

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2017

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No.	Exact Name of Registrants as Specified in their Charters, Address and Telephone Number	States of Incorporation	I.R.S. Employer Identification Nos.	Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report
1-14201	SEMPRA ENERGY 488 8 th Avenue San Diego, California 92101 (619) 696-2000	California	33-0732627	No change
1-03779	SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY 8326 Century Park Court San Diego, California 92123 (619) 696-2000	California	95-1184800	No change
1-01402	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY 555 West Fifth Street Los Angeles, California 90013 (213) 244-1200	California	95-1240705	No change

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Sempra Energy	Yes	<u> X </u>	No	<u> </u>
San Diego Gas & Electric Company	Yes	<u> X </u>	No	<u> </u>
Southern California Gas Company	Yes	<u> X </u>	No	<u> </u>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer,” “smaller reporting company,” and “emerging growth company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

	Large accelerated filer	Accelerated filer	Non-accelerated filer	Smaller reporting company	Emerging growth company
Sempra Energy	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
San Diego Gas & Electric Company	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Southern California Gas Company	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Sempra Energy	Yes	<u> </u>	No	<u> </u>
San Diego Gas & Electric Company	Yes	<u> </u>	No	<u> </u>
Southern California Gas Company	Yes	<u> </u>	No	<u> </u>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Sempra Energy	Yes	<u> </u>	No	<u> X </u>
San Diego Gas & Electric Company	Yes	<u> </u>	No	<u> X </u>
Southern California Gas Company	Yes	<u> </u>	No	<u> X </u>

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuers’ classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common stock outstanding on October 24, 2017:

Sempra Energy	251,277,393 shares
San Diego Gas & Electric Company	Wholly owned by Enova Corporation, which is wholly owned by Sempra Energy
Southern California Gas Company	Wholly owned by Pacific Enterprises, which is wholly owned by Sempra Energy

SEMPRA ENERGY FORM 10-Q
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY FORM 10-Q
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY FORM 10-Q
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements	6
<hr/>	
PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	8
Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	85
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	126
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	127
<hr/>	
PART II – OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	129
Item 1A. Risk Factors	129
Item 6. Exhibits	135
Signatures	138

This combined Form 10-Q is separately filed by Sempra Energy, San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company. Information contained herein relating to any individual company is filed by such company on its own behalf. Each company makes representations only as to itself and makes no other representation whatsoever as to any other company.

You should read this report in its entirety as it pertains to each respective reporting company. No one section of the report deals with all aspects of the subject matter. Separate Part I – Item 1 sections are provided for each reporting company, except for the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for all of the reporting companies are combined. All Items other than Part I – Item 1 are combined for the reporting companies.

The following terms and abbreviations appearing in the text of this report have the meanings indicated below.

GLOSSARY

2016 GRC FD	final decision in the California Utilities' 2016 General Rate Case
AFUDC	allowance for funds used during construction
ALJ	administrative law judge
Annual Report	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016
AOCI	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
ASC	Accounting Standards Codification
ASU	Accounting Standards Update
Bay Gas	Bay Gas Storage Company, Ltd.
Bcf	billion cubic feet
Blade	Blade Energy Partners
bps	basis points
CAISO	California Independent System Operator
California Utilities	San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company, collectively
Cameron LNG JV	Cameron LNG Holdings, LLC
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CCA	Community Choice Aggregation
CCM	cost of capital adjustment mechanism
CEC	California Energy Commission
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CFCA	Core Fixed Cost Account
CFE	Comisión Federal de Electricidad (Federal Electricity Commission in Mexico)
Chilquinta Energía	Chilquinta Energía S.A. and its subsidiaries
COFECE	Comisión Federal de Competencia Económica (Mexican Competition Commission)
CPED	Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
CPI	Consumer Price Index
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
CRE	Comisión Reguladora de Energía (Energy Regulatory Commission in Mexico)
CRR	congestion revenue right
DA	Direct Access
DEN	Ductos y Energéticos del Norte, S. de R.L. de C.V.
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOGGR	California Department of Conservation's Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources
DPH	Los Angeles County Department of Public Health
Ecogas	Ecogas México, S. de R.L. de C.V.
Edison	Southern California Edison Company
EFH	Energy Future Holdings Corp.
EFIH	Energy Future Intermediate Holding Company LLC
Eletrans	Eletrans S.A., Eletrans II S.A. and Eletrans III S.A., collectively
EnergySouth	EnergySouth Inc.
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
EPC	engineering, procurement and construction
EPS	earnings per common share
ERRA	Energy Resource Recovery Account
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FTA	Free Trade Agreement
GCIM	Gas Cost Incentive Mechanism
GdC	Gasoductos de Chihuahua, S. de R.L. de C.V. (now known as IEnova Pipelines)
GHG	greenhouse gas
GRC	General Rate Case
HLBV	hypothetical liquidation at book value
HMRC	United Kingdom's Revenue and Customs Department
IEnova	Infraestructura Energética Nova, S.A.B. de C.V.
IEnova Pipelines	IEnova Pipelines, S. de R.L. de C.V. (formerly known as GdC)
IMG	Infraestructura Marina del Golfo
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
ISFSI	independent spent fuel storage installation
JP Morgan	J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.
kV	kilovolt
LA Storage	LA Storage, LLC
LA Superior Court	Los Angeles County Superior Court

GLOSSARY (CONTINUED)

