

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

[ X ] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2002

Commission file number 1-3779

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California

95-1184800

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

8330 Century Park Court, San Diego, California 92123

(Address of principal executive offices)  
(Zip Code)

(619) 696-2000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports  
required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange  
Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period  
that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been  
subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Common stock outstanding: Wholly owned by Enova Corporation

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY  
STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME

Dollars in millions

| Three<br>Months<br>Ended June<br>30, -----<br>-----<br>2002 2001 -<br>-----<br>--- |  |
|--|--|
| Operating<br>Revenues  |  |
| <del>Electric \$</del>   |  |
| <del>318 \$ 319</del>  |  |
| <del>Natural gas</del>   |  |
| <del>89 192</del>  |  |
| <hr/>  |  |
| Total<br>operating<br>revenues   |  |
| 407 511  |  |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <del>Operating<br/>Expenses</del>                                      |  |
| <del>Electric<br/>fuel and<br/>net<br/>purchased<br/>power 79 90</del> |  |
| <del>Cost of</del>   |  |



SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY  
STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME

Dollars in millions

Six Months  
Ended June  
30, -----

-----  
2002 2001 -  
-----

---  
Operating  
Revenues  
Electric \$  
596 \$ 1,110  
Natural gas  
238 530

-----  
—Total  
operating  
revenues  
834 1,640

-----  
Operating  
Expenses  
Electric  
fuel and  
net  
purchased  
power 140  
662 Cost of  
natural gas  
distributed  
120 388  
Other  
operating  
expenses  
243 232

Depreciation  
and  
amortization  
112 102  
Income  
taxes 46 80  
Other taxes  
and  
franchise  
payments 37  
46

-----  
Total  
operating  
expenses  
698 1,510

-----  
Operating  
Income 136  
130

-----  
Other  
Income and  
(Deductions)  
Interest  
income 5 12  
Regulatory  
interest  
net (2) 6  
Allowance  
for equity  
funds used  
during  
construction

~~5-1 Taxes  
on non-  
operating  
income 1  
(8) Other  
net 2 (1)~~

~~-----  
Total  
11 10~~

~~Interest  
Charges  
Long-term  
debt 39 43  
Other 3 8  
Allowance  
for  
borrowed  
funds used  
during  
construction  
(2) (3)~~

~~-----  
Total 40  
48~~

~~-----  
Net  
Income 107  
92~~

~~Preferred  
Dividend  
Requirements  
3 3~~

~~-----  
Earnings  
Applicable  
to Common  
Shares \$  
104 \$ 89  
=====~~

~~===== See  
notes to  
Consolidated  
Financial  
Statements.~~

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
Dollars in millions

Balance at ----  
-----  
----- June 30,  
December 31,  
2002 2001 -----  
-----

--- ASSETS  
Utility plant  
at original  
cost \$5,249  
\$5,009  
Accumulated  
depreciation  
and  
decommissioning  
(2,726) (2,642)

~~-----  
Utility plant  
net 2,523 2,367~~

~~-----  
Nuclear  
decommissioning  
trusts 521 526~~

~~-----  
Current assets:  
Cash and cash  
equivalents 337  
322 Accounts  
receivable  
trade 158 160~~

~~Accounts receivable—  
 other 20 27 Due from  
 unconsolidated affiliates 213  
 28 Income taxes receivable—  
 73 Regulatory assets arising  
 from fixed-price contracts  
 and other derivatives 64  
 88 Other regulatory  
 assets 74 75  
 Inventories 49  
 70 Other 4 3~~

~~Total current assets 919 846~~

~~Other assets:  
 Deferred taxes recoverable in  
 rates 154 162  
 Regulatory assets arising  
 from fixed-price contracts  
 and other derivatives 630  
 673 Other regulatory  
 assets 710 842  
 Sundry 34 28~~

~~Total other assets 1,528  
 1,705~~

~~Total assets \$5,491  
 \$5,444 =====~~

~~===== See  
 notes to Consolidated  
 Financial Statements.~~

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY  
 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Dollars in millions

Balance at ----

-----  
 ----- June  
 30, December  
 31, 2002 2001 -  
 -----

~~CAPITALIZATION  
 AND LIABILITIES~~

~~Capitalization:~~

~~Common stock  
 (255,000,000  
 shares  
 authorized;  
 116,583,358  
 shares  
 outstanding) \$  
 943 \$ 857  
 Retained  
 earnings 335  
 232 Accumulated  
 other  
 comprehensive~~

|   |       |
|---|-------|
| income (loss)                                       |       |
| (4) (3)   |       |
| <hr/>   |       |
| Total common equity                                 |       |
| 1,274   | 1,086 |
| Preferred stock not subject to mandatory redemption | 79    |
| 79  |       |
| <hr/>   |       |
| Total shareholders' equity                          |       |
| 1,165   | 1,353 |
| Preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption     | 25    |
| 25  |       |
| Long term debt                                      | 1,197 |
| 1,229   |       |
| <hr/>   |       |
| Total capitalization                                |       |
| 2,575   | 2,419 |
| <hr/>   |       |
| Current liabilities:                                |       |
| Accounts payable                                    | 122   |
| 139   |       |
| Income taxes payable                                | 53    |
| 53  |       |
| Interest payable                                    | 11    |
| 12  |       |
| Deferred income taxes                               | 110   |
| 128   |       |
| Regulatory balancing accounts net                   | 609   |
| 575   |       |
| Fixed price contracts and other derivatives         | 65    |
| 89  |       |
| Current portion of long term debt                   | 66    |
| 93  |       |
| Other   | 165   |
| 200   |       |
| <hr/>   |       |
| Total current liabilities                           |       |
| 1,201   | 1,236 |
| <hr/>   |       |
| Deferred credits and other liabilities:             |       |
| Customer advances for construction                  | 41    |
| 42  |       |
| Deferred income taxes                               | 608   |
| 639   |       |
| Deferred investment tax credits                     | 44    |
| 45  |       |
| Fixed price contracts and other derivatives         | 630   |
| 673   |       |
| Deferred credits and other liabilities              | 392   |
| 390   |       |
| <hr/>   |       |
| Total deferred credits and other liabilities        |       |
| 1,715   | 1,789 |
| <hr/>   |       |
| Contingencies                                       |       |

and commitments  
 (Note 2) Total  
 liabilities and  
 shareholders'  
 equity \$5,491  
 \$5,444 =====  
 ===== See  
 notes to  
 Consolidated  
 Financial  
 Statements.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY  
 CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS  
 Dollars in millions

Six Months  
 Ended June 30,  
 -----  
 --- 2002 2001 -  
 -----

|                                |  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| <del>Cash Flows from</del>     |  |
| <del>Operating</del>           |  |
| <del>Activities</del>          |  |
| <del>Net</del>                 |  |
| <del>income</del> \$ 107 \$    |  |
| <del>92</del> Adjustments      |  |
| <del>to reconcile</del>        |  |
| <del>net income to</del>       |  |
| <del>net cash</del>            |  |
| <del>provided by</del>         |  |
| <del>operating</del>           |  |
| <del>activities:</del>         |  |
| <del>Depreciation</del>        |  |
| <del>and</del>                 |  |
| <del>amortization</del>        |  |
| <del>112</del> 102             |  |
| <del>Deferred income</del>     |  |
| <del>taxes and</del>           |  |
| <del>investment tax</del>      |  |
| <del>credits</del> (41) 26     |  |
| <del>Non-cash rate</del>       |  |
| <del>reduction bond</del>      |  |
| <del>expense</del> 40 34       |  |
| <del>Changes in</del>          |  |
| <del>other assets</del> 79     |  |
| <del>(257)</del> Changes       |  |
| <del>in other</del>            |  |
| <del>liabilities</del> 6 7     |  |
| <del>Net change in</del>       |  |
| <del>other working</del>       |  |
| <del>capital</del>             |  |
| <del>components</del> 118      |  |
| <del>235</del> -----           |  |
| <del>Net cash</del>            |  |
| <del>provided by</del>         |  |
| <del>operating</del>           |  |
| <del>activities</del> 421      |  |
| <del>239</del> -----           |  |
| <del>Cash Flows</del>          |  |
| <del>from Investing</del>      |  |
| <del>Activities</del>          |  |
| <del>Capital</del>             |  |
| <del>expenditures</del>        |  |
| <del>(182)</del> (138)         |  |
| <del>Loan to</del>             |  |
| <del>affiliate</del> net       |  |
| <del>(156)</del> 19            |  |
| <del>Contributions</del>       |  |
| <del>to</del>                  |  |
| <del>decommissioning</del>     |  |
| <del>funds</del> (2) (2)       |  |
| <del>Other</del> (4) (5) ----- |  |
| <del>Net cash used</del>       |  |
| <del>in investing</del>        |  |
| <del>activities</del>          |  |
| <del>(344)</del> (126) -----   |  |
| <del>Cash</del>                |  |
| <del>Flows from</del>          |  |

|   |
|---|
| Financing                               |
| Activities                              |
| Dividends paid                          |
| <del>(3) (3)</del>                      |
| Payments on                             |
| long term debt                          |
| <del>(59) (84)</del>                    |
| Issuances of                            |
| long term debt                          |
| <u>93</u>                               |
| Net cash                                |
| provided by                             |
| <del>(used in)</del>                    |
| <del>financing</del>                    |
| <del>activities (62)</del>              |
| <u>6</u>                                |
| Increase in                             |
| cash and cash                           |
| equivalents <del>15</del>               |
| <del>119</del> Cash and                 |
| cash                                    |
| equivalents,                            |
| January 1 <del>322</del>                |
| <u>256</u>                              |
| Cash and                                |
| cash                                    |
| equivalents,                            |
| June 30 \$ <del>337</del> \$            |
| <del>375</del> =====                    |
| =====                                   |
| Supplemental                            |
| Disclosure of                           |
| Cash Flow                               |
| Information                             |
| Interest                                |
| payments, net                           |
| of amounts                              |
| capitalized \$                          |
| <del>38</del> \$ <del>44</del> =====    |
| ===== Income                            |
| tax refunds,                            |
| net of payments                         |
| \$ <del>(40)</del> \$ <del>(191)</del>  |
| =====                                   |
| Supplemental                            |
| Schedule Of                             |
| Non Cash                                |
| Investing And                           |
| Financing                               |
| Activities                              |
| Property Plant                          |
| and Equipment                           |
| contribution                            |
| from Sempra                             |
| Energy \$ <del>86</del> \$ <del>—</del> |
| =====                                   |
| ===== See                               |
| notes to                                |
| Consolidated                            |
| Financial                               |
| Statements.                             |

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### 1. GENERAL

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is that of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E or the company), the common stock of which indirectly is wholly owned by Sempra Energy, a California-based Fortune 500 holding company, which also indirectly owns the common stock of Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas). SDG&E and SoCalGas are collectively referred to herein as "the California utilities." The financial statements herein are the Consolidated Financial Statements of SDG&E and its sole subsidiary, SDG&E Funding LLC.

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with the interim-period-reporting requirements of Form 10-Q.

Results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the entire year. In the opinion of management, the accompanying statements reflect all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation. These adjustments are only of a normal recurring nature. Certain changes in classification have been made to prior presentations to conform to the current financial statement presentation.

Information in this Quarterly Report is unaudited and should be read in conjunction with the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 (Annual Report) and Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2002.

The company's significant accounting policies are described in Note 2 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the company's companies' Annual Report. The same accounting policies are followed for interim reporting purposes.

As described in the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the company's Annual Report, SDG&E accounts for the economic effects of regulation on utility operations (excluding generation operations) in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation" (SFAS 71).

#### NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In July 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued two statements, SFAS 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" and SFAS 143 "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." The former is not presently relevant to the company.

SFAS 143 addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. This applies to legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets. It requires entities to record the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation in the period in which it is incurred. When the liability is initially recorded, the entity increases the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset to reflect the future retirement cost. Over time, the liability is accreted to its present value and paid, and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. SFAS 143 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002.

Upon adoption of SFAS 143, the company estimates that it would record an addition of \$540 million to utility plant representing the company's share of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) estimated future decommissioning costs, and a corresponding retirement obligation liability of \$540 million. The nuclear decommissioning trusts balance of \$521 million at June 30, 2002 represents amounts collected for future decommissioning costs and earnings thereon, and has a corresponding offset in accumulated depreciation (\$369 million related to SONGS Units 2 and 3) and deferred credits (\$152 million related to SONGS Unit 1). Any difference between the amount of capitalized cost that would have been recorded and depreciated and the amounts collected in the nuclear decommissioning trusts will be recorded as a regulatory asset or liability. Except for SONGS, the company has not yet determined the effect of SFAS 143 on its financial statements, but has determined that it will not have a material impact on its Statements of Consolidated Income.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS 144 "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" that replaces SFAS 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of." The adoption of SFAS 144, which governs the determination of whether the carrying value of certain assets, primarily property, plant and equipment, should be reduced, has not affected the company's financial statements.

In June, 2002, a consensus was reached in Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue 02-3, which codifies existing guidance on the recognition and reporting of gains and losses on energy trading contracts and addresses other aspects of the accounting for contracts involved in energy trading and risk management activities. Most of the consensus is not applicable to SDG&E, because of the way the company conducts business and the requirements of SFAS 71. However, at a later date, the EITF will also address the application of fair value accounting in situations where there is very little market information, including whether it is appropriate to use fair-value accounting and, if so, how fair value should be determined.

#### 2. MATERIAL CONTINGENCIES

## ELECTRIC INDUSTRY RESTRUCTURING

The restructuring of California's electric utility industry significantly affected the company's electric utility operations. The background of this issue is described in the company's Annual Report. Subsequent developments are described herein.

SDG&E's undercollection balance has been reduced from \$392 million at December 31, 2001, to \$314 million at June 30, 2002. SDG&E has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) for a rate surcharge. However, even at current rates and allocation of those rates between the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) and SDG&E, the balance is expected to be completely recovered by mid 2005. Also at issue is the ownership of certain power sale profits. As previously discussed in Note 14 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report, the CPUC rejected portions of a memorandum of understanding with respect to a settlement of regulatory issues related to electricity contracts held by SDG&E. The proposed settlement would have granted SDG&E ownership of its power sale profits in exchange for crediting \$219 million to customers to offset the rate-ceiling balancing account. Instead, the CPUC asserted that all the profits associated with the contracts (which the CPUC estimated to be \$363 million) should accrue to the benefit of customers. The company believes the CPUC's calculation of these profits is incorrect. Moreover, the company believes that all profits associated with the contracts properly are for the benefit of SDG&E shareholders rather than customers. Accordingly, SDG&E has challenged the CPUC's disallowance of profits from the contracts in both the California Court of Appeals and in Federal District Court.

These court proceedings have been held in abeyance pending the CPUC's consideration of another proposed settlement that has been negotiated with the CPUC legal division and is the subject of ongoing public hearings. The settlement, if approved by the CPUC, would dispose of all issues relating to the contracts by allocating an additional \$24 million of power sale profits to customers by a reduction of the rate-ceiling balancing account. The settlement, if approved, would not adversely affect SDG&E's financial position, liquidity or results of operations. If the settlement is not approved, SDG&E intends to proceed with its previously instituted litigation seeking the allocation of all power sale profits to shareholders.

On March 21, 2002, the CPUC affirmed its decision prohibiting new direct access contracts after September 20, 2001, but rejected a proposal to make the prohibition retroactive to July 1, 2001. Contracts in place as of September 20, 2001 may be renewed or assigned to new parties. In a separate proceeding, the CPUC will examine the use of exit fees as a means of recovering from direct access customers the adverse effects on the DWR of direct access customer departures from utility procurement.

On April 4, 2002, the CPUC approved a plan that determines how much ratepayer revenue the state's investor-owned utilities (IOUs) can collect in 2002 for utility-retained generation. SDG&E continues to collect the system average rate of 7.96 cents/kwh (the 6.5-cent commodity rate ceiling, plus an amount sufficient to repay the DWR for its purchases of power for utility customers, and a 0.7-cent/kwh "competition transition charge" rate. The excess, if any, of the system average rate over actual costs is used to reduce the undercollection balance described above. Incremental Cost Incentive Pricing (ICIP) is continued for SONGS through 2003. When ICIP is replaced with traditional rate-setting mechanisms in 2004, the SONGS ratebase will start at zero, resulting in no significant earnings until new plant additions at SONGS accumulate to significant amounts. SDG&E has requested a rehearing of this decision as it is contrary to the market-based pricing contemplated in the overall mechanism adopted by the CPUC in 1996. Market-based treatment would provide positive earnings if the plant's operating costs were below the revenues produced by sales to the competitive market.

Since early 2001, the DWR has procured power for each of the California IOUs because of their actual or imminent inability to finance the procurement themselves. In March of 2002, the CPUC established the allocation of the power and the related cost responsibility among the California electric utilities for power sold by DWR. SDG&E's allocation results in its overall rates being comparable to those of the other two California electric utilities, Southern California Edison and Pacific Gas and Electric, although this allocation could change in future years. SDG&E and the DWR have an agreement under which the DWR will continue to purchase power for SDG&E's customers through December 31, 2002. The company has received notice from the DWR of its intent to cancel this agreement effective January 1, 2003. The CPUC intends for the utilities

to take the procurement function back from DWR by the beginning of 2003, and is now considering how the power from the long-term contracts signed by DWR should be allocated to the customers of each of the utilities for purposes of determining the amount of additional power each utility will be required to procure in 2003 and thereafter to fill out its resource needs. The California Legislature has passed Assembly Bill 57 (AB 57), which, if signed into law by the governor, would require the CPUC to make this determination, and to establish procedures that will allow utilities to recover their electric procurement costs in a timely fashion without the need for retrospective reasonableness reviews. AB 57 is currently awaiting the Governor's signature. SDG&E believes that the return to the procurement function will have no adverse impact on its financial position or results of operations if it is accomplished in conformance with AB 57.

#### GAS INDUSTRY RESTRUCTURING

As discussed in Note 15 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report, in December 2001 the CPUC issued a decision related to gas industry restructuring, with implementation anticipated during 2002. However, during the quarter ended June 30, 2002, implementation has been delayed and the CPUC may order additional hearings.

#### CPUC INVESTIGATION OF ENERGY-UTILITY HOLDING COMPANIES

In January 2002, the CPUC issued a decision that broadly determined that a holding company would be required to provide cash to a utility subsidiary to cover its operating expenses and working capital to the extent they are not adequately funded through retail rates. Also in January 2002, the CPUC ruled that it had jurisdiction to create the holding company system and, therefore, retains jurisdiction to enforce conditions to which the holding companies had agreed. The company subsequently filed a request for rehearing on the issues. On July 17, 2002, the CPUC denied a rehearing. The company is planning to seek judicial review of the orders in the California Court of Appeals. The company must file its appeal no later than August 21, 2002.

#### NUCLEAR INSURANCE

SDG&E and the other co-owners of SONGS have purchased primary insurance of \$200 million, the maximum amount available, for public-liability claims. An additional \$9.3 billion of coverage is provided by secondary financial protection required by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and provides for loss sharing among utilities owning nuclear reactors if a costly accident occurs. SDG&E and the other co-owners of SONGS could be assessed retrospective premium adjustments of up to \$176 million (SDG&E's share is \$36 million unless default occurs by any other co-owner) in the event of a nuclear incident involving any of the licensed, commercial reactors in the United States, if the amount of the loss exceeds \$200 million. In the event the public-liability limit stated above is insufficient, the Price-Anderson Act provides for Congress to enact further revenue-raising measures to pay claims, which could include an additional assessment on all licensed reactor operators.

Insurance coverage is provided for up to \$2.75 billion of property damage and decontamination liability. Coverage is also provided for the cost of replacement power, which includes indemnity payments for up to three years and six weeks, after a waiting period of 12 weeks. Coverage is provided through a mutual insurance company owned by utilities with nuclear facilities. If losses at any of the nuclear facilities covered by the risk-sharing arrangements were to exceed the accumulated funds available from these insurance programs, SDG&E could be assessed retrospective premium adjustments of up to \$7.4 million.

Both the public-liability and property insurance include coverage for SDG&E's and the other co-owners' losses resulting from acts of terrorism.

#### LITIGATION

Lawsuits filed in 2000 and currently consolidated in San Diego Superior Court seek class-action certification and damages, alleging that Sempra Energy, SoCalGas and SDG&E, along with El Paso Energy Corp. and several of its affiliates, sought to maintain their positions in the natural gas market by agreeing, among other things, to restrict the supply of natural gas into Southern California.

SER, SET and SDG&E, along with all other sellers in the western power market, have been named defendants in a complaint filed at the FERC by the California Attorney General's office seeking refunds for electricity

purchases based on alleged violations of FERC tariffs. The FERC has dismissed the complaint. The California Attorney General's office has filed a request for rehearing.

Management believes the above allegations are without merit.

In connection with its investigation into California energy prices, in May 2002 the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) ordered all energy companies engaged in electric energy trading activities to state whether they had engaged in "death star," "load shift," "wheel out," "ricochet," "inc-ing load" and various other specific trading activities as described in memos prepared by attorneys retained by Enron Corporation and in which it was asserted that Enron was manipulating or "gaming" the California energy markets. In response to the inquiry, SDG&E has denied using any of these strategies. It did disclose and explain a single de minimus 100-MW transaction for the export of electricity out of California. In response to a related FERC inquiry it has also denied engaging in "wash" or "round trip" trading activities. SDG&E is also cooperating with the FERC and other governmental agencies and officials in their various investigations of the California energy markets.

Except for the matters referred to above, neither the company nor its subsidiary is party to, nor is their property the subject of, any material pending legal proceedings other than routine litigation incidental to their businesses. Management believes that these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the company's financial condition or results of operations.

### 3. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following is a reconciliation of net income to comprehensive income.

| (Dollars in millions)                 | Three Months Ended June 30, |       | Six Months Ended June 30, |       |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|
|                                       | 2002                        | 2001  | 2002                      | 2001  |
| Net income                            | \$ 52                       | \$ 38 | \$ 107                    | \$ 92 |
| Minimum pension liability adjustments | (1)                         | --    | (1)                       | --    |
| Comprehensive income                  | \$ 51                       | \$ 38 | \$ 106                    | \$ 92 |

### 4. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Note 9 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the company's Annual Report discusses the company's financial instruments, including the adoption of SFAS 133 and SFAS 138, accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities, market risk, interest-rate risk management, energy derivatives and contracts, and fair value. Additional activity and information since January 1, 2002 related to financial instruments are described herein.

At June 30, 2002, \$2 million in other current assets, \$65 million in current liabilities and \$630 million in deferred credits and other liabilities were recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets for fixed-priced contracts and other derivatives. Regulatory assets and liabilities were established to the extent that derivative gains and losses are recoverable or payable through future rates. As such, \$64 million in current regulatory assets, \$630 million in noncurrent regulatory assets, and \$2 million in other current liabilities, were recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2002. There was no material impact to the Statements of Consolidated Income for the six months ended June 30, 2002.

### ITEM 2.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements contained in this Form 10-Q and Management's

#### INFORMATION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report contains statements that are not historical fact and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The words "estimates," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "plans," "intends," "may," "would" and "should" or similar expressions, or discussions of strategy or of plans are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. They involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Future results may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon various assumptions involving judgments with respect to the future and other risks, including, among others, local, regional, national and international economic, competitive, political, legislative and regulatory conditions and developments; actions by the CPUC, the California Legislature, the DWR, and the FERC; capital market conditions, inflation rates, interest rates and exchange rates; energy and trading markets, including the timing and extent of changes in commodity prices; weather conditions and conservation efforts; war and terrorist attacks; business, regulatory and legal decisions; the pace of deregulation of retail natural gas and electricity delivery; the timing and success of business development efforts; and other uncertainties, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond the control of the company. Readers are cautioned not to rely unduly on any forward-looking statements and are urged to review and consider carefully the risks, uncertainties and other factors which affect the company's business described in this report and other reports filed by the company from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

See also "Factors Influencing Future Performance" below.

#### CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

The company's California utility operations have historically been a major source of liquidity. However, beginning in the third quarter of 2000 and continuing into the first quarter of 2001, SDG&E's liquidity and its ability to make funds available to Sempra Energy were adversely affected by the electric cost undercollections resulting from a temporary ceiling on electric rates legislatively imposed in response to high electric costs. Growth in these undercollections has ceased as a result of an agreement with the DWR, under which the DWR is obligated to purchase SDG&E's full net short position consisting of the power and ancillary services required by SDG&E's customers that are not provided by SDG&E's nuclear generating facilities or its previously existing purchase power contracts. The agreement extends through December 31, 2002. The company has received notice from the DWR of its intent to cancel this agreement effective January 1, 2003. The CPUC is conducting proceedings intended to establish guidelines and procedures for the eventual resumption of electricity procurement by SDG&E and the other California IOUs. Electric costs are now below the rates under the rate ceiling. In addition, AB 57, if signed into law by the governor, would provide for rates that would reflect the costs of power. See further discussion in the company's Annual Report and the discussion of AB 57 above.

#### CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

For the six-month period ended June 30, 2002, the increase in cash flows from operations compared to the corresponding period in 2001 was primarily due to SDG&E's undercollection of purchased-power costs, the balance of which decreased to \$392 million at December 31, 2001 and \$314 million at June 30, 2002 from a high in mid-2001 of \$750 million. In addition, the increase in cash flows from operations in 2002 was attributable to the increase in overcollected regulatory balancing accounts, partially offset by the tax effect associated with these balancing accounts.

#### CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

For the six-month period ended June 30, 2002, the increase in cash flows used in investing activities compared to the corresponding period in 2001 was primarily due to advances to Sempra Energy, which are payable on demand, and increased capital expenditures.

Capital expenditures for property, plant and equipment are estimated to

be \$400 million for the full year 2002 and are being financed primarily by internally generated funds and security issuances. Construction, investment and financing programs are continuously reviewed and revised in response to changes in competition, customer growth, inflation, customer rates, the cost of capital, and environmental and regulatory requirements.

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

For the six-month period ended June 30, 2002, cash flows from financing activities decreased from the corresponding period in 2001 due primarily to the remarketing of variable-rate debt during the first quarter of 2001, which appears as both payments and issuances on the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows.

In May 2002, SDG&E and SoCalGas replaced their individual revolving lines of credit with a combined revolving credit agreement under which each utility may individually borrow up to \$300 million, subject to a combined borrowing limit for both utilities of \$500 million. Each utility's revolving credit line expires on May 16, 2003, at which time it may convert its then outstanding borrowings to a one-year term loan subject to having obtained any requisite regulatory approvals relating to long-term debt. Borrowings under the agreement, which are available for general corporate purposes including back-up support for commercial paper and variable-rate long-term debt, would bear interest at rates varying with market rates and the individual borrowing utility's credit rating. The agreement requires each utility individually to maintain a debt-to-total capitalization ratio (as defined in the agreement) of not to exceed 60%. The rights, obligations and covenants of each utility under the agreement are individual rather than joint with those of the other utility, and a default by one utility would not constitute a default by the other. These lines of credit were unused at June 30, 2002.

In April 2002, Fitch, Inc. confirmed its prior credit ratings of the company's debt; Standard & Poor's reduced its ratings of the company's secured debt one notch from AA- with a negative outlook to A+ with a stable outlook and made corresponding adjustments in the ratings and outlook of the company's other debt; and Moody's Investors Service, Inc., confirmed its prior ratings of the short-term debt and variable rate demand bonds of SDG&E, but placed its ratings of the other debt of SDG&E under review for possible downgrade.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The company's net income increased for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2002, compared to the corresponding period in 2001 primarily due to a \$25 million after-tax benefit from the favorable resolution of income-tax issues from prior years, partially offset by increased depreciation expense. Second quarter 2001 results included a \$7 million after-tax benefit from incentive awards. The timing of these awards varies and none was recorded in second quarter 2002 results.

The tables below summarize electric and natural gas volumes and revenues by customer class for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2002 and 2001.

Electric Distribution and Transmission  
(Volumes in millions of kWhrs, dollars in millions)

|                    | 2002             | 2001              |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| -- Volumes         |                  |                   |
| Revenue            |                  |                   |
| Volumes            |                  |                   |
| Revenue --         |                  |                   |
| <b>Residential</b> | <del>3,072</del> | <del>\$ 323</del> |
|                    | <del>2,993</del> | <del>\$ 405</del> |
| <b>Commercial</b>  | <del>2,853</del> | <del>294</del>    |
|                    | <del>2,961</del> | <del>462</del>    |
| <b>Industrial</b>  |                  |                   |





## Gas and Electric Rates

On May 8, 2002, SDG&E filed its 2003 Cost of Capital application with the CPUC, requesting an increase in its authorized return on equity from 10.6 percent to 12.5 percent. If adopted, this change would result in a revenue requirement increase of \$30.7 million (\$24.2 million electric and \$6.5 million gas), effective January 1, 2003. The CPUC's Office of Ratepayer Advocates has recommended a return of 10.5 percent. The CPUC is expected to rule on the matter by the end of the year.

SDG&E has a Gas Procurement PBR mechanism that allows SDG&E to receive a share of the savings it achieves by buying natural gas for customers below a monthly benchmark. In March 2002, SDG&E requested a reward of \$7 million for the PBR natural gas procurement period ending July 31, 2001. No reward will be included in SDG&E's earnings until it is approved by the CPUC, which is expected by the end of 2002.

On June 17, 2002, SDG&E amended its March 21, 2002 joint application with Southern California Edison requesting the CPUC to set contribution levels for the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) nuclear decommissioning trust funds. SDG&E requested a rate increase to cover its share of total projected increased decommissioning costs for SONGS. If approved, the current annual contribution to SDG&E's trust funds would increase to \$11.5 million annually from \$4.9 million. Prior to August 1999, SDG&E's annual contribution had been \$22 million.

In July 2002, the CPUC Energy Division issued a Draft Resolution (DR) approving SDG&E's 2000 Performance-Based Ratemaking (PBR) report. The DR approves SDG&E's request for a total net reward of \$11.7 million, as well as SDG&E's actual 2000 rate of return (applicable only to electric distribution and gas transportation) of 8.74 percent, which is below the authorized 8.75 percent. This results in no sharing of earnings in 2000 under the PBR sharing mechanism described in the company's Annual Report. A final CPUC decision is expected by the end of the third quarter 2002. The financial results herein do not include the pending award.

The California utilities will file applications with the CPUC in December 2002 to set new base rates. A CPUC decision is expected in late 2003, with new rates to become effective in 2004.

The California utilities have earned rewards for successful implementation of Demand-Side Management programs that have been scheduled by the CPUC for payout over several years. In a recent ruling, a CPUC Administrative Law Judge has indicated an intent to reanalyze the uncollected portion of past rewards earned by utilities (which have not been included in SDG&E's income), and potentially recompute the amount of the rewards. The California utilities will oppose the recomputation.

## NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In July 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued two statements, SFAS 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" and SFAS 143 "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." The former is not presently relevant to the company. SFAS 143 addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS 144 "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" that replaces SFAS 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of." SFAS 144 applies to all long-lived assets, including discontinued operations. See further discussion in Note 1 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## ITEM 3. MARKET RISK

There have been no significant changes in the risk issues affecting the company subsequent to those discussed in the Annual Report. As noted in that report, SDG&E may, at times, be exposed to limited market risk in its natural gas purchase and sale activities as a result of activities under SDG&E's gas Performance-Based Regulation mechanism. The risk is managed within the parameters of the company's market-risk management and trading framework.

As of June 30, 2002, the total Value at Risk of SDG&E's natural gas positions was not material.

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Except as described in Note 2 of the notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, neither the company nor its subsidiary is party to, nor is their property the subject of, any material pending legal proceedings other than routine litigation incidental to their businesses.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits

Exhibit 12 - Computation of ratios

12.1 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

There were no reports on Form 8-K filed after March 31, 2002.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, SDG&E has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
(Registrant)

Date: August 13, 2002

By: /s/ D.L. Reed

-----  
D.L. Reed  
President and  
Chief Financial Officer



capitalized  
(2) (1) (1)  
(3) (1)

Total  
Earnings  
for Purpose  
of Ratio  
\$553 \$456  
\$460 \$414  
\$422 \$195

Ratio of  
Earnings to  
Combined  
Fixed  
Charges and  
Preferred  
Stock  
Dividends  
4.98 3.35  
3.15 3.07  
3.84 4.15

(1) In  
computing  
this ratio,  
"Preferred  
stock  
dividends"  
represents  
the before-  
tax  
earnings  
necessary  
to pay such  
dividends,  
computed at  
the  
effective  
tax rates  
for the  
applicable  
periods.