrying amounts. The following table provides the carrying amounts and fair values of the remaining financial instruments at December 31:

(Dollars  
in  
millions)  
2003 2002

	2003	2002
- Carrying		
Fair		
Carrying		
Fair		
Amount		
Value		
Amount		
Value - --		

First mortgage bonds	\$ 925	\$ 925
763 Other long term debt	\$ 750	\$ 763
	13	10
	83	76

Total long term debt	\$ 938	\$ 938
	\$ 935	\$ 839

PE Preferred stock	\$ 80	\$ 80
	\$ 65	\$ 80
	\$ 53	
Preferred stock of subsidiary	20	19
	17	20

	\$ 100	\$ 84
	\$ 100	\$ 70

-  
SoCalGas Preferred

~~stock \$ 22~~  
~~\$ 20 \$ 22~~  
~~\$ 18~~  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

The fair values of long-term debt and preferred stock were estimated based on quoted market prices for them or for similar issues.

Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The company follows the guidance of SFAS 133 and related amendments SFAS 138 and 149 (collectively SFAS 133) to account for its derivative instruments and hedging activities. Derivative instruments and related hedges are recognized as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet, measured at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recognized in earnings in the period of change unless the derivative qualifies as an effective hedge that offsets certain exposure.

SFAS 133 provides for hedge accounting treatment when certain criteria are met. For derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges, the gain or loss is recognized in earnings in the period of change together with the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the risk being hedged. For derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the derivative gain or loss is included in other comprehensive income, but not reflected in the Statements of Consolidated Income until the

corresponding hedged transaction is settled. The ineffective portion is reported in earnings immediately. There was no effect on other comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2003. For the year ended December 31, 2002 the effect was not material. In instances where derivatives do not qualify for hedge accounting, gains and losses are recorded in the Statements of Consolidated Income.

The company utilizes natural gas derivatives to manage commodity price risk associated with servicing their load requirements. These contracts allow the company to predict with greater certainty the effective prices to be received by the company and the prices to be charged to its customers. The use of derivative financial instruments is subject to certain limitations imposed by company policy and regulatory requirements. The company classifies its forward contracts as follows:

Contracts that meet the definition of normal purchase and sales generally are long-term contracts that are settled by physical delivery and, therefore, are eligible for the normal purchases and sales exception of SFAS 133. The contracts are accounted for under accrual accounting and recorded in Revenues or Cost of Natural Gas in the Statement of Consolidated Income when physical delivery occurs. Due to the adoption of SFAS 149, the company has determined that its natural gas contracts entered into after June 30, 2003 generally do not qualify for the normal purchases and sales exception.

Natural Gas Purchases and Sales: The unrealized gains and losses related to these forward contracts are offset against regulatory assets and liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets to the extent derivative gains and losses will be recoverable or payable in future rates. If gains and losses at the California Utilities are not recoverable or payable through future rates, the company applies hedge accounting if certain criteria are met. When a contract no longer meets the requirements of SFAS 133, the unrealized gains and losses and the related regulatory asset or liability will be amortized over the remaining contract life.

The following were recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31 related to derivatives:

(Dollars in millions)	2003	2002
-----		
Fixed-priced contracts and other derivatives:		
Current liabilities	\$ 86	\$ 96
Noncurrent liabilities	148	233
	-----	-----
Net liabilities	\$ 234	\$ 329
	=====	=====

Regulatory assets and liabilities related to derivatives held by SoCalGas are as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	2003	2002
-----		
Regulatory assets and liabilities:		
Current regulatory assets	\$ 85	\$ 92
Noncurrent regulatory assets	148	233
	-----	-----
Net regulatory assets	\$ 233	\$ 325
	=====	=====

The above had no impact on net income during 2003 and resulted in \$3 million of losses in 2002.

#### Market Risk

The company's policy is to use derivative physical and financial instruments to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and commodity prices. Transactions involving these instruments are with major exchanges and other firms believed to be credit-worthy. The use of these instruments exposes the company to market and credit risk, which may at times be concentrated with certain counterparties, although counterparty nonperformance is not anticipated.

#### Interest-Rate Risk Management

The company periodically enters into interest-rate swap agreements to moderate exposure to interest-rate changes and to lower the overall cost of borrowing. This is described in Note 3.

#### Energy Contracts

SoCalGas records transactions for natural gas contracts in Cost of Natural Gas in the Statements of Consolidated Income. For open contracts not expected to result in physical delivery, changes in market value of the contracts are recorded in this account during the period the contracts are open, with an offsetting entry to a regulatory asset or liability. The majority of the company's contracts result in physical delivery.

#### NOTE 8. PREFERRED STOCK

##### Preferred Stock of Southern California Gas Company

(Dollars in millions)	December 31,	
	2003	2002
-----		
\$25 par value, authorized 1,000,000 shares		
6% Series, 28,041 shares outstanding	\$ 1	\$ 1
6% Series A, 783,032 shares outstanding	19	19
Without par value, authorized 10,000,000 shares	--	--
	-----	-----
	\$ 20	\$ 20
-----		



redemption price for each series, together with unpaid dividends. All series have one vote per share and cumulative preferences as to dividends, and have a liquidation value of \$100 per share plus any unpaid dividends.

#### NOTE 9. REGULATORY MATTERS

##### Natural Gas Industry Restructuring

In December 2001 the CPUC issued a decision related to natural gas industry restructuring (GIR), with implementation anticipated during 2002. On January 12, 2004, after many delays and changes, an administrative Law Judge issued a proposed decision that would implement the 2001 decision. The proposed decision would result in revising noncore balancing account treatment to exclude the balancing of SoCalGas' transmission costs; other noncore costs/revenues would continue to be fully balanced until the decision in the next Biennial Cost Allocation Proceeding (BCAP) (see below). On February 11, 2004, a member of the CPUC issued an alternative decision that would vacate the December 2001 decision and defer GIR matters to the Natural Gas Market Order Instituting Ratemaking (OIR) (see below). A CPUC decision could be issued in March 2004.

##### Natural Gas Market OIR

The OIR concerning the Natural Gas Market was approved on January 22, 2004, and will be addressed in two concurrent phases. The schedule calls for a Phase I decision by summer 2004 and a Phase II decision by the end of 2004. In Phase I the CPUC's objective is to develop a process enabling the CPUC to review and pre-approve new interstate capacity contracts before they are executed. In addition, the California Utilities must submit proposals on any liquefied natural gas

project to which interconnection is planned, providing costs and terms, including access to the pipelines in Mexico. Phase II will primarily address emergency reserves and ratemaking policies. The OIR invites proposals on how utilities should provide emergency reserves consisting of slack intrastate pipeline capacity, contracts for additional capacity on the interstate pipelines and an emergency supply of natural gas storage. The CPUC's objective in the ratemaking policy component of Phase II is to identify and propose changes to policies that create incentives that are consistent with the goal of providing adequate and reliable long-term supplies and that do not conflict with energy efficiency programs. The focus of the Gas OIR is 2006 to 2016. Since GIR (see above) would end in August 2006 and there is overlap between GIR and the Gas OIR issues, a number of parties (including SoCalGas) are advising the CPUC not to implement GIR.

The company believes that regulation needs to consider sufficiently the adequacy and diversity of supplies to California, transportation infrastructure and cost recovery thereof, hedging opportunities to reduce cost volatility, and programs to encourage and reward conservation.

#### Cost of Service

The California Utilities have filed cost of service applications with the CPUC, seeking rate increases reflecting forecasts of 2004 capital and operating costs. SoCalGas is requesting revenue increases of \$45 million. The CPUC's Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) filed its prepared testimony on the applications in August 2003, recommending numerous rate decreases that would reduce annual revenues by \$121 million from their current level. The Utility Reform Network has proposed rates for SoCalGas that would reduce annual revenues by \$178 million from their current level. Hearings concluded in November 2003. On December 19, 2003, settlements were filed with the CPUC that, if approved, would resolve most of the cost of service issues. The SoCalGas settlement was signed by SoCalGas and all parties active in its application. The CPUC adopted a schedule for briefing and commenting on the proposed settlements that concluded on February 19, 2004. The SoCalGas settlement would reduce rates by \$33 million from 2003 rates. The CPUC may accept one or both of the settlements or may adopt an outcome differing from both of the settlements. Resolution is likely in the second quarter of 2004.

On December 18, 2003, the CPUC issued a decision that creates memorandum accounts as of January 1, 2004, to record the difference between actual revenues and those that are later authorized in the CPUC's final decision in this case. The difference would then be amortized in rates. The California Utilities have also filed for continuation through 2004 of existing performance-based regulation (PBR) mechanisms for service quality and safety that would otherwise expire at the end of 2003. In January 2004, the CPUC issued a decision that extended 2003 service and safety targets through 2004, but deferred action on applying any rewards or penalties for performance relative to these targets to a decision to be issued later in 2004 in a second phase of these applications discussed below.

The CPUC has established a procedural schedule for the second phase of these applications, addressing issues related to PBR (see below). The

procedural schedule calls for hearings to be held in June 2004, with a decision during 2004. The scope of the second phase includes: (a) a formula for setting authorized cost of service for 2005 and succeeding years until the next full cost of service proceeding is scheduled; (b) whether and how rates should be adjusted if earned returns vary from authorized returns; and (c) prospective targets and rewards/penalties for service quality and safety.

An October 2001 decision denied the California Utilities' request to continue equal sharing between ratepayers and shareholders of the estimated savings for the 1998 business combination that created Sempra Energy and, instead, ordered that all of the estimated 2003 merger savings go to ratepayers. In 2002, merger savings to shareholders for the fourth quarter and for the year were \$4 million and \$17 million, respectively. Pursuant to the decision, SoCalGas will return the 2003 merger savings related to natural gas operations of \$83 million to ratepayers over a twelve-month period beginning January 1, 2004.

#### Performance-Based Regulation

To promote efficient operations and improved productivity and to move away from reasonableness reviews and disallowances, the CPUC adopted PBR for SoCalGas effective in 1997. PBR has resulted in modification to the general rate case and certain other regulatory proceedings for SoCalGas. Under PBR, regulators require future income potential to be tied to achieving or exceeding specific performance and productivity goals, rather than relying solely on expanding utility plant to increase earnings.

PBR consists of three primary components. The first is a mechanism to adjust rates in years between general rate cases or cost of service cases. Similar to the pre-PBR Attrition Proceeding, it annually adjusts general rates from those of the prior year to provide for inflation, changes in the number of customers and efficiencies.

The second component is a mechanism whereby any earnings in excess of those authorized plus a narrow band above that are shared with customers in varying degrees depending upon the amount of the additional earnings.

The third component consists of a series of measures of utility performance. Generally, if performance is outside of a band around the specified benchmark, the utility is rewarded or penalized certain dollar amounts.

The three areas that are eligible for PBR rewards or penalties are operational incentives based on measurements of safety, reliability and customer satisfaction; demand-side management (DSM) rewards based on the effectiveness of the programs; and natural gas procurement rewards or penalties. The CPUC is also considering a new reward/penalty related to electricity procurement, now that the utilities are resuming this activity. However, as noted under Cost of Service, Phase II of the California Utilities' current cost of service proceeding is not scheduled for completion until late 2004. As a result, it is possible that some or all of the safety, reliability and customer satisfaction incentive mechanisms (i.e., those that are reviewed in the cost of service proceeding) would not be in effect for 2004. Even if that were

to occur, it is not expected that the effect would be other than a one-year moratorium on the mechanisms.

The Gas Cost Incentive Mechanism (GCIM) allows SoCalGas to receive a share of the savings it achieves by buying natural gas for customers below monthly benchmarks. The mechanism permits full recovery of all costs within a tolerance band above the benchmark price and refunds savings within a tolerance band below the benchmark price. The costs outside the tolerance band are shared between customers and shareholders.

Since the 1990s, investor-owned utilities (IOUs) have been eligible to earn awards for implementing and administering energy conservation and efficiency programs. The California Utilities have offered these programs to customers and have consistently achieved significant earnings from the program. On October 16, 2003, the CPUC issued a decision that the pre-1998 DSM earnings proceeding would not be reopened, leaving the earnings mechanism unchanged. The CPUC may adjust amounts determined pursuant to the earnings mechanism consistent with the application of known, standard measurement and verification protocols.

The CPUC has consolidated the 2000, 2001 and 2002 award applications. The 2003 award applications were filed on May 1, 2003. On May 2, 2003, the CPUC released Requests for Proposals to conduct a review of the IOUs' studies and reported program milestones/accomplishments used as the basis for the awards claims and program expenditures. The review should be completed in the second quarter of 2004. Additionally, the low-income awards will be subject to an independent review expected to commence in 2005. The majority of the outstanding claims are on hold pending completion of the independent review.

#### Incentive Awards Approved in 2003

PBR and GCIM rewards are not included in the company's earnings before CPUC approval is received. The following table reflects awards approved in 2003 (dollars in millions):

Program	
GCIM Year 7	\$ 30.8
GCIM Year 8	17.4
Employee Safety PBR 2000	0.1
Employee Safety PBR 2001	0.5
Employee Safety PBR 2002	0.5
Total	\$ 49.3

## Pending Incentive Awards

At December 31, 2003, the following performance incentives were pending CPUC approval and, therefore, were not included in the company's earnings (dollars in millions):

Program	
GCIM Year 9	\$ 6.3
DSM/Energy Efficiency*	9.8
Total	\$ 16.1

\* Dollar amounts shown do not include interest, franchise fees or uncollectible amounts.

## Cost of Capital

Effective January 1, 2003, SoCalGas' authorized rate of return on common equity (ROE) is 10.82 percent and its return on ratebase is 8.68 percent. These rates will continue to be effective until market interest-rate changes are large enough to trigger an automatic adjustment or until the CPUC orders a periodic review.

SoCalGas' automatic adjustment mechanism provides for a trigger in any month when the 12-month trailing average of 30-year Treasury bond rates varies by greater than 150 basis points from the benchmark, and the current Global Insight forecast of the 30-year Treasury bond rate 12 months ahead varies by greater than 150 basis points from the benchmark. When these criteria are met, SoCalGas' authorized ROE is adjusted by one-half of the difference between the trailing 12-month average and the benchmark, and the embedded costs of debt and preferred equity are adjusted to current levels. Any time an automatic adjustment occurs, the new trailing 12-month average becomes the new benchmark. The benchmark is currently 5.38 percent, the 12-month trailing average of the 30-year Treasury bond as of October 2002. At December 31, 2003, the 12-month average of the 30-year Treasury bond was 4.92 percent and the estimated Global Insight year-ahead forecast was 5.90 percent and, therefore, no triggering has occurred. The rates have not changed significantly since then.

## Border Price Investigation

In November 2002, the CPUC instituted an investigation into the Southern California natural gas market and the price of natural gas delivered to the California-Arizona border between March 2000 and May 2001. If the investigation determines that the conduct of any party to the investigation contributed to the natural gas price spikes, the CPUC may modify the party's natural gas procurement incentive mechanism, reduce the amount of any shareholder award for the period involved, and/or order the party to issue a refund to ratepayers. On December 10, 2003, Southern California Edison filed testimony alleging that SoCalGas significantly contributed to the price spikes and exercised market power and recommended to the CPUC that SoCalGas divest its storage assets and revise its GCIM to an incentive mechanism that would simply reward SoCalGas if it managed to procure natural gas supplies in the

producing basins at a price below market. Hearings are scheduled to begin in late March 2004 with a decision expected by late 2004. The company believes that the CPUC will find that SoCalGas acted in the best interests of its core customers.

#### Biennial Cost Allocation Proceeding

The BCAP determines the allocation of authorized costs between customer classes for natural gas transportation service provided by the company and adjusts rates to reflect variances in customer demand as compared to the forecasts previously used in establishing transportation rates. SoCalGas filed with the CPUC its 2005 BCAP application in September 2003, requesting updated transportation rates effective January 1, 2005. The most recent BCAP decision allocating the California Utilities non-commodity natural gas costs of service and revising their respective natural gas transportation rates and rate designs was issued in April 2000 and is still in effect. In November 2003, an Assigned Commissioner Ruling delayed the current BCAP applications until a decision is issued in the GIR implementation proceeding discussed above. As a result, SoCalGas is required to amend its BCAP application within 21 days of a decision in the GIR. As a result of the deferrals and the forecasted significant decline in noncore gas throughput on SoCalGas' system, in December 2002 the CPUC issued a decision approving 100 percent balancing account protection for SoCalGas' risk on local transmission and distribution revenues from January 1, 2003 until the CPUC issues its next BCAP decision. SoCalGas is seeking to continue this balancing account protection through 2006. A CPUC decision on GIR could result in revising noncore balancing account treatment to exclude the balancing of transmission costs; other noncore costs/revenues would continue to be fully balanced until the BCAP decision.

#### CPUC Investigation of Energy-Utility Holding Companies

The CPUC has initiated an investigation into the relationship between California's IOUs and their parent holding companies. Among the matters to be considered in the investigation are utility dividend policies and practices and obligations of the holding companies to provide financial support for utility operations under the agreements with the CPUC permitting the formation of the holding companies. In January 2002 the CPUC issued a decision to clarify under what circumstances, if any, a holding company would be required to provide financial support to its utility subsidiaries. The CPUC broadly determined that it would require the holding company to provide cash to a utility subsidiary to cover its operating expenses and working capital to the extent they are not adequately funded through retail rates. This would be in addition to the requirement of holding companies to cover their utility subsidiaries' capital requirements, as the IOUs have previously acknowledged in connection with the holding companies' formations. In January 2002 the CPUC ruled on jurisdictional issues, deciding that it had jurisdiction to create the holding company system and, therefore, retains jurisdiction to enforce conditions to which the holding companies had agreed. The company's request for rehearing on the issues was denied by the CPUC and the company subsequently filed appeals in the California Court of Appeal. On November 26, 2003 the California Court of Appeal agreed to hear the company's appeal. Oral argument is set for March 5, 2004.

## CPUC Investigation of Compliance with Affiliate Rules

In February 2003, the CPUC opened an investigation of the business activities of SDG&E, SoCalGas and Sempra Energy to determine if they have complied with statutes and CPUC decisions in the management, oversight and operations of their companies. In September 2003, the CPUC suspended the procedural schedule until it completes an independent audit to evaluate energy-related holding company systems and affiliate activities undertaken by Sempra Energy within the service territories of SDG&E and SoCalGas. The audit will cover the years 1997 through 2003, is expected to commence in March 2004 and should be completed by the end of 2004. The scope of the audit will be broader than the annual affiliate audit. In accordance with existing CPUC requirements, the California Utilities' transactions with other Sempra Energy affiliates have been audited by an independent auditing firm each year, with results reported to the CPUC, and there have been no material adverse findings in those audits.

## FERC Standards of Conduct

On November 25, 2003, the FERC established standards of conduct governing the relationship between transmission providers and their energy affiliates. They broaden the definition of an energy affiliate. Under the standards, SDG&E is a transmission provider and SoCalGas is an energy affiliate of SDG&E. The standards require transmission providers to offer service to all customers on a non-discriminatory basis.

## NOTE 10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Natural Gas Contracts

SoCalGas buys natural gas under short-term contracts. Short-term purchases are from various suppliers and are primarily based on monthly spot-market prices. SoCalGas transports natural gas under long-term firm pipeline capacity agreements that provide for annual reservation charges, which are recovered in rates. SoCalGas has commitments with pipeline companies for firm pipeline capacity under contracts that expire at various dates through 2007.

At December 31, 2003, the future minimum payments under natural gas storage and transportation contracts were:

(Dollars in millions)	Transportation	Natural Gas	Total
2004	\$ 200	\$ 633	\$ 833
2005	191	3	194
2006	104	3	107
2007	2	2	4
2008	--	3	3
Thereafter	--	--	--
<b>Total minimum payments</b>	<b>\$ 497</b>	<b>\$ 644</b>	<b>\$ 1,141</b>

Total payments under natural gas contracts were \$1.8 billion in 2003, \$1.2 billion in 2002 and \$2.1 billion in 2001.

#### Leases

PE and SoCalGas have operating leases on real and personal property expiring at various dates from 2004 to 2030. Certain leases on office facilities contain escalation clauses requiring annual increases in rent ranging from 3 percent to 5 percent. The rentals payable under these leases are determined on both fixed and percentage bases, and most leases contain extension options which are exercisable by the companies.

At December 31, 2003, the minimum rental commitments payable in future years under all noncancellable leases were as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	PE	SoCalGas
2004	\$ 56	\$ 43
2005	55	42
2006	54	41
2007	58	45
2008	58	44
Thereafter	151	130
<b>Total future rental commitments</b>	<b>\$ 432</b>	<b>\$ 345</b>

In connection with the quasi-reorganization described in Note 1, PE recorded liabilities of \$102 million to adjust to fair value the operating leases related to its headquarters and other facilities at December 31, 1992. The remaining amount of these liabilities was \$35 million at December 31, 2003. These leases are included in the above table at the amounts provided in the lease.

Rent expense for operating leases totaled \$56 million in 2003, \$54 million in 2002 and \$51 million in 2001, which included rent expense for SoCalGas of \$43 million, \$42 million and \$39 million, respectively.

#### Environmental Issues

The company's operations are subject to federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations governing hazardous wastes, air and water quality, land use, solid waste disposal and the protection of wildlife. As applicable, appropriate and relevant, these laws and regulations require that the company investigate and remediate the effects of the release or disposal of materials at sites associated with past and present operations, including sites at which the company has been identified as a Potentially Responsible Party (PRP) under the federal Superfund laws and comparable state laws. Costs incurred to operate the facilities in compliance with these laws and regulations generally have been recovered in customer rates.

Significant costs incurred to mitigate or prevent future environmental contamination or extend the life, increase the capacity, or improve the safety or efficiency of property utilized in current operations are

capitalized. The company's capital expenditures to comply with environmental laws and regulations were \$6 million in 2003, \$4 million in 2002 and \$4 million in 2001. The cost of compliance with these regulations over the next five years is not expected to be significant.

Costs that relate to current operations or an existing condition caused by past operations are generally recorded as a regulatory asset due to the expectation that these costs will be recovered in rates.

The environmental issues currently facing the company or resolved during the latest three-year period include investigation and remediation of its manufactured-gas sites (26 completed as of December 31, 2003 and 16 to be completed), and cleanup of third-party waste-disposal sites used by the company, which has been identified as a PRP (investigations and remediations are continuing).

Environmental liabilities are recorded when the company's liability is probable and the costs are reasonably estimable. In many cases, however, investigations are not yet at a stage where the company has been able to determine whether it is liable or, if the liability is probable, to reasonably estimate the amount or range of amounts of the cost or certain components thereof. Estimates of the company's liability are further subject to other uncertainties, such as the nature and extent of site contamination, evolving remediation standards and imprecise engineering evaluations. The accruals are reviewed periodically and, as investigations and remediation proceed, adjustments are made as necessary. At December 31, 2003, the company's accrued liability for environmental matters was \$43.8 million, of which \$42.9 million related to manufactured-gas sites, and \$0.9 million to waste-disposal sites used by the company (which has been identified as a PRP). The accruals for the manufactured-gas and waste-disposal sites are expected to be paid ratably over the next three years.

#### Litigation

During 2003, the company recorded \$32 million of after-tax charges related to litigation costs and a sublease. Management believes that none of these matters will have further material adverse effect on the company's financial condition or results of operations. Except for the matters referred to below, neither the company nor its subsidiaries are party to, nor is their property the subject of, any material pending legal proceedings other than routine litigation incidental to their businesses.

#### Antitrust Litigation

Class-action and individual lawsuits filed in 2000 and currently consolidated in San Diego Superior Court seek damages, alleging that Sempra Energy, SoCalGas and SDG&E, along with El Paso Energy Corp. (El Paso) and several of its affiliates, unlawfully sought to control natural gas and electricity markets. In March 2003, plaintiffs in these cases and the applicable El Paso entities announced that they had reached a \$1.5 billion settlement, of which \$125 million is allocated to customers of the California Utilities. The Court approved that settlement in December 2003. The proceeding against Sempra Energy and the California Utilities has not been settled and continues to be litigated.

Natural Gas Cases: Similar lawsuits have been filed by the Attorneys General of Arizona and Nevada, alleging that El Paso and certain Sempra Energy subsidiaries unlawfully sought to control the natural gas market in their respective states. In April 2003, Sierra Pacific Resources and its utility subsidiary Nevada Power filed a lawsuit in U.S. District Court in Las Vegas against major natural gas suppliers, including Sempra Energy, the California Utilities and other company subsidiaries, seeking damages resulting from an alleged conspiracy to drive up or control natural gas prices, eliminate competition and increase market volatility, breach of contract and wire fraud. On January 27, 2004, the U.S. District Court dismissed the Sierra Pacific Resources case against all of the defendants, determining that this is a matter for the FERC.

#### Price Reporting Practices

In the fourth quarter of 2002, Sempra Energy and SoCalGas were named as defendants in a lawsuit filed in Los Angeles Superior Court against various trade publications and other energy companies alleging that energy prices were unlawfully manipulated by defendants' reporting artificially inflated natural gas prices to trade publications. On July 8, 2003, the Superior Court granted the defendants' demurrer on the grounds that the claims contained in the complaint were subject to the Filed Rate Doctrine and were preempted by the Federal Power Act. Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint, and in September 2003 defendants filed a demurrer to the amended complaint, which was granted in part. In December 2003, the plaintiffs dismissed both Sempra Energy and SoCalGas from the lawsuit.

In January 2004, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) issued a subpoena to SoCalGas and Sempra Energy Trading (SET) in connection with the CFTC's "Activities Affecting the Price of Natural Gas in the Fall of 2003" investigation. The company is cooperating with the CFTC in the investigation.

#### Concentration Of Credit Risk

The company maintains credit policies and systems to manage overall credit risk. These policies include an evaluation of potential counterparties' financial condition and an assignment of credit limits. These credit limits are established based on risk and return considerations under terms customarily available in the industry. SoCalGas grants credit to customers and counterparties, substantially all of whom are located in its service territories, which cover most of Southern California and a portion of central California.

## NOTE 11. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

Quarters ended -----	-----	-----	-----	-----
----- (Dollars in millions) March 31				
June 30	September 30	December 31	-----	
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<del>----- 2003 Operating</del>				
<del>revenues \$ 1,008</del>	<del>\$ 820</del>	<del>\$ 794</del>	<del>\$ 922</del>	<del>Operating</del>
<del>expenses 940</del>	<del>768</del>	<del>738</del>	<del>861</del>	<del>-----</del>
<del>Operating income \$</del>				
<del>68</del>	<del>\$ 52</del>	<del>\$ 56</del>	<del>\$ 61</del>	<del>-----</del>
<del>Net income \$ 58</del>				
<del>\$ 75</del>	<del>\$ 36</del>	<del>\$ 52</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>-----</del>
<del>Dividends on preferred stock 1 1 1 1</del>				
<del>-----</del>				
<del>Earnings applicable to common shares \$ 57</del>				
	<del>\$ 35</del>	<del>\$ 35</del>	<del>\$ 35</del>	<del>-----</del>
	<del>\$ 51</del>	<del>\$ 74</del>	<del>\$ 74</del>	<del>-----</del>
<del>-----</del>				
<del>2002 Operating revenues \$ 732</del>	<del>\$ 670</del>	<del>\$ 597</del>	<del>\$ 859</del>	<del>-----</del>
<del>Operating expenses 667</del>	<del>612</del>	<del>534</del>	<del>799</del>	<del>-----</del>
<del>Operating</del>				
<del>income \$ 65</del>	<del>\$ 58</del>	<del>\$ 63</del>	<del>\$ 60</del>	<del>-----</del>
<del>Net income \$ 59</del>				
<del>\$ 50</del>	<del>\$ 55</del>	<del>\$ 49</del>	<del>\$ 49</del>	<del>-----</del>
<del>Dividends on preferred stock 1 1 1</del>				
<del>1</del>	<del>1</del>	<del>1</del>	<del>1</del>	<del>-----</del>
<del>-----</del>				
<del>Earnings applicable to common shares \$ 50</del>				
	<del>\$ 49</del>	<del>\$ 54</del>	<del>\$ 48</del>	<del>-----</del>
	<del>\$ 48</del>	<del>\$ 48</del>	<del>\$ 48</del>	<del>-----</del>
<del>-----</del>				

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA -- Southern California Gas Company

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Southern California Gas Company:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Southern California Gas Company and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the related statements of consolidated income, cash flows and changes in shareholders' equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Southern California Gas Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/S/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

San Diego, California  
February 23, 2004

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME

(Dollars in millions)

Years ended

December  
31, 2003  
2002 2001 -

-----

~~OPERATING  
REVENUES \$  
3,544 \$  
2,050 \$  
3,716~~

~~OPERATING  
EXPENSES  
Cost of  
natural gas  
1,830 1,192  
2,117 Other  
operating  
expenses  
954 872 792  
Depreciation  
289 276 268  
Income  
taxes 142  
193 165  
Franchise  
fees and  
other taxes  
106 93 101~~

~~Total  
operating  
expenses  
3,321 2,616  
3,443~~

~~Operating  
income 223  
242 273~~

~~Other  
income and  
(deductions)  
Interest  
income 34 5  
22  
Regulatory  
interest  
net 3 (4)  
(19)  
Allowance  
for equity  
funds used  
during  
construction  
9 10 6  
Income  
taxes on  
non-  
operating  
income (8)  
5 (4) Other  
net (6)  
(1) (2)~~

~~Total 32 15  
3~~

~~Interest  
charges  
Long term  
debt 41 40  
63 Other 7  
7 7  
Allowance~~

for  
borrowed  
funds used  
during  
construction  
~~(3) (3) (2)~~

~~Total 45~~  
44 68

~~Net~~  
~~income 210~~  
~~213 208~~  
~~Preferred~~  
~~dividend~~  
~~requirements~~  
~~1 1 1~~

~~Earnings~~  
~~applicable~~  
~~to common~~  
~~shares \$~~  
~~209 \$ 212 \$~~  
~~207~~

~~See~~  
~~notes to~~  
~~Consolidated~~  
~~Financial~~  
~~Statements.~~

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
 (Dollars in millions)

December 31,  
 -----

2003 2002 ---  
 -----

- ASSETS

Utility plant  
 at original  
 cost \$ 7,000  
 \$ 6,701  
 Accumulated  
 depreciation  
 (2,739)  
 (2,590) -----

Utility plant  
 net 4,269  
 4,111 -----

Current  
 assets: Cash  
 and cash  
 equivalents 32 22  
 Accounts  
 receivable—  
 trade 509 458  
 Accounts  
 receivable—  
 other 35 44  
 Interest  
 receivable 30  
 — Due from  
 unconsolidated  
 affiliates 22  
 81 Income  
 taxes  
 receivable 64  
 20 Deferred  
 income taxes  
 — 87  
 Regulatory  
 assets  
 arising from  
 fixed priced  
 contracts and  
 other  
 derivatives 85 92 Other  
 regulatory  
 assets 8  
 Inventories  
 74 76 Other 9  
 20  
 ----- Total  
 current  
 assets 868  
 908 -----

Other  
 assets:  
 Regulatory  
 assets  
 arising from  
 fixed priced  
 contracts and  
 other  
 derivatives 148 233  
 Sundry 127  
 151  
 ----- Total  
 other assets  
 275 384 -----

Total assets  
 \$ 5,412 \$  
 5,403 -----

----- See  
 notes to  
 Consolidated



SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
 (Dollars in millions)

December 31, --

-----  
 ----- 2003 2002  
 -----

--

~~CAPITALIZATION  
 AND LIABILITIES~~

~~Capitalization:~~

~~Common stock  
 (100 million  
 shares  
 authorized; 91  
 million shares  
 outstanding) \$  
 866 \$ 836  
 Retained  
 earnings 491  
 482 Accumulated  
 other  
 comprehensive  
 income (loss)  
 (3)~~

~~----- Total  
 common equity  
 1,354 1,318~~

~~Preferred stock  
 22 22~~

~~----- Total  
 shareholders'  
 equity 1,376~~

~~1,340 Long term  
 debt 762 657~~

~~-----  
 Total  
 capitalization  
 2,138 1,997~~

~~Current  
 liabilities:  
 Accounts  
 payable - trade  
 227 199~~

~~Accounts  
 payable - other  
 44 36 Due to  
 unconsolidated  
 affiliates 55  
 31 Interest  
 payable 18 24~~

~~Deferred income  
 taxes 15~~

~~Regulatory  
 balancing  
 accounts - net  
 86 184~~

~~Regulatory  
 liabilities~~

~~16 Fixed price  
 contracts and  
 other~~

~~derivatives 86  
 96 Current~~

~~portion of  
 long term debt  
 175 175~~

~~Customer  
 deposits 43 108~~

~~Other 262 264~~

~~-----  
 Total current  
 liabilities  
 1,011 1,133~~

~~Deferred  
 credits and  
 other~~

~~liabilities:  
 Customer  
 advances for~~

~~construction 40  
 37 Deferred~~

income taxes  
199-237  
Deferred  
investment tax  
credits 44-47  
Regulatory  
liabilities  
arising from  
cost of removal  
obligations  
1,392-1,324  
Regulatory  
liabilities 190  
201-Fixed price  
contracts and  
other  
derivatives 148  
233-Deferred  
credits and  
other 260-194

---

Total deferred  
credits and  
other  
liabilities  
2,263-2,273

---

Contingencies  
and commitments  
(Note 10) Total  
liabilities and  
shareholders'  
equity \$ 5,412  
\$ 5,403 -----

----- See  
notes to  
Consolidated  
Financial  
Statements.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS  
 (Dollars in millions)

Years ended

December  
 31, 2003  
 2002 2001 -

----- ----

-----

~~CASH FLOWS  
 FROM~~

~~OPERATING  
 ACTIVITIES~~

~~Net income  
 \$ 210 \$ 213  
 \$ 208~~

~~Adjustments  
 to~~

~~reconcile  
 net income  
 to net cash  
 provided by  
 operating  
 activities:~~

~~Depreciation  
 289 276 268~~

~~Deferred  
 income  
 taxes and  
 investment  
 tax credits  
 71 32 9~~

~~Changes in  
 other  
 assets (4)  
 12 (12)~~

~~Changes in  
 other  
 liabilities  
 (3) 8 12~~

~~Changes in  
 working  
 capital  
 components:~~

~~Accounts  
 receivable  
 (44) (67)  
 244~~

~~Interest  
 receivable  
 (30) -----~~

~~Fixed price  
 contracts  
 and other  
 derivatives  
 (2) 6 (2)~~

~~Inventories  
 2 (34) 25~~

~~Other  
 current  
 assets 13  
 (4) 4~~

~~Accounts  
 payable 36  
 (5) (171)~~

~~Income  
 taxes (21)  
 (61) (58)~~

~~Due to/from  
 affiliates  
 net 37 12~~

~~5~~

~~Regulatory  
 balancing  
 accounts  
 (99) 80  
 (338)~~

~~Regulatory  
 assets and  
 liabilities  
 (24) 1 39~~

~~Customer  
 deposits  
 (64) 66 8  
 Other~~

current  
liabilities  
~~18 (8) 39~~

~~Net cash  
provided by  
operating  
activities  
385 527 280~~

~~CASH  
FLOWS FROM  
INVESTING  
ACTIVITIES  
Capital  
expenditures  
(318) (331)  
(294) Loan  
to  
affiliate  
net 34 (86)  
233 Net  
proceeds  
from sale  
of assets 5~~

~~Net  
cash used  
in  
investing  
activities  
(279) (417)  
(61)~~

~~CASH  
FLOWS FROM  
FINANCING  
ACTIVITIES  
Dividends  
paid (201)  
(201) (191)  
Issuance of  
long term  
debt 500  
250  
Payments on  
long term  
debt (395)  
(100) (270)  
Increase  
(decrease)  
in short  
term debt  
(50) 50~~

~~Net cash  
used in  
financing  
activities  
(96) (101)  
(411)~~

~~Increase  
(decrease)  
in cash and  
cash  
equivalents  
10 9 (192)  
Cash and  
cash  
equivalents,  
January 1  
22 13 205~~

~~Cash and  
cash  
equivalents,  
December 31  
\$ 32 \$ 22 \$  
13~~

~~SUPPLEMENTAL~~

DISCLOSURE  
OF CASH  
FLOW  
INFORMATION

~~Interest  
payments,  
net of  
amounts  
capitalized  
\$ 47 \$ 36 \$  
65~~

~~Income tax  
payments,  
net of  
refunds \$  
99 \$ 206 \$  
216~~

SUPPLEMENTAL  
SCHEDULE OF  
NON CASH  
INVESTING  
AND  
FINANCING  
ACTIVITIES

~~Assets  
contributed  
by Sempra  
Energy \$ 40  
\$ \$  
Liabilities  
assumed  
(18)~~

~~Net  
assets  
contributed  
by Sempra  
Energy \$ 30  
\$ \$~~

~~See  
notes to  
Consolidated  
Financial  
Statements.~~

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

(Dollars in millions)

Accumulated Stock	Other Total Earnings	Comprehensive Income(Loss)	Preferred Equity	Common Equity	Retained	Comprehensive	Shareholders' Income	Stock
<del>Balance at December 31, 2000</del>								
<del>\$ 22</del>	<del>\$ 835</del>	<del>\$ 453</del>	<del>\$ (1)</del>	<del>\$1,309</del>	<del>Net income</del>			
<del>\$ 208</del>	<del>208</del>	<del>208</del>	<del>Other comprehensive income adjustment</del>	<del>1</del>	<del>1</del>	<del>1</del>	<del>Comprehensive income</del>	<del>\$ 209</del>
<del>Preferred stock dividends declared</del>	<del>(1)</del>	<del>(1)</del>	<del>Common stock dividends declared</del>	<del>(190)</del>	<del>(190)</del>			
<del>Balance at December 31, 2001</del>								
<del>22</del>	<del>835</del>	<del>470</del>	<del>1,327</del>	<del>Net income/comprehensive income</del>	<del>\$ 213</del>	<del>213</del>	<del>213</del>	<del>Preferred stock dividends declared</del>
<del>(1)</del>	<del>(1)</del>	<del>Common stock dividends declared</del>	<del>(200)</del>	<del>(200)</del>	<del>Capital contribution</del>	<del>1</del>	<del>1</del>	
<del>Balance at December 31, 2002</del>								
<del>22</del>	<del>836</del>	<del>482</del>	<del>1,340</del>	<del>Net income</del>	<del>\$ 210</del>	<del>210</del>	<del>210</del>	<del>Other comprehensive income adjustment</del>
<del>pension (3)</del>	<del>(3)</del>	<del>(3)</del>	<del>Comprehensive income</del>	<del>\$ 207</del>	<del>Preferred stock dividends declared</del>	<del>(1)</del>	<del>(1)</del>	<del>Common stock dividends declared</del>
<del>(200)</del>	<del>(200)</del>	<del>Capital contribution</del>	<del>30</del>	<del>30</del>				
<del>Balance at December 31, 2003</del>								
	<del>\$ 22</del>	<del>\$ 866</del>						
	<del>\$ 491</del>	<del>\$ (3)</del>	<del>\$1,376</del>					

~~See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.~~

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

The following notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Pacific Enterprises are incorporated herein by reference insofar as they relate to Southern California Gas Company:

Note 1 - Significant Accounting Policies  
 Note 2 - Short-term Borrowings  
 Note 3 - Long-term debt  
 Note 6 - Stock-based Compensation  
 Note 7 - Financial Instruments  
 Note 9 - Regulatory Matters  
 Note 10 - Commitments and Contingencies

The following additional notes apply only to Southern California Gas Company:

## NOTE 4. INCOME TAXES

The reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate to the effective income tax rate is as follows:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
-----			
Statutory federal income tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Depreciation	6.1	5.1	5.3
State income taxes - net of			
federal income tax benefit	5.9	7.0	6.7
Tax credits	(0.8)	(0.8)	(0.8)
Settlement of Internal Revenue Service audit	(3.1)	--	--
Other - net	(1.4)	(0.8)	(1.4)
-----			
Effective income tax rate	41.7%	45.5%	44.8%
-----			

The components of income tax expense are as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	2003	2002	2001
<b>Current:</b>			
Federal	\$ 55	\$ 107	\$ 126
State	24	39	34
Total	79	146	160
<b>Deferred:</b>			
Federal	63	33	8
State	11	2	4
Total	74	35	12
Deferred investment tax credits	(3)	(3)	(3)
Total income tax expense	\$ 150	\$ 178	\$ 169

On the Statements of Consolidated Income, federal and state income taxes are allocated between operating income and other income. SoCalGas is included in the consolidated income tax return of Sempra Energy and is allocated income tax expense from Sempra Energy in an amount equal to that which would result from SoCalGas' having always filed a separate return.

Accumulated deferred income taxes at December 31 relate to the following:

(Dollars in millions)	2003	2002
<b>Deferred tax liabilities:</b>		
Differences in financial and tax bases of utility plant	\$ 304	\$ 258
Regulatory balancing accounts	93	54
Global settlement	15	11
Loss on reacquired debt	17	16
Unbilled revenue	--	36
Other	--	23
Total deferred tax liabilities	429	398
<b>Deferred tax assets:</b>		
Investment tax credits	31	32
Postretirement benefits	45	56
Deferred compensation	14	13
State income taxes	19	20
Workers compensation	20	20
Contingent liabilities	82	107
Other	4	--
Total deferred tax assets	215	248
Net deferred income tax liability	\$ 214	\$ 150

The net deferred income tax liability is recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31 as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	2003	2002
Current (asset) liability	\$ 15	\$ (87)
Noncurrent liability	199	237
Total	\$ 214	\$ 150

#### NOTE 5. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

##### Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

The company has funded and unfunded noncontributory defined benefit plans that together cover substantially all of its employees. The plans provide defined benefits based on years of service and final average salary.

The company also has other postretirement benefit plans covering substantially all of its employees. The life insurance plans are noncontributory and the health care plans are contributory, with participants' contributions adjusted annually. Other postretirement benefits include retiree life insurance, medical benefits for retirees and their spouses and Medicare Part B reimbursement for certain retirees.

During 2002, the company had amendments reflecting retiree cost of living adjustments, which resulted in an increase in the pension plan benefit obligation of \$48 million.

There were no amendments to the company's pension and other postretirement benefit plans in 2003.

December 31 is the measurement date for the pension and other postretirement benefit plans.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the changes in the plans' projected benefit obligations during the latest two years, the fair value of assets and a statement of the funded status as of the latest two year ends:



31 1,473  
~~1,289 471 370~~

~~Benefit obligation net of plan assets at December 31 (78) (79) (349) (312)~~  
~~Unrecognized net actuarial loss 71 82 277 235~~  
~~Unrecognized prior service cost 71 78~~  
~~Unrecognized net transition obligation 1 72 80~~

~~Net recorded asset at December 31 \$ 65 \$ 82 \$ 3~~

~~The following table provides the amounts recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheets (in Noncurrent Sundry Assets) at December 31: Other Pension Benefits Postretirement Benefits~~

~~(Dollars in millions)  
2003 2002  
2003 2002~~

~~Prepaid benefit cost \$ 78 \$ 93 \$ 3~~  
~~Accrued benefit cost (13) (11)~~  
~~Additional minimum liability (6)~~  
~~Accumulated other comprehensive income, pretax 6~~

Net  
recorded  
asset \$ 65  
82 \$ 3

At December  
31, 2003, the  
company's  
pension plan  
had benefit  
obligations  
in excess of  
its plan  
assets. The  
following  
table  
provides the  
projected  
benefit  
obligation,  
the  
accumulated  
benefit  
obligation  
and fair  
market value  
of the plan  
assets at  
December 31:

76 Projected  
Benefit  
Accumulated  
Benefit  
Obligation  
Exceeds  
Obligation  
Exceeds the  
Fair Value of  
the Fair  
Value of Plan  
Assets Plan  
Assets

(Dollars in  
millions)  
2003 2002  
2003 2002

Projected  
benefit  
obligation \$  
1,551 \$ 1,368  
\$ 25 \$ 13  
Accumulated  
benefit  
obligation \$  
1,354 \$ 1,177  
\$ 20 \$ 12  
Fair value of  
plan assets \$  
1,473 \$ 1,289  
\$ \$

The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit costs for the years ended December 31:

Other Pension  
Benefits  
Postretirement  
Benefits ----  
-----  
-----

(Dollars in  
millions)

2003 2002  
2001 2003  
2002 2001 - -

~~Service cost~~  
~~\$ 27 \$ 27 \$~~  
~~25 \$ 15 \$ 10~~  
~~\$ 9 Interest~~  
~~cost 90 86 78~~  
~~47 35 32~~  
~~Expected~~  
~~return on~~  
~~assets (107)~~  
~~(130) (129)~~  
~~(32) (35)~~  
~~(34)~~  
~~Amortization~~  
~~of:~~  
~~Transition~~  
~~obligation 1~~  
~~1 1 8 8 8~~  
~~Prior service~~  
~~cost 6 4 3~~

~~Actuarial~~  
~~(gain) loss 1~~  
~~(19) (28) 9~~  
~~(3)~~

~~Regulatory~~  
~~adjustment~~  
~~(14) 32 51~~  
~~(4) 24 29~~

~~Total net~~  
~~periodic~~  
~~benefit cost~~  
~~\$ 4 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$~~  
~~43 \$ 42 \$ 41~~

The significant assumptions related to the company's pension and other postretirement benefit plans are as follows:

Other Pension  
Benefits  
Postretirement  
Benefits ----  
-----  
-----

2003 2002  
2003 2002 - -

~~-----  
WEIGHTED-  
AVERAGE  
ASSUMPTIONS  
USED TO  
DETERMINE  
BENEFIT  
OBLIGATION AS  
OF DECEMBER  
31: Discount  
rate 6.00%  
6.50% 6.00%  
6.50% Rate of  
compensation  
increase  
4.50% 4.50%  
4.50% 4.50%  
WEIGHTED-  
AVERAGE  
ASSUMPTIONS  
USED TO  
DETERMINE NET  
PERIODIC  
BENEFIT COSTS  
FOR YEARS  
ENDED  
DECEMBER 31:  
Discount rate  
6.50% 7.25%  
6.50% 7.25%  
Expected  
return on  
plan assets  
7.50% 8.00%  
7.50% 8.00%  
Rate of  
compensation  
increase  
4.50% 4.50%  
4.50% 4.50%  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----~~

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is derived from historical returns for broad asset classes consistent with expectations from a variety of sources, including pension consultants and investment advisors.

	2003	2002
-----		
ASSUMED HEALTH CARE COST		
TREND RATES AT DECEMBER 31:		
Health-care cost trend rate	30.00%(1)	7.00%
Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (the ultimate trend)	5.50%	6.50%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend	2008	2004

(1) This is the weighted average of the increases for all health plans. The 2003 rate for these plans ranged from 15% to 40%.

Assumed health-care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health-care plan costs. A one-percent change in assumed health-care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

(Dollars in millions)	1% Increase	1% Decrease
-----		
Effect on total of service and interest cost components of net periodic postretirement health-care benefit cost	\$ 12	\$ (9)
Effect on the health-care component of the accumulated other postretirement benefit obligation	\$ 141	\$ (112)

#### Pension Plan Investment Strategy

The asset allocation for Sempra Energy's pension trust (which includes SoCalGas' pension plan and other postretirement benefit plans, except for the plans described below) at December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the target allocation for 2004 by asset categories are as follows:

Asset Category	Target Allocation	Percentage of Plan Assets at December 31	
	2004	2003	2002
U.S. Equity	45%	45%	44%
Foreign Equity	25%	30%	26%
Fixed Income	30%	25%	30%
Total	100%	100%	100%

The company's investment strategy is to stay fully invested at all times and maintain its strategic asset allocation, keeping the investment structure relatively simple. The equity portfolio is balanced to maintain risk characteristics similar to the S&P 1500 with respect to market capitalization, industry and sector exposures. The foreign equity portfolios are managed to track the MSCI Europe, Pacific Rim and Emerging Markets indexes. Bond portfolios are managed with respect to the Lehman Aggregate Index. The plan does not invest in Sempra Energy securities.

## Investment Strategy for Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

The asset allocation for the company's other postretirement benefit plans at December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the target allocation for 2004 by asset categories are as follows:

Asset Category	Target Allocation	Percentage of Plan Assets at December 31	
	2004	2003	2002
U.S. Equity	70%	71%	63%
Fixed Income	30%	27%	34%
Cash	--	2%	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

The company's other postretirement benefit plans, which are distinct from other postretirement benefit plans included in Sempra Energy's pension trust (see above), are funded by cash contributions from the company and the retirees. The asset allocation is designed to match the long-term growth of the plan's liability. This plan is managed using 100% index funds.

## Future Payments

The company expects to contribute \$1 million to the pension plan and \$55 million to its other postretirement benefit plans in 2004

The following table reflects the total benefits expected to be paid to current employees and retirees from the plans or from the company's assets, including both the company's share of the benefit cost and, where applicable, the participants' share of the costs, which is funded by participant contributions to the plans.

(Dollars in millions)	Other	
	Pension Benefits	Postretirement Benefits
2004	\$ 98	\$ 27
2005	\$ 103	\$ 32
2006	\$ 107	\$ 35
2007	\$ 113	\$ 37
2008	\$ 118	\$ 39
Thereafter	\$ 669	\$ 219

## Savings Plan

The company offers a trustee savings plan to all eligible employees. Eligibility to participate in the plan is immediate for salary deferrals. Employees may contribute, subject to plan provisions, from one percent to 25 percent of their regular earnings. After one year of completed service, the company begins to make matching contributions. Employer contributions are equal to 50 percent of the first 6 percent of eligible base salary contributed by employees and, if certain company goals are met, an additional amount related to incentive compensation payments.

Employer contributions are invested in Sempra Energy common stock and must remain so invested until termination of employment or until the

employee's attainment of age 55, when they may be transitioned into other investments. At the direction of the employees, the employees' contributions are invested in Sempra Energy stock, mutual funds or institutional trusts. Employer contributions for the SoCalGas plans are partially funded by the Sempra Energy Employee Stock Ownership Plan and Trust. Company contributions to the savings plans were \$9 million in 2003, \$8 million in 2002 and \$7 million in 2001.

## NOTE 8. PREFERRED STOCK

(Dollars in millions)	December 31,	
	2003	2002
\$25 par value, authorized 1,000,000 shares		
6% Series, 79,011 shares outstanding	\$ 3	\$ 3
6% Series A, 783,032 shares outstanding	19	19
Without par value, authorized 10,000,000 shares	--	--
<b>Total preferred stock</b>	<b>\$ 22</b>	<b>\$ 22</b>

None of SoCalGas' preferred stock is callable. All series have one vote per share and cumulative preferences as to dividends, and have a liquidation value of \$25 per share plus any unpaid dividends.

## NOTE 11. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

Quarters ended -----	March 31			June 30			September 30			December 31		
(Dollars in millions)	March 31			June 30			September 30			December 31		
<del>2003 Operating</del>												
<del>revenues \$ 1,008</del>	<del>\$ 820</del>	<del>\$ 794</del>	<del>\$ 922</del>	<del>Operating</del>								
<del>expenses 938</del>	<del>772</del>	<del>736</del>	<del>875</del>	<del>expenses</del>								
	<del>Operating income</del>											
	<del>\$ 70</del>	<del>\$ 48</del>	<del>\$ 58</del>		<del>\$ 47</del>							
	<del>Net income</del>											
	<del>\$ 61</del>	<del>\$ 58</del>	<del>\$ 38</del>		<del>\$ 53</del>							
	<del>Dividends on preferred stock</del>				<del>1</del>							
	<del>Earnings applicable to common shares</del>				<del>\$ 58</del>	<del>\$ 37</del>						
		<del>\$ 53</del>	<del>\$ 61</del>									
<del>2002 Operating revenues</del>	<del>\$ 732</del>	<del>\$ 670</del>	<del>\$ 597</del>	<del>\$ 859</del>								
<del>Operating expenses</del>	<del>665</del>	<del>612</del>	<del>533</del>	<del>806</del>								
	<del>Operating</del>											
	<del>\$ 67</del>	<del>\$ 58</del>	<del>\$ 64</del>		<del>\$ 53</del>							
	<del>Net income</del>				<del>\$ 60</del>	<del>\$ 52</del>						
	<del>\$ 52</del>	<del>\$ 56</del>	<del>\$ 45</del>		<del>Dividends on preferred stock</del>	<del>--</del>	<del>1</del>					
	<del>Earnings applicable to common shares</del>				<del>\$ 60</del>	<del>\$ 51</del>	<del>\$ 56</del>	<del>\$ 45</del>				

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The companies have designed and maintain disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the companies' reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission and is accumulated and communicated to the companies' management, including their Chief Executive Officers and Chief Financial Officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating these controls and procedures, management recognizes that any system of controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired objectives and necessarily applies judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of other possible controls and procedures.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officers and the Chief Financial Officers, the companies as of December 31, 2003 have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the companies' disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the companies' Chief Executive Officers and Chief Financial Officers have concluded that the controls and procedures are effective.

There have been no significant changes in the companies' internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect the internal controls subsequent to the date the companies completed their evaluations.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The information required on Identification of Directors is incorporated by reference from "Election of Directors" in the Information Statement prepared for the May 2004 annual meeting of shareholders. The information required on the companies' executive officers is set forth below.

## EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Name	Age*	Position
-----		
Pacific Enterprises --		
Stephen L. Baum	62	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President
M. Javade Chaudhri	51	Executive Vice President and General Counsel
Neal E. Schmale	57	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Frank H. Ault	59	Senior Vice President and Controller
Charles A. McMonagle	53	Vice President and Treasurer
Thomas C. Sanger	60	Corporate Secretary
Southern California Gas Company --		
Edwin A. Guiles	54	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Debra L. Reed	47	President and Chief Financial Officer
Steven D. Davis	47	Senior Vice President, Customer Service and External Relations
Margot A. Kyd	50	Senior Vice President, Corporate Business Solutions
Roy M. Rawlings	59	Senior Vice President, Distribution Operations
William L. Reed	51	Senior Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
Lee M. Stewart	58	Senior Vice President, Gas Transmission
Terry M. Fleskes	47	Vice President and Controller

\* As of December 31, 2003.

Each Executive Officer has been an officer or employee of Sempra Energy or one of its subsidiaries for more than five years, with the exception of Mr. Chaudhri. Prior to joining the company in 2003, Mr. Chaudhri was Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Gateway, Inc. Each executive officer of Southern California Gas Company holds the same position at San Diego Gas & Electric Company.

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 11 is incorporated by reference from "Election of Directors" and "Executive Compensation" in the Information Statement prepared for the May 2004 annual meeting of shareholders.

## ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The security ownership information required by Item 12 is incorporated by reference from "Share Ownership" in the Information Statement prepared for the May 2004 annual meeting of shareholders.

## ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

None.

## ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information regarding principal accountant fees and services as required by Item 14 is incorporated by reference from "Proposal 3: Ratification of Independent Auditors" in the Proxy Statement prepared for the May 2004 annual meeting of shareholders.

## PART IV

## ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

## 1. Financial statements

	Page in This Report
Independent Auditors' Report for Pacific Enterprises . . . . .	28
Pacific Enterprises Statements of Consolidated Income for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 . . . . .	29
Pacific Enterprises Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2003 and 2002. . . . .	30
Pacific Enterprises Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 . . . . .	32
Pacific Enterprises Statements of Consolidated Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 . . . . .	33
Pacific Enterprises Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements . . . . .	34
Independent Auditors' Report for Southern California Gas Company. . . . .	66
SoCalGas Statements of Consolidated Income for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 . . . . .	67
SoCalGas Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2003 and 2002. . . . .	68
SoCalGas Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 . . . . .	70
SoCalGas Statements of Consolidated Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 . . . . .	71
SoCalGas Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. . . . .	72

## 2. Financial statement schedules

The following document may be found in this report at the indicated page number.

Schedule I--Condensed Financial Information of Parent. . . . .	86
--	----

Other schedules for which provision is made in Regulation S-X are not required under the instructions contained therein, are inapplicable or the information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto.

3. Exhibits

See Exhibit Index on page 90 of this report.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

The following reports on Form 8-K were filed after September 30, 2003:

Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 6, 2003, filing as an exhibit Sempra Energy's press release of November 6, 2003, giving the financial results for the three months ended September 30, 2003.

Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 15, 2003, announcing Southern California Gas Company's sale of \$250,000,000 of 4.375-percent First Mortgage Bonds.

Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 24, 2004, filing as an exhibit Sempra Energy's press release of February 24, 2004, giving the financial results for the three months ended December 31, 2003.

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' CONSENTS AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Pacific Enterprises:

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement Numbers 2-96782, 33-26357, 2-66833, 2-96781, 33-21908, and 33-54055 on Form S-8 and Registration Statement Numbers 33-24830, 333-52926, and 33-44338 on Form S-3 of Pacific Enterprises of our report dated February 23, 2004, appearing in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Pacific Enterprises for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Our audits of the financial statements referred to in our aforementioned report also included the financial statement schedule of Pacific Enterprises listed in Item 15. This financial statement schedule is the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/S/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

San Diego, California  
February 24, 2004

To the Boards of Directors and Shareholders of Southern California Gas Company:

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement Numbers 333-70654, 333-45537, 33-51322, 33-53258, 33-59404, and 33-52663 on Form S-3 of our report dated February 23, 2004, appearing in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Southern California Gas Company for the year ended December 31, 2003.

/S/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

San Diego, California  
February 24, 2004

## Schedule I -- CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF PARENT

## PACIFIC ENTERPRISES

Condensed Statements of Income  
(Dollars in millions)

For the years ended December 31 2003 2002 2001	
-----	-----
Interest income \$ 4	
<del>\$ 6</del> <del>\$ 18</del>	
Expenses, interest and income taxes (4)	
<u>0</u> <u>23</u>	
-----	-----
Income (loss) before subsidiary earnings 8	
<del>(3)</del> <del>(5)</del>	
Subsidiary earnings 209 212	
<u>207</u>	
-----	-----

-----	-----
Earnings applicable to common shares \$	
217 \$ 209	
<u>\$ 202</u>	
-----	-----
-----	-----
-----	-----

Condensed Balance Sheets  
(Dollars in millions)

Balance at December 31 2003 2002 --	
-----	-----
--- Assets:	
Current assets \$ 104	
<del>\$ 71</del>	
Investment in subsidiary 1,354 1,318	
Due from affiliates	
long term 356 419	
Deferred charges and other assets 111 87	
-----	-----
Total assets \$ 1,925 \$	
<u>1,895</u>	
-----	-----
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity: Due to affiliates \$	
<u>66</u> <u>\$ 65</u>	

Other  
current  
liabilities  
~~10 36~~

~~Total  
current  
liabilities  
76 101 Other  
long term  
liabilities  
152 110  
Common  
equity 1,617  
1,604  
Preferred  
stock 80 80~~

~~Total  
liabilities  
and  
shareholders'  
equity \$  
1,925 \$  
1,895~~

PACIFIC ENTERPRISES  
 Schedule 1 (continued)  
 Condensed Financial Information of Parent  
 Condensed  
 Statements  
 of Cash  
 Flows

(Dollars in  
 millions)

For the  
 years ended  
 December 31

2003 2002

2001 -----

-----

-- Net cash

provided by

(used in)

operating

activities

\$ (9) \$ (5)

\$ 8 -----

-----

Dividends

received

from

subsidiaries

200 200 190

-----

-----

Cash flows

provided by

investing

activities

200 200 190

-----

-----

Common

dividends

paid (250)

(100) (190)

Preferred

dividends

paid (4)

(4) (4) Due

to/from

affiliates

net 63

(91) -----

Other -----

(4) -----

-----

Cash

flows used

in

financing

activities

(191) (195)

(190) -----

-----

Change

in cash and

cash

equivalents

-----

Cash and

cash

equivalents,

January 1

-----

-----

Cash

and cash

equivalents,

December 31

\$ \$ \$

-----

-----

-----

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, hereunto duly authorized.

## PACIFIC ENTERPRISES

By: /s/ Stephen L. Baum

Stephen L. Baum  
Chairman, President  
and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report is signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name/Title	Signature	Date
<del>Principal Executive Officer:</del> <del>Stephen L. Baum</del> <del>Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer</del>	<del>/s/</del>	
<del>Stephen L. Baum</del> <del>February 23, 2004</del> <del>Principal Financial Officer:</del> <del>Neal E. Schmale</del> <del>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</del>	<del>/s/ Neal E. Schmale</del>	<del>February 23, 2004</del>
<del>Principal Accounting Officer:</del> <del>Frank H. Ault</del> <del>Senior Vice President and Controller</del>	<del>/s/ Frank H. Ault</del>	<del>February 23, 2004</del>
<del>Directors:</del> <del>Stephen L. Baum</del> <del>Chairman</del>	<del>/s/</del>	
<del>Stephen L. Baum</del> <del>February 23, 2004</del> <del>Frank H. Ault</del> <del>Director</del>	<del>/s/ Frank H. Ault</del>	<del>February 23, 2004</del>
<del>Neal E. Schmale</del> <del>Director</del>		

~~/s/ Neal  
E. Schmale  
February  
23, 2004~~

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, hereunto duly authorized.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

By: /s/ Edwin A. Guiles

Edwin A. Guiles  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report is signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name/Title  
Signature  
Date

~~Principal  
Executive  
Officer:  
Edwin A.  
Guiles  
Chairman  
and Chief  
Executive  
Officer  
/s/ Edwin  
A. Guiles  
February  
23, 2004~~

~~Principal  
Financial  
Officer:  
Debra L.  
Reed  
President  
and Chief  
Financial  
Officer  
/s/ Debra  
L. Reed  
February  
23, 2004~~

~~Principal  
Accounting  
Officer:  
Terry M.  
Fleskes  
Vice  
President  
and  
Controller  
/s/ Terry  
M. Fleskes  
February  
23, 2004~~

~~Directors:  
Edwin A.  
Guiles,  
Chairman  
/s/ Edwin  
A. Guiles  
February  
23, 2004  
Debra L.  
Reed,  
Director  
/s/ Debra  
L. Reed  
February  
23, 2004~~

~~Frank H.  
Ault,  
Director  
/s/ Frank  
H. Ault  
February  
23, 2004~~

## EXHIBIT INDEX

The Forms 8-K, 10-K and 10-Q referred to herein were filed under Commission File Number 1-14201 (Sempra Energy), Commission File Number 1-40 (Pacific Enterprises) and/or Commission File Number 1-1402 (Southern California Gas Company).

## Exhibit 3 -- By-Laws and Articles Of Incorporation

- 3.01 Articles of Incorporation of Pacific Enterprises (Pacific Enterprises 1996 Form 10-K, Exhibit 3.01).
- 3.02 Restated Bylaws of Pacific Enterprises dated November 6, 2001 (2001 Form 10-K Exhibit 3.02).
- 3.03 Restated Articles of Incorporation of Southern California Gas Company (Southern California Gas Company 1996 Form 10-K, Exhibit 3.01).
- 3.04 Restated Bylaws of Southern California Gas Company dated November 6, 2001 (2001 Form 10-K Exhibit 3.04).

## Exhibit 4 -- Instruments Defining The Rights Of Security Holders

The Company agrees to furnish a copy of each such instrument to the Commission upon request.

- 4.01 Specimen Common Stock Certificate of Pacific Enterprises (Pacific Enterprises 1988 Form 10-K, Exhibit 4.01).
- 4.02 Specimen Preferred Stock Certificates of Pacific Enterprises (Pacific Lighting Corporation 1980 Form 10-K, Exhibit 4.02).
- 4.03 Specimen Preferred Stock Certificates of Southern California Gas Company (Southern California Gas Company 1980 Form 10-K, Exhibit 4.01).
- 4.04 First Mortgage Indenture of Southern California Gas Company to American Trust Company dated October 1, 1940 (Registration Statement No. 2-4504 filed by Southern California Gas Company on September 16, 1940, Exhibit B-4).
- 4.05 Supplemental Indenture of Southern California Gas Company to American Trust Company dated as of July 1, 1947 (Registration Statement No. 2-7072 filed by Southern California Gas Company on March 15, 1947, Exhibit B-5).
- 4.06 Supplemental Indenture of Southern California Gas Company to American Trust Company dated as of August 1, 1955 (Registration Statement No. 2-11997 filed by Pacific Lighting Corporation on October 26, 1955, Exhibit 4.07).
- 4.07 Supplemental Indenture of Southern California Gas Company to American Trust Company dated as of June 1, 1956 (Registration Statement No. 2-12456 filed by Southern California Gas Company on April 23, 1956, Exhibit 2.08).

- 4.08 Supplemental Indenture of Southern California Gas Company to Wells Fargo Bank, National Association dated as of August 1, 1972 (Registration Statement No. 2-59832 filed by Southern California Gas Company on September 6, 1977, Exhibit 2.19).
- 4.09 Supplemental Indenture of Southern California Gas Company to Wells Fargo Bank, National Association dated as of May 1, 1976 (Registration Statement No. 2-56034 filed by Southern California Gas Company on April 14, 1976, Exhibit 2.20).
- 4.10 Supplemental Indenture of Southern California Gas Company to Wells Fargo Bank, National Association dated as of September 15, 1981 (Pacific Enterprises 1981 Form 10-K, Exhibit 4.25).
- 4.11 Supplemental Indenture of Southern California Gas Company to Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company of California, successor to Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, and Crocker National Bank as Successor Trustee dated as of May 18, 1984 (Southern California Gas Company 1984 Form 10-K, Exhibit 4.29).
- 4.12 Supplemental Indenture of Southern California Gas Company to Bankers Trust Company of California, N.A., successor to Wells Fargo Bank, National Association dated as of January 15, 1988 (Pacific Enterprises 1987 Form 10-K, Exhibit 4.11).
- 4.13 Supplemental Indenture of Southern California Gas Company to First Trust of California, National Association, successor to Bankers Trust Company of California, N.A. dated as of August 15, 1992 (Registration Statement No. 33-50826 filed by Southern California Gas Company on August 13, 1992, Exhibit 4.37).
- 4.14 Supplemental Indenture of Southern California Gas Company to U.S. Bank, N.A., successor to First Trust of California, N.A. dated as of October 1, 2002 (2002 Sempra Energy Form 10-K, Exhibit 4.17).
- 4.15 Specimen 7 3/4% Series Preferred Stock Certificate (Southern California Gas Company 1992 Form 10-K, Exhibit 4.15).

Exhibit 10 -- Material Contracts

Compensation

- 10.01 2003 Sempra Energy Executive Incentive Plan B (2003 Sempra Energy Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.10).
- 10.02 2003 Executive Incentive Plan (June 30, 2003 Sempra Energy Form 10-Q Exhibit 10.1).
- 10.03 Amended 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan (June 30, 2003 Sempra Energy Form 10-Q Exhibit 10.2).
- 10.04 Sempra Energy Executive Incentive Plan effective January 1, 2003 (2002 Sempra Energy Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.09).
- 10.05 Amended Sempra Energy Retirement Plan for Directors (2002 Sempra Energy Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.10).

- 10.06 Amended and Restated Sempra Energy Deferred Compensation and Excess Savings Plan (Sempra Energy September 30, 2002 Form 10-Q, Exhibit 10.3).
- 10.07 Sempra Energy Executive Security Bonus Plan effective January 1, 2001 (2001 Sempra Energy Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.08).
- 10.08 Form of Sempra Energy Severance Pay Agreement for Executives (2001 Sempra Energy Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.07).
- 10.09 Sempra Energy Deferred Compensation and Excess Savings Plan effective January 1, 2000 (Sempra Energy 2000 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.07).
- 10.10 Sempra Energy 1998 Long Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference from the Registration Statement on Form S-8 Sempra Energy Registration No. 333-56161 dated June 5, 1998, Exhibit 4.1).
- 10.11 Pacific Enterprises Employee Stock Ownership Plan and Trust Agreement as amended effective October 1, 1992. (Pacific Enterprises 1992 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.18).
- 10.12 Amended and Restated Pacific Enterprises Employee Stock Option Plan (Southern California Gas Company 1996 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.10).

Exhibit 12 -- Statement Re: Computation of Ratios

- 12.01 Pacific Enterprises Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, 2001, 2000 and 1999.
- 12.02 Southern California Gas Company Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, 2001, 2000 and 1999.

Exhibit 21 -- Subsidiaries

- 21.01 Pacific Enterprises Schedule of Subsidiaries at December 31, 2003.
- 21.02 Southern California Gas Company Schedule of Subsidiaries at December 31, 2003.

Exhibit 23 -- Independent Auditor's Consents, page 85.

Exhibit 31 -- Section 302 Certifications

- 31.1 Statement of PE's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Statement of PE's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.3 Statement of SoCalGas' Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

31.4 Statement of SoCalGas' Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Exhibit 32 -- Section 906 Certifications

32.1 Statement of PE's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350.

32.2 Statement of PE's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350.

32.3 Statement of SoCalGas' Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350.

32.4 Statement of SoCalGas' Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350.

## GLOSSARY

AFUDC	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction
BCAP	Biennial Cost Allocation Proceeding
Bcf	One Billion Cubic Feet (of natural gas)
CEMA	Catastrophic Event Memorandum Act
CFTC	Commodity Futures Trading Commission
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
DSM	Demand Side Management
EITF	Emerging Issues Task Force
El Paso	El Paso Energy Corp.
EG	Electric Generation
Enova	Enova Corporation
ERMG	Energy Risk Management Group
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Gas OIR	Natural Gas Market Order Instituting Ratemaking
GCIM	Gas Cost Incentive Mechanism
GIR	Gas Industry Restructuring
Global	Sempra Energy Global Enterprises
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
IOUs	Investor-Owned Utilities
MGP	Manufactured-Gas Plants
mmbtu	Million British Thermal Units (of natural gas)
Moody's	Moody's Investor Services, Inc.
ORA	Office of Ratepayer Advocates
PBR	Performance-Based Regulation
PE	Pacific Enterprises
PRP	Potentially Responsible Party

RD&D	Research Development and Demonstration
ROE	Return on Equity
ROR	Rate on Rate Base
S&P	Standard & Poor's
SDG&E	San Diego Gas & Electric Company
SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission
SFAS	Statement of Financial Accounting Standards
SoCalGas	Southern California Gas Company
VaR	Value at Risk

**EXHIBIT 12.1**  
**PACIFIC ENTERPRISES**  
**COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES**  
(Dollars in millions)

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
<b>Fixed Charges:</b>					
Interest	\$ 82	\$ 72	\$ 88	\$ 63	\$ 54
Interest portion of annual rentals	3	4	3	2	2
Preferred dividends of subsidiaries (1)	2	2	2	2	2
<b>Total fixed charges for purpose of ratio</b>	<b>\$ 87</b>	<b>\$ 78</b>	<b>\$ 93</b>	<b>\$ 67</b>	<b>\$ 58</b>
<b>Earnings:</b>					
Pretax income from continuing operations	\$ 350	\$ 396	\$ 377	\$ 383	\$ 361
<b>Total fixed charges (from above)</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>Total earnings for purpose of ratio</b>	<b>\$ 437</b>	<b>\$ 474</b>	<b>\$ 470</b>	<b>\$ 450</b>	<b>\$ 419</b>
<b>Ratio of earnings to fixed charges</b>	<b>5.02</b>	<b>6.08</b>	<b>5.05</b>	<b>6.72</b>	<b>7.22</b>

(1) In computing this ratio, "Preferred dividends of subsidiaries" represents the before-tax earnings necessary to pay such dividends, computed at the effective tax rates for the applicable periods.

**EXHIBIT 12.2**  
**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY**  
**COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES**

(Dollars in millions)

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
<b>Fixed Charges:</b>					
Interest	\$ 62	\$ 72	\$ 70	\$ 47	\$ 48
Interest portion of annual rentals	3	4	3	2	2
<b>Total fixed charges for purpose of ratio</b>	<b>\$ 65</b>	<b>\$ 76</b>	<b>\$ 73</b>	<b>\$ 49</b>	<b>\$ 50</b>
<b>Earnings:</b>					
Pretax income from continuing operations	\$ 383	\$ 390	\$ 377	\$ 391	\$ 360
Add: total fixed charges (from above)	65	76	73	49	50
<b>Total earnings for purpose of ratio</b>	<b>\$ 448</b>	<b>\$ 466</b>	<b>\$ 450</b>	<b>\$ 440</b>	<b>\$ 410</b>
<b>Ratio of earnings to fixed charges</b>	<b>6.89</b>	<b>6.13</b>	<b>6.16</b>	<b>8.98</b>	<b>8.20</b>

## PACIFIC ENTERPRISES

Schedule of Subsidiaries at December 31, 2003

Subsidiary - - - - -	State of Incorporation - - - - -
Ecotrans OEM Corporation	California
Southern California Gas Company	California
Southern California Gas Tower	California

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY  
Schedule of Subsidiaries at December 31, 2003

Subsidiary - - - - -	State of Incorporation - - - - -
Ecotrans OEM Corporation	California
Southern California Gas Tower	California

## CERTIFICATION

I, Stephen L. Baum, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Pacific Enterprises;

2. Based on my knowledge, this Annual Report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this Annual Report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this Annual Report fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this Annual Report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this Annual Report is being prepared;

b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this Annual Report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report, based on such evaluation; and

d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

February 24, 2004

/S/ STEPHEN L. BAUM  
Stephen L. Baum  
Chief Executive Officer

## CERTIFICATION

I, Neal E. Schmale, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Pacific Enterprises;

2. Based on my knowledge, this Annual Report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this Annual Report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this Annual Report fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this Annual Report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this Annual Report is being prepared;

b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this Annual Report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report, based on such evaluation; and

d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

February 24, 2004

/S/ NEAL E. SCHMALE  
Neal E. Schmale  
Chief Financial Officer

## CERTIFICATION

I, Edwin A. Guiles, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Southern California Gas Company;

2. Based on my knowledge, this Annual Report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this Annual Report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this Annual Report fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this Annual Report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this Annual Report is being prepared;

b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this Annual Report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report, based on such evaluation; and

d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

February 24, 2004

/S/ EDWIN A. GUILLES  
Edwin A. Guiles  
Chief Executive Officer

## CERTIFICATION

I, Debra L. Reed, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Southern California Gas Company;

2. Based on my knowledge, this Annual Report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this Annual Report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this Annual Report fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this Annual Report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this Annual Report is being prepared;

b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this Annual Report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report, based on such evaluation; and

d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

February 24, 2004

/S/ DEBRA L. REED  
Debra L. Reed  
Chief Financial Officer

Statement of Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned Chief Executive Officer of Pacific Enterprises (the "Company") certifies that:

(i) the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the year ended December 31, 2003 (the "Annual Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(ii) the information contained in the Annual Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

February 24, 2004

/S/ STEPHEN L. BAUM

---

Stephen L. Baum  
Chief Executive Officer

Statement of Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned Chief Financial Officer of Pacific Enterprises (the "Company") certifies that:

(i) the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the year ended December 31, 2003 (the "Annual Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(ii) the information contained in the Annual Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

February 24, 2004

/S/ NEAL E. SCHMALE

\_\_\_\_\_  
Neal E. Schmale  
Chief Financial Officer

Statement of Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned Chief Executive Officer of Southern California Gas Company (the "Company") certifies that:

(i) the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the year ended December 31, 2003 (the "Annual Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(ii) the information contained in the Annual Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

February 24, 2004

/S/ EDWIN A. GUILLES

\_\_\_\_\_  
Edwin A. Guiles  
Chief Executive Officer

Statement of Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned Chief Financial Officer of Southern California Gas Company (the "Company") certifies that:

(i) the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the year ended December 31, 2003 (the "Annual Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(ii) the information contained in the Annual Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

February 24, 2004

/S/ DEBRA L. REED

---

Debra L. Reed  
Chief Financial Officer