LNG	liquefied natural gas
LPG	liquid petroleum gas
Luz del Sur	Luz del Sur S.A.A. and its subsidiaries
MHI	Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., Mitsubishi Nuclear Energy Systems, Inc., and Mitsubishi Heavy Industries America, Inc., collectively
Mississippi Hub	Mississippi Hub, LLC
MMBtu	million British thermal units (of natural gas)
Mobile Gas	Mobile Gas Service Corporation
Mtpa	million tonnes per annum
MW	megawatt
MWh	megawatt hour
NDT	Nuclear Decommissioning Trust
NEIL	Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
OCI	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
OII	Order Instituting Investigation
O&M	operation and maintenance expense
OMEC	Otay Mesa Energy Center
OMEC LLC	Otay Mesa Energy Center LLC
OMI	Oncor Management Investment LLC
Oncor	Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC
Oncor Holdings	Oncor Electric Delivery Holdings Company LLC
ORA	CPUC Office of Ratepayer Advocates
Otay Mesa VIE	OMEC LLC VIE
PEMEX	Petróleos Mexicanos (Mexican state-owned oil company)
PG&E	Pacific Gas and Electric Company
PHMSA	Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration
PP&E	property, plant and equipment
PPA	power purchase agreement
PSEP	Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan
PUCT	Public Utility Commission of Texas
RAMP	Risk Assessment Mitigation Phase
RBS	The Royal Bank of Scotland plc
RBS SEE	RBS Sempra Energy Europe
RBS Sempra Commodities	RBS Sempra Commodities LLP
Rockies Express	Rockies Express Pipeline LLC
ROE	return on equity
RSA	restricted stock award
RSU	restricted stock unit
SB	Senate Bill
SCAQMD	South Coast Air Quality Management District
SDCA	United States District Court for the Southern District of California
SDG&E	San Diego Gas & Electric Company
SEC	United States Securities and Exchange Commission
SEDATU	Secretaría de Desarrollo Agrario, Territorial y Urbano (Mexican agency in charge of agriculture, land and urban development)
SFP	secondary financial protection
SoCalGas	Southern California Gas Company
SONGS	San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station
SONGS OII	CPUC's Order Instituting Investigation into the SONGS Outage
TdM	Termoeléctrica de Mexicali
TransCanada	TransCanada Corporation
Tribunal	International Chamber of Commerce International Court of Arbitration Tribunal
TTI	Texas Transmission Investment LLC
TURN	The Utility Reform Network
U.S. GAAP	accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America
Valero Energy	Valero Energy Corporation
VAT	value-added tax
Ventika	Ventika, S.A.P.I. de C.V. and Ventika II, S.A.P.I. de C.V., collectively
VIE	variable interest entity
Vistra	Vistra Energy Corp.
Willmut Gas	Willmut Gas Company

INFORMATION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We make statements in this report that are not historical fact and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are based upon assumptions with respect to the future, involve risks and uncertainties, and are not guarantees of performance. Future results may differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the filing date of this report. We assume no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future events or other factors.

In this report, when we use words such as “believes,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “plans,” “estimates,” “projects,” “forecasts,” “contemplates,” “assumes,” “depends,” “should,” “could,” “would,” “will,” “confident,” “may,” “can,” “potential,” “possible,” “proposed,” “target,” “pursue,” “outlook,” “maintain,” or similar expressions, or when we discuss our guidance, strategy, plans, goals, opportunities, projections, initiatives, objectives or intentions, we are making forward-looking statements.

Factors, among others, that could cause our actual results and future actions to differ materially from those described in any forward-looking statements include risks and uncertainties relating to:

- actions and the timing of actions, including decisions, new regulations, and issuances of permits and other authorizations by the CPUC, DOE, DOGGR, FERC, EPA, PHMSA, DPH, states, cities and counties, and other regulatory and governmental bodies in the United States and other countries in which we operate;
- the timing and success of business development efforts and construction projects, including risks in obtaining or maintaining permits and other authorizations on a timely basis, risks in completing construction projects on schedule and on budget, and risks in obtaining the consent and participation of partners;
- the resolution of civil and criminal litigation and regulatory investigations;
- deviations from regulatory precedent or practice that result in a reallocation of benefits or burdens among shareholders and ratepayers; modifications of settlements; and delays in, or disallowance or denial of, regulatory agency authorizations to recover costs in rates from customers (including with respect to regulatory assets associated with the SONGS facility and 2007 wildfires) or regulatory agency approval for projects required to enhance safety and reliability;
- the availability of electric power, natural gas and liquefied natural gas, and natural gas pipeline and storage capacity, including disruptions caused by failures in the transmission grid, moratoriums or limitations on the withdrawal or injection of natural gas from or into storage facilities, and equipment failures;
- changes in energy markets; volatility in commodity prices; moves to reduce or eliminate reliance on natural gas; and the impact on the value of our investment in natural gas storage and related assets from low natural gas prices, low volatility of natural gas prices and the inability to procure favorable long-term contracts for storage services;
- risks posed by actions of third parties who control the operations of our investments, and risks that our partners or counterparties will be unable or unwilling to fulfill their contractual commitments;
- weather conditions, natural disasters, accidents, equipment failures, computer system outages, explosions, terrorist attacks and other events that disrupt our operations, damage our facilities and systems, cause the release of greenhouse gases, radioactive materials and harmful emissions, cause wildfires and subject us to third-party liability for property damage or personal injuries, fines and penalties, some of which may not be covered by insurance (including costs in excess of applicable policy limits) or may be disputed by insurers;
- cybersecurity threats to the energy grid, storage and pipeline infrastructure, the information and systems used to operate our businesses and the confidentiality of our proprietary information and the personal information of our customers and employees;
- capital markets and economic conditions, including the availability of credit and the liquidity of our investments; and fluctuations in inflation, interest and currency exchange rates and our ability to effectively hedge the risk of such fluctuations;
- changes in the tax code as a result of potential federal tax reform, uncertainty as to what proposals will be enacted, if any, and if enacted, how they would be applied;
- changes in foreign and domestic trade policies and laws, including border tariffs, revisions to international trade agreements, such as the North American Free Trade Agreement, and changes that make our exports less competitive or otherwise restrict our ability to export or resolve trade disputes;
- the ability to win competitively bid infrastructure projects against a number of strong and aggressive competitors;
- expropriation of assets by foreign governments and title and other property disputes;
- the impact on reliability of SDG&E’s electric transmission and distribution system due to increased amount and variability of power supply from renewable energy sources;

- the impact on competitive customer rates due to the growth in distributed and local power generation and the corresponding decrease in demand for power delivered through SDG&E's electric transmission and distribution system and from possible departing retail load resulting from customers transferring to Direct Access and Community Choice Aggregation or other forms of distributed and local power generation and the potential risk of nonrecovery for stranded assets and contractual obligations; and
- other uncertainties, some of which may be difficult to predict and are beyond our control.

Forward-looking statements also include statements about the anticipated benefits of the proposed merger involving Sempra Energy, EFH, and EFH's indirect interest in Oncor, including future financial or operating results of Sempra Energy or Oncor, Sempra Energy's, EFH's or Oncor's plans, objectives, expectations or intentions, the expected financing plans for the transaction, the expected timing of completion of the transaction, and other statements that are not historical facts.

Additional factors that could cause actual results and future actions to differ materially from those described in any such forward-looking statements include risks and uncertainties relating to:

- the risk that Sempra Energy, EFH or Oncor may be unable to obtain bankruptcy court and governmental and regulatory approvals required for the merger, or that required bankruptcy court and governmental and regulatory approvals may delay the merger or result in the imposition of conditions that could cause the parties to abandon the transaction or be onerous to Sempra Energy;
- the risk that a condition to closing of the merger may not be satisfied, including receipt of a satisfactory supplemental private letter ruling from the IRS;
- the risk that the transaction may not be completed for other reasons, or may not be completed on the terms or timing currently contemplated;
- the risk that the anticipated benefits from the transaction may not be fully realized or may take longer to realize than expected;
- the risk that Sempra Energy may be unable to obtain the external financing necessary to pay the consideration and expenses related to the merger on terms favorable to Sempra Energy, if at all;
- disruption from the transaction making it more difficult to maintain relationships with customers, employees or suppliers; and
- the diversion of management time and attention to merger-related issues and related legal, accounting and other costs, whether or not the merger is completed.

We caution you not to rely unduly on any forward-looking statements. You should review and consider carefully the risks, uncertainties and other factors that affect our business as described herein and in our most recent Annual Report and other reports that we file with the SEC.

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEMPRA ENERGY

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
	(unaudited)			
REVENUES				
Utilities	\$ 2,277	\$ 2,264	\$ 7,172	\$ 6,700
Energy-related businesses	402	271	1,071	613
Total revenues	2,679	2,535	8,243	7,313
EXPENSES AND OTHER INCOME				
Utilities:				
Cost of electric fuel and purchased power	(650)	(604)	(1,730)	(1,680)
Cost of natural gas	(190)	(208)	(903)	(702)
Energy-related businesses:				
Cost of natural gas, electric fuel and purchased power	(97)	(95)	(226)	(213)
Other cost of sales	(21)	(32)	(5)	(293)
Operation and maintenance	(762)	(703)	(2,207)	(2,109)
Depreciation and amortization	(378)	(328)	(1,106)	(970)
Franchise fees and other taxes	(114)	(108)	(325)	(315)
Impairment of wildfire regulatory asset	(351)	—	(351)	—
Other impairment losses	(1)	(132)	(72)	(154)
Gain on sale of assets	2	131	2	131
Equity earnings, before income tax	10	12	31	4
Remeasurement of equity method investment	—	617	—	617
Other income, net	41	26	301	98
Interest income	12	7	26	19
Interest expense	(165)	(136)	(493)	(421)
Income before income taxes and equity earnings (losses) of certain unconsolidated subsidiaries	15	982	1,185	1,325
Income tax benefit (expense)	84	(282)	(378)	(284)
Equity earnings (losses), net of income tax	3	19	(5)	69
Net income	102	719	802	1,110
Earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	(45)	(97)	(44)	(118)
Preferred dividends of subsidiary	—	—	(1)	(1)
Earnings	\$ 57	\$ 622	\$ 757	\$ 991
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.23	\$ 2.48	\$ 3.01	\$ 3.96
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding, basic (thousands)	251,692	250,386	251,425	250,073
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.22	\$ 2.46	\$ 2.99	\$ 3.93
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding, diluted (thousands)	253,364	252,405	252,987	251,976
Dividends declared per share of common stock	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.76	\$ 2.47	\$ 2.27

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SEMPRA ENERGY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(Dollars in millions)

	Sempra Energy shareholders' equity				Total
	Pretax amount	Income tax benefit (expense)	Net-of-tax amount	Noncontrolling interests (after-tax)	
Three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016					
(unaudited)					
2017:					
Net (loss) income	\$ (27)	\$ 84	\$ 57	\$ 45	\$ 102
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Foreign currency translation adjustments	27	—	27	(1)	26
Financial instruments	7	(1)	6	8	14
Pension and other postretirement benefits	11	(4)	7	—	7
Total other comprehensive income	45	(5)	40	7	47
Comprehensive income	\$ 18	\$ 79	\$ 97	\$ 52	\$ 149
2016:					
Net income	\$ 904	\$ (282)	\$ 622	\$ 97	\$ 719
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(28)	—	(28)	(7)	(35)
Financial instruments	23	(10)	13	5	18
Pension and other postretirement benefits	4	(2)	2	—	2
Total other comprehensive loss	(1)	(12)	(13)	(2)	(15)
Comprehensive income	\$ 903	\$ (294)	\$ 609	\$ 95	\$ 704
Nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016					
(unaudited)					
2017:					
Net income	\$ 1,136	\$ (378)	\$ 758	\$ 44	\$ 802
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Foreign currency translation adjustments	76	—	76	10	86
Financial instruments	(29)	13	(16)	6	(10)
Pension and other postretirement benefits	16	(6)	10	—	10
Total other comprehensive income	63	7	70	16	86
Comprehensive income	1,199	(371)	828	60	888
Preferred dividends of subsidiary	(1)	—	(1)	—	(1)
Comprehensive income, after preferred dividends of subsidiary	\$ 1,198	\$ (371)	\$ 827	\$ 60	\$ 887
2016:					
Net income	\$ 1,276	\$ (284)	\$ 992	\$ 118	\$ 1,110
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Foreign currency translation adjustments	51	—	51	(2)	49
Financial instruments	(214)	100	(114)	1	(113)
Pension and other postretirement benefits	8	(4)	4	—	4
Total other comprehensive loss	(155)	96	(59)	(1)	(60)
Comprehensive income	1,121	(188)	933	117	1,050
Preferred dividends of subsidiary	(1)	—	(1)	—	(1)
Comprehensive income, after preferred dividends of subsidiary	\$ 1,120	\$ (188)	\$ 932	\$ 117	\$ 1,049

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SEMPRA ENERGY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016(1)
	(unaudited)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 189	\$ 349
Restricted cash	59	66
Accounts receivable – trade, net	1,212	1,390
Accounts receivable – other, net	175	164
Due from unconsolidated affiliates	31	26
Income taxes receivable	118	43
Inventories	296	258
Regulatory balancing accounts – undercollected	170	259
Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives	174	83
Assets held for sale	117	201
Other	337	271
Total current assets	2,878	3,110
Other assets:		
Restricted cash	13	10
Due from unconsolidated affiliates	506	201
Regulatory assets	3,186	3,414
Nuclear decommissioning trusts	1,041	1,026
Investments	2,128	2,097
Goodwill	2,393	2,364
Other intangible assets	537	548
Dedicated assets in support of certain benefit plans	435	430
Insurance receivable for Aliso Canyon costs	542	606
Deferred income taxes	132	234
Sundry	954	815
Total other assets	11,867	11,745
Property, plant and equipment:		
Property, plant and equipment	46,725	43,624
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(11,341)	(10,693)
Property, plant and equipment, net (\$328 and \$354 at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, related to VIE)	35,384	32,931
Total assets	\$ 50,129	\$ 47,786

(1) Derived from audited financial statements.
See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SEMPRA ENERGY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (CONTINUED)

(Dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016(1)
	(unaudited)	
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term debt	\$ 2,498	\$ 1,779
Accounts payable – trade	1,190	1,346
Accounts payable – other	143	130
Due to unconsolidated affiliates	10	11
Dividends and interest payable	386	319
Accrued compensation and benefits	334	409
Regulatory balancing accounts – overcollected	278	122
Current portion of long-term debt	1,423	913
Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives	105	83
Customer deposits	149	158
Reserve for Aliso Canyon costs	42	53
Liabilities held for sale	47	47
Other	589	557
Total current liabilities	<u>7,194</u>	<u>5,927</u>
Long-term debt (\$286 and \$293 at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, related to VIE)	14,803	14,429
Deferred credits and other liabilities:		
Customer advances for construction	148	152
Pension and other postretirement benefit plan obligations, net of plan assets	1,238	1,208
Deferred income taxes	4,090	3,745
Deferred investment tax credits	28	28
Regulatory liabilities arising from removal obligations	2,774	2,697
Asset retirement obligations	2,482	2,431
Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives	301	405
Deferred credits and other	1,569	1,523
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	<u>12,630</u>	<u>12,189</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Equity:		
Preferred stock (50 million shares authorized; none issued)	—	—
Common stock (750 million shares authorized; 251 million and 250 million shares outstanding at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively; no par value)	3,088	2,982
Retained earnings	10,855	10,717
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(678)	(748)
Total Sempra Energy shareholders' equity	<u>13,265</u>	<u>12,951</u>
Preferred stock of subsidiary	20	20
Other noncontrolling interests	2,217	2,270
Total equity	<u>15,502</u>	<u>15,241</u>
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$ 50,129</u>	<u>\$ 47,786</u>

(1) Derived from audited financial statements.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SEMPRA ENERGY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in millions)

	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016
	(unaudited)	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 802	\$ 1,110
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,106	970
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits	302	170
Impairment of wildfire regulatory asset	351	—
Other impairment losses	72	154
Gain on sale of assets	(2)	(131)
Equity earnings, net	(26)	(73)
Remeasurement of equity method investment	—	(617)
Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives	(142)	39
Other	20	50
Net change in other working capital components	229	224
Insurance receivable for Aliso Canyon costs	64	(339)
Changes in other assets	(137)	(4)
Changes in other liabilities	71	138
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>2,710</u>	<u>1,691</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Expenditures for property, plant and equipment	(2,880)	(3,087)
Expenditures for investments and acquisition of businesses, net of cash and cash equivalents acquired	(110)	(1,212)
Proceeds from sale of assets, net of cash sold	12	761
Distributions from investments	25	23
Purchases of nuclear decommissioning and other trust assets	(1,082)	(418)
Proceeds from sales by nuclear decommissioning and other trusts	1,082	486
Increases in restricted cash	(293)	(53)
Decreases in restricted cash	298	71
Advances to unconsolidated affiliates	(321)	(12)
Repayments of advances to unconsolidated affiliates	8	11
Other	1	(2)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(3,260)</u>	<u>(3,432)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Common dividends paid	(561)	(510)
Preferred dividends paid by subsidiary	(1)	(1)
Issuances of common stock	37	40
Repurchases of common stock	(15)	(55)
Issuances of debt (maturities greater than 90 days)	2,395	2,013
Payments on debt (maturities greater than 90 days)	(1,829)	(1,298)
Increase in short-term debt, net	475	1,636
Deposit for sale of noncontrolling interest	—	78
Net distributions to noncontrolling interests	(109)	(43)
Other	(11)	(12)
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>381</u>	<u>1,848</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(160)	115
Cash and cash equivalents, January 1	349	403
Cash and cash equivalents, September 30	<u>\$ 189</u>	<u>\$ 518</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SEMPRA ENERGY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)

(Dollars in millions)

	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016
	(unaudited)	
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Interest payments, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 414	\$ 367
Income tax payments, net of refunds	126	103
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Acquisition of businesses:		
Assets acquired, net of cash and cash equivalents	\$ —	\$ 2,692
Fair value of equity method investment immediately prior to acquisition	—	(1,144)
Liabilities assumed	—	(448)
Accrued purchase price	—	(4)
Cash paid, net of cash and cash equivalents acquired	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 1,096</u>
Accrued capital expenditures	\$ 476	\$ 483
Accrued acquisition-related transaction costs	21	—
Increase in capital lease obligations for investment in property, plant and equipment	502	—
Equitization of note receivable due from unconsolidated affiliate	19	—
Common dividends issued in stock	40	40
Dividends declared but not paid	214	195

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in millions)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
	(unaudited)			
Operating revenues				
Electric	\$ 1,131	\$ 1,111	\$ 2,952	\$ 2,851
Natural gas	105	98	399	341
Total operating revenues	1,236	1,209	3,351	3,192
Operating expenses				
Cost of electric fuel and purchased power	417	364	994	926
Cost of natural gas	29	25	132	89
Operation and maintenance	249	268	713	780
Depreciation and amortization	170	161	499	478
Franchise fees and other taxes	74	68	197	190
Impairment of wildfire regulatory asset	351	—	351	—
Total operating expenses	1,290	886	2,886	2,463
Operating (loss) income	(54)	323	465	729
Other income, net	16	11	49	38
Interest expense	(53)	(49)	(151)	(145)
(Loss) income before income taxes	(91)	285	363	622
Income tax benefit (expense)	72	(91)	(72)	(204)
Net (loss) income	(19)	194	291	418
(Earnings) losses attributable to noncontrolling interest	(9)	(11)	(15)	1
(Losses) earnings attributable to common shares	\$ (28)	\$ 183	\$ 276	\$ 419

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(Dollars in millions)

	SDG&E shareholder's equity				Total
	Pretax amount	Income tax benefit (expense)	Net-of-tax amount	Noncontrolling interest (after-tax)	
Three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016					
(unaudited)					
2017:					
Net (loss) income	\$ (100)	\$ 72	\$ (28)	\$ 9	\$ (19)
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Financial instruments	—	—	—	3	3
Pension and other postretirement benefits	1	—	1	—	1
Total other comprehensive income	1	—	1	3	4
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (99)	\$ 72	\$ (27)	\$ 12	\$ (15)
2016:					
Net income	\$ 274	\$ (91)	\$ 183	\$ 11	\$ 194
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Financial instruments	—	—	—	5	5
Total other comprehensive income	—	—	—	5	5
Comprehensive income	\$ 274	\$ (91)	\$ 183	\$ 16	\$ 199
Nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016					
(unaudited)					
2017:					
Net income	\$ 348	\$ (72)	\$ 276	\$ 15	\$ 291
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Financial instruments	—	—	—	7	7
Pension and other postretirement benefits	1	—	1	—	1
Total other comprehensive income	1	—	1	7	8
Comprehensive income	\$ 349	\$ (72)	\$ 277	\$ 22	\$ 299
2016:					
Net income (loss)	\$ 623	\$ (204)	\$ 419	\$ (1)	\$ 418
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Financial instruments	—	—	—	4	4
Total other comprehensive income	—	—	—	4	4
Comprehensive income	\$ 623	\$ (204)	\$ 419	\$ 3	\$ 422

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016(1)
	(unaudited)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 18	\$ 8
Restricted cash	6	11
Accounts receivable – trade, net	448	354
Accounts receivable – other, net	37	17
Due from unconsolidated affiliates	1	4
Income taxes receivable	67	122
Inventories	97	80
Prepaid expenses	80	59
Regulatory balancing accounts – net undercollected	170	259
Regulatory assets	112	81
Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives	20	58
Other	19	19
Total current assets	1,075	1,072
Other assets:		
Restricted cash	9	1
Deferred income taxes recoverable in rates	1,090	1,014
Other regulatory assets	572	998
Nuclear decommissioning trusts	1,041	1,026
Sundry	408	358
Total other assets	3,120	3,397
Property, plant and equipment:		
Property, plant and equipment	19,273	17,844
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(4,839)	(4,594)
Property, plant and equipment, net (\$328 and \$354 at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, related to VIE)	14,434	13,250
Total assets	\$ 18,629	\$ 17,719

(1) Derived from audited financial statements.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (CONTINUED)

(Dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016(1)
	(unaudited)	
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term debt	\$ 185	\$ —
Accounts payable	434	460
Due to unconsolidated affiliates	42	15
Interest payable	52	40
Accrued compensation and benefits	89	121
Accrued franchise fees	52	43
Current portion of long-term debt	219	191
Asset retirement obligations	81	79
Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives	61	61
Customer deposits	70	76
Other	94	82
Total current liabilities	1,379	1,168
Long-term debt (\$286 and \$293 at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, related to VIE)	5,339	4,658
Deferred credits and other liabilities:		
Customer advances for construction	55	52
Pension and other postretirement benefit plan obligations, net of plan assets	250	232
Deferred income taxes	2,910	2,829
Deferred investment tax credits	17	16
Regulatory liabilities arising from removal obligations	1,824	1,725
Asset retirement obligations	753	751
Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives	162	189
Deferred credits and other	437	421
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	6,408	6,215
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Equity:		
Preferred stock (45 million shares authorized; none issued)	—	—
Common stock (255 million shares authorized; 117 million shares outstanding; no par value)	1,338	1,338
Retained earnings	4,137	4,311
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(7)	(8)
Total SDG&E shareholder's equity	5,468	5,641
Noncontrolling interest	35	37
Total equity	5,503	5,678
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 18,629	\$ 17,719

(1) Derived from audited financial statements.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in millions)

	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016
	(unaudited)	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 291	\$ 418
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	499	478
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits	(5)	98
Impairment of wildfire regulatory asset	351	—
Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives	(1)	(2)
Other	(31)	(29)
Net change in other working capital components	78	14
Changes in other assets	(44)	(47)
Changes in other liabilities	40	3
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>1,178</u>	<u>933</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Expenditures for property, plant and equipment	(1,122)	(959)
Purchases of nuclear decommissioning trust assets	(1,082)	(415)
Proceeds from sales by nuclear decommissioning trusts	1,082	486
Increases in restricted cash	(21)	(30)
Decreases in restricted cash	18	43
Decrease (increase) in loans to affiliate, net	31	(107)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(1,094)</u>	<u>(982)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Common dividends paid	(450)	(175)
Issuances of debt (maturities greater than 90 days)	398	498
Payments on debt (maturities greater than 90 days)	(183)	(148)
Increase (decrease) in short-term debt, net	185	(114)
Capital distributions made by VIE, net	(20)	(6)
Debt issuance costs	(4)	(3)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>(74)</u>	<u>52</u>
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	10	3
Cash and cash equivalents, January 1	8	20
Cash and cash equivalents, September 30	<u>\$ 18</u>	<u>\$ 23</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Interest payments, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 134	\$ 132
Income tax payments, net	13	165
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Accrued capital expenditures	\$ 135	\$ 139
Increase in capital lease obligations for investment in property, plant and equipment	500	—

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in millions)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
	(unaudited)			
Operating revenues	\$ 684	\$ 686	\$ 2,695	\$ 2,336
Operating expenses				
Cost of natural gas	153	171	740	571
Operation and maintenance	355	322	1,044	966
Depreciation and amortization	132	121	384	355
Franchise fees and other taxes	34	33	107	100
Impairment losses	—	1	—	23
Total operating expenses	674	648	2,275	2,015
Operating income	10	38	420	321
Other income, net	8	8	28	24
Interest income	1	—	1	—
Interest expense	(26)	(25)	(77)	(71)
(Loss) income before income taxes	(7)	21	372	274
Income tax benefit (expense)	14	(21)	(103)	(75)
Net income	7	—	269	199
Preferred dividend requirements	—	—	(1)	(1)
Earnings attributable to common shares	\$ 7	\$ —	\$ 268	\$ 198

See Notes to Condensed Financial Statements.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(Dollars in millions)

	Pretax amount	Income tax benefit (expense)	Net-of-tax amount
Three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited)			
2017:			
Net (loss) income/Comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (7)	\$ 14	\$ 7
2016:			
Net income	\$ 21	\$ (21)	\$ —
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Financial instruments	1	—	1
Total other comprehensive income	1	—	1
Comprehensive income	\$ 22	\$ (21)	\$ 1
Nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited)			
2017:			
Net income	\$ 372	\$ (103)	\$ 269
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Pension and other postretirement benefits	1	—	1
Total other comprehensive income	1	—	1
Comprehensive income	\$ 373	\$ (103)	\$ 270
2016:			
Net Income	\$ 274	\$ (75)	\$ 199
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Financial instruments	1	—	1
Total other comprehensive income	1	—	1
Comprehensive income	\$ 275	\$ (75)	\$ 200

See Notes to Condensed Financial Statements.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016(1)
	(unaudited)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8	\$ 12
Accounts receivable – trade, net	334	608
Accounts receivable – other, net	60	77
Due from unconsolidated affiliates	6	8
Income taxes receivable	10	2
Inventories	97	58
Regulatory assets	8	8
Other	66	63
Total current assets	589	836
Other assets:		
Regulatory assets arising from pension obligations	762	742
Other regulatory assets	692	589
Insurance receivable for Aliso Canyon costs	542	606
Sundry	439	399
Total other assets	2,435	2,336
Property, plant and equipment:		
Property, plant and equipment	16,182	15,344
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(5,289)	(5,092)
Property, plant and equipment, net	10,893	10,252
Total assets	\$ 13,917	\$ 13,424

(1) Derived from audited financial statements.
See Notes to Condensed Financial Statements.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (CONTINUED)

(Dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016(1)
	(unaudited)	
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term debt	\$ 26	\$ 62
Accounts payable – trade	373	481
Accounts payable – other	85	74
Due to unconsolidated affiliates	35	28
Accrued compensation and benefits	121	150
Regulatory balancing accounts – net overcollected	278	122
Current portion of long-term debt	501	—
Customer deposits	74	76
Reserve for Aliso Canyon costs	42	53
Other	202	195
Total current liabilities	<u>1,737</u>	<u>1,241</u>
Long-term debt	<u>2,484</u>	<u>2,982</u>
Deferred credits and other liabilities:		
Customer advances for construction	93	99
Pension obligation, net of plan assets	780	762
Deferred income taxes	1,867	1,709
Deferred investment tax credits	11	12
Regulatory liabilities arising from removal obligations	949	972
Asset retirement obligations	1,657	1,616
Deferred credits and other	560	521
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	<u>5,917</u>	<u>5,691</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock (11 million shares authorized; 1 million shares outstanding)	22	22
Common stock (100 million shares authorized; 91 million shares outstanding; no par value)	866	866
Retained earnings	2,912	2,644
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(21)	(22)
Total shareholders' equity	<u>3,779</u>	<u>3,510</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 13,917</u>	<u>\$ 13,424</u>

(1) Derived from audited financial statements.
See Notes to Condensed Financial Statements.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in millions)

	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016
	(unaudited)	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 269	\$ 199
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	384	355
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits	86	52
Impairment losses	—	23
Other	(22)	(22)
Net change in other working capital components	359	135
Insurance receivable for Aliso Canyon costs	64	(339)
Changes in other assets	(65)	2
Changes in other liabilities	(9)	4
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>1,066</u>	<u>409</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Expenditures for property, plant and equipment	(1,033)	(949)
Increase in loans to affiliate, net	—	(1)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(1,033)</u>	<u>(950)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Preferred dividends paid	(1)	(1)
Issuances of long-term debt	—	499
Payments on long-term debt	—	(3)
Decrease in short-term debt, net	(36)	—
Debt issuance costs	—	(4)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>(37)</u>	<u>491</u>
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(4)	(50)
Cash and cash equivalents, January 1	12	58
Cash and cash equivalents, September 30	<u>\$ 8</u>	<u>\$ 8</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Interest payments, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 65	\$ 60
Income tax payments, net	22	35
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NONCASH INVESTING ACTIVITY		
Accrued capital expenditures	\$ 148	\$ 150

See Notes to Condensed Financial Statements.

SEMPRA ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. GENERAL

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

Sempra Energy

Sempra Energy's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Sempra Energy, a California-based Fortune 500 energy-services holding company, and its consolidated subsidiaries and VIEs. Sempra Energy's operating units are

- Sempra Utilities, which includes our SDG&E, SoCalGas and Sempra South American Utilities reportable segments; and
- Sempra Infrastructure, which includes our Sempra Mexico, Sempra Renewables and Sempra LNG & Midstream reportable segments.

We refer to SDG&E and SoCalGas collectively as the California Utilities, which do not include our South American utilities or the utilities in our Sempra Infrastructure operating unit. Sempra Global is the holding company for most of our subsidiaries that are not subject to California utility regulation. All references in these Notes to "Sempra Utilities," "Sempra Infrastructure" and their respective reportable segments are not intended to refer to any legal entity with the same or similar name.

SDG&E

SDG&E's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include its accounts and the accounts of a VIE of which SDG&E is the primary beneficiary, as we discuss in Note 5 under "Variable Interest Entities." SDG&E's common stock is wholly owned by Enova Corporation, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Sempra Energy.

SoCalGas

SoCalGas' common stock is wholly owned by Pacific Enterprises, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Sempra Energy.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

This is a combined report of Sempra Energy, SDG&E and SoCalGas. We provide separate information for SDG&E and SoCalGas as required. References in this report to "we," "our" and "Sempra Energy Consolidated" are to Sempra Energy and its consolidated entities, unless otherwise indicated by the context. We have eliminated intercompany accounts and transactions within the consolidated financial statements of each reporting entity.

Throughout this report, we refer to the following as Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements when discussed together or collectively:

- the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes of Sempra Energy and its subsidiaries and VIEs;
- the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes of SDG&E and its VIE; and
- the Condensed Financial Statements and related Notes of SoCalGas.

We have prepared the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP and in accordance with the interim-period-reporting requirements of Form 10-Q. Results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the entire year. We evaluated events and transactions that occurred after September 30, 2017 through the date the financial statements were issued and, in the opinion of management, the accompanying statements reflect all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation. These adjustments are only of a normal, recurring nature.

All December 31, 2016 balance sheet information in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements has been derived from our audited 2016 Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the interim-period-reporting provisions of U.S. GAAP and the SEC.

We describe our significant accounting policies in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report. We follow the same accounting policies for interim reporting purposes.

You should read the information in this Quarterly Report in conjunction with the Annual Report.

Regulated Operations

The California Utilities and Sempra Mexico's natural gas distribution utility, Ecogas, prepare their financial statements in accordance with the provisions of U.S. GAAP governing rate-regulated operations. We discuss these provisions and revenue recognition at our utilities in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

Sempra South American Utilities has controlling interests in two electric distribution utilities in South America, Chilquinta Energía in Chile and Luz del Sur in Peru. Revenues are based on tariffs that are set by government agencies in their respective countries based on an efficient model distribution company defined by those agencies. Because the tariffs are based on a model and are intended to cover the costs of the model company, but are not based on the costs of the specific utility and may not result in full cost recovery, these utilities do not meet the requirements necessary for, and therefore do not apply, regulatory accounting treatment under U.S. GAAP.

Our Sempra Mexico segment includes the operating companies of our subsidiary, IEnova. Certain business activities at IEnova are regulated by the CRE and meet the regulatory accounting requirements of U.S. GAAP. Pipeline projects currently under construction by IEnova that meet the regulatory accounting requirements of U.S. GAAP record the impact of AFUDC related to equity. We discuss AFUDC in Note 5 below and in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

Sempra LNG & Midstream owned Mobile Gas in southwest Alabama and Willmut Gas in Mississippi until they were sold in September 2016, as we discuss in Note 3. Mobile Gas and Willmut Gas also prepared their financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP provisions governing rate-regulated operations.

NOTE 2. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

We describe below recent pronouncements that have had or may have a significant effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or disclosures.

ASU 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers," ASU 2015-14, "Deferral of the Effective Date," ASU 2016-08, "Principal versus Agent Considerations (Reporting Revenue Gross versus Net)," ASU 2016-10, "Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing" and ASU 2016-12, "Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients": ASU 2014-09 adds Topic 606 to the ASC, which provides accounting guidance for the recognition of revenue from contracts with customers and affects all entities that enter into contracts to provide goods or services to their customers. The guidance also provides a model for the measurement and recognition of gains and losses on the sale of certain nonfinancial assets, such as property and equipment, including real estate. This guidance must be adopted using either a full retrospective approach for all periods presented in the period of adoption or a modified retrospective approach. Amending ASU 2014-09, ASU 2016-08 clarifies the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations, ASU 2016-10 clarifies the determination of whether a good or service is separately identifiable from other promises and revenue recognition related to licenses of intellectual property, and ASU 2016-12 provides guidance on transition, collectability, noncash consideration, and the presentation of sales and other similar taxes. The ASUs are codified in Topic 606.

ASU 2015-14 defers the effective date of ASC 606 by one year for all entities and permits early adoption on a limited basis. For public entities, ASC 606 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and is effective for interim periods in the year of adoption. We will adopt ASC 606 on January 1, 2018 applying the modified retrospective transition method to all contracts and will elect certain practical expedients available under the transition guidance. We do not expect ASC 606 to have a material impact on the amount or timing of our consolidated revenues, but there will be additional disclosures. Upon adoption, we will include additional disclosures about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of our revenues arising from contracts with customers.

ASU 2016-01, "Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities": In addition to the presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments, ASU 2016-01 requires entities to measure equity investments, other than those accounted for under the equity method, at fair value and recognize changes in fair value in net income. Entities will no longer be able to use the cost method of accounting for equity securities. However, for equity investments without readily determinable fair values that do not qualify for the practical expedient to estimate fair value using net asset value per share, entities may elect a measurement alternative that will allow those investments to be recorded at cost, less impairment, and adjusted for subsequent observable price changes. Entities must record a cumulative-effect adjustment to the balance sheet as of the beginning of the first reporting period in which the standard is adopted, except for equity investments without readily determinable fair values, for which the guidance will be applied prospectively.

For public entities, ASU 2016-01 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. We will adopt ASU 2016-01 on January 1, 2018 and do not expect it to materially affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

ASU 2016-02, “Leases”: ASU 2016-02 requires entities to include substantially all leases on the balance sheet by requiring the recognition of right-of-use assets and lease liabilities for all leases. Entities may elect to exclude from the balance sheet those leases with a maximum possible term of less than 12 months. For lessees, a lease is classified as finance or operating, and the asset and liability are initially measured at the present value of the lease payments. For lessors, accounting for leases is largely unchanged from previous provisions of U.S. GAAP, other than certain changes to align lessor accounting to specific changes made to lessee accounting and ASU 2014-09. ASU 2016-02 also requires new qualitative and quantitative disclosures for both lessees and lessors.

For public entities, ASU 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted, and is effective for interim periods in the year of adoption. The standard requires lessees and lessors to recognize and measure leases at the beginning of the earliest period presented using a modified retrospective approach. The modified retrospective approach includes practical expedients that may be elected, which would allow entities to continue to account for leases that commence before the effective date of the standard in accordance with previous U.S. GAAP unless the lease is modified, except for the lessee requirement to begin recognizing right-of-use assets and lease liabilities for all operating leases on the balance sheet at the reporting date. We are currently evaluating the effect of the standard on our ongoing financial reporting and plan to adopt the standard on January 1, 2019. As part of our evaluation, we formed a steering committee comprised of members from relevant Sempra Energy business units and are compiling our population of contracts. Based on our assessment to date, we have determined that we will elect the practical expedients available under the transition guidance described above. We continue to monitor outstanding issues currently being addressed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, since conclusions it reaches may impact our application of this ASU.

ASU 2016-13, “Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments”: ASU 2016-13 changes how entities will measure credit losses for most financial assets and certain other instruments. The standard introduces an “expected credit loss” impairment model that requires immediate recognition of estimated credit losses expected to occur over the remaining life of most financial assets measured at amortized cost, including trade and other receivables, loan commitments and financial guarantees. ASU 2016-13 also requires use of an allowance to record estimated credit losses on available-for-sale debt securities and expands disclosure requirements regarding an entity’s assumptions, models and methods for estimating the credit losses.

For public entities, ASU 2016-13 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018. We are currently evaluating the effect of the standard on our ongoing financial reporting and have not yet selected the year in which we will adopt the standard.

ASU 2016-15, “Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments”: ASU 2016-15 provides guidance on how certain cash receipts and cash payments are to be presented and classified in the statement of cash flows in order to reduce diversity in practice.

For public entities, ASU 2016-15 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted, and is effective for interim periods in the year of adoption. An entity that elects early adoption must adopt all of the amendments in the same period. Entities must apply the guidance retrospectively to all periods presented, but may apply it prospectively if retrospective application would be impracticable. We plan to adopt the standard in the fourth quarter of 2017.

ASU 2016-18, “Restricted Cash”: ASU 2016-18 requires amounts described as restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents to be included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. A reconciliation between the balance sheet and the statement of cash flows must be disclosed when the balance sheet includes more than one line item for cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents. For public entities, ASU 2016-18 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption permitted. We plan to adopt the standard in the fourth quarter of 2017.

If we had adopted ASU 2016-15 and ASU 2016-18 effective January 1, 2017, reported amounts in Sempra Energy’s and SDG&E’s Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 would have been impacted as follows:

EXPECTED IMPACT FROM ADOPTION OF ASU 2016-15 AND ASU 2016-18*(Dollars in millions)*Nine months ended
September 30, 2017**Sempra Energy Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows:**

Increase (decrease), compared to amounts reported:

Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, beginning of period	\$	76
Net cash provided by operating activities		(6)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash		2
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, end of period		72

SDG&E Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows:

Increase (decrease), compared to amounts reported:

Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, beginning of period	\$	12
Net cash provided by operating activities		(6)
Net cash used in investing activities		9
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, end of period		15

If adopted effective January 1, 2017, ASU 2016-15 and ASU 2016-18 would not have impacted SoCalGas' Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

ASU 2017-01, "Clarifying the Definition of a Business": ASU 2017-01 narrows the definition of a business and provides a framework to assist entities in determining whether a transaction involves an asset or a business. Specifically, the ASU provides a "screen" for determining when an integrated set of assets and activities (collectively referred to as a "set") is not a business. The screen requires that when substantially all of the fair value of the gross assets acquired (or disposed of) is concentrated in a single identifiable asset or group of similar identifiable assets, the set is not a business. If the screen threshold is not met, a set cannot be considered a business unless it includes an input and a substantive process that together significantly contribute to the ability to create outputs. ASU 2017-01 is effective for public entities for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods therein. ASU 2017-01 must be applied prospectively on or after the effective date. Early adoption is permitted. We early adopted ASU 2017-01 on July 1, 2017.

ASU 2017-04, "Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment": ASU 2017-04 removes the second step of the goodwill impairment test, which requires a hypothetical purchase price allocation. An entity will be required to apply a one-step quantitative test and record the amount of goodwill impairment as the excess of a reporting unit's carrying amount over its fair value, not to exceed the carrying amount of goodwill. For public entities, ASU 2017-04 is effective for annual or interim goodwill impairment tests in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted. The amendments are to be applied on a prospective basis. We have not yet selected the year in which we will adopt the standard.

ASU 2017-05, "Clarifying the Scope of Asset Derecognition Guidance and Accounting for Partial Sales of Nonfinancial Assets": ASU 2017-05 clarifies the scope of accounting for the derecognition or partial sale of nonfinancial assets to exclude all businesses and nonprofit activities. ASU 2017-05 also provides a definition for in-substance nonfinancial assets and additional guidance on partial sales of nonfinancial assets. For public entities, ASU 2017-05 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period, with early adoption permitted. Entities may apply a full retrospective or modified retrospective approach. Under a modified retrospective approach, entities are required to apply the guidance to any transactions that are not completed as of the adoption date. We will adopt the standard in conjunction with our adoption of ASU 2014-09 on January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective transition method.

ASU 2017-07, "Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension Cost and Net Periodic Postretirement Benefit Cost": ASU 2017-07 requires the service cost component of net periodic benefit costs to be presented in the same income statement line item as other employee compensation costs arising from services rendered during the period and the other components of net periodic benefit costs to be presented separately outside of operating income. The guidance also allows only the service cost component to be eligible for capitalization. For public entities, ASU 2017-07 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted as of the beginning of an annual period for which financial statements have not been issued or made available for issuance. Amendments are to be applied retrospectively for presentation of costs and prospectively for capitalization of service costs. The guidance allows a practical expedient that permits use of previously disclosed service costs and other costs from the pension and other postretirement benefit plan note in the comparative periods as appropriate estimates when retrospectively changing the presentation of these costs in the statements of operations. We are currently evaluating the effect of the standard on our ongoing financial reporting and will adopt the standard on January 1, 2018. Based on our assessment to date, we have determined that we will elect the practical expedient available under the transition guidance.

ASU 2017-12, “Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities”: ASU 2017-12 better aligns an entity’s risk management activities and financial reporting for hedging relationships by changing the designation and measurement guidance for qualifying hedging relationships and the presentation of hedge accounting results. More specifically, the guidance expands the exposures that can be hedged to align with an entity’s risk management strategies, alleviates documentation requirements, eliminates the concept of recognizing periodic hedge ineffectiveness for cash flow and net investment hedges and requires entities to present the entire change in the fair value of a hedging instrument in the same income statement line item as the earnings effect of the hedged item. For public entities, ASU 2017-12 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted. If an entity early adopts ASU 2017-12 in an interim period, any transition adjustments should be reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year that includes that interim period. Entities will adopt ASU 2017-12 by applying a modified retrospective approach to the accounting for existing hedging relationships and will prospectively apply the new presentation and disclosure requirements. Transition elections are available for all hedges that exist at the date of adoption. We are currently evaluating the effect of the standard on our ongoing financial reporting and have not yet selected the year in which we will adopt the standard.

NOTE 3. ACQUISITION AND DIVESTITURE ACTIVITY

We consolidate assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the purchase date and include earnings from acquisitions in consolidated earnings after the purchase date.

ACQUISITIONS

Sempra Mexico

IEnova Pipelines, S. de R.L. de C.V. (formerly known as Gasoductos de Chihuahua, S. de R.L. de C.V., or GdC)

On September 26, 2016, IEnova completed the acquisition of PEMEX’s 50-percent interest in IEnova Pipelines for a purchase price of \$1.144 billion (exclusive of \$66 million of cash and cash equivalents acquired), plus the assumption of \$364 million of long-term debt, increasing IEnova’s ownership interest in IEnova Pipelines to 100 percent. IEnova Pipelines became a consolidated subsidiary of IEnova on this date. Prior to the acquisition date, IEnova owned 50 percent of IEnova Pipelines and accounted for its interest as an equity method investment. In September 2016, we recorded a pretax gain of \$617 million (\$432 million after-tax) for the excess of the acquisition-date fair value of Sempra Mexico’s previously held equity interest in IEnova Pipelines over the carrying value of that interest, included as Remeasurement of Equity Method Investment on the Sempra Energy Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

We accounted for this business combination using the acquisition method of accounting. We allocated the \$1.078 billion in cash paid (\$1.144 billion purchase price less \$66 million of cash and cash equivalents acquired) to the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values, with the excess recognized as goodwill at the Sempra Mexico reportable segment. There were no measurement period adjustments related to this acquisition during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, and we consider the purchase price allocation to be final.

We discuss this acquisition, including the remeasurement gain and purchase price allocation, in Notes 3 and 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

Ventika, S.A.P.I. de C.V. and Ventika II, S.A.P.I. de C.V.

On December 14, 2016, IEnova acquired 100 percent of the equity interests in Ventika, a 252-MW wind farm. At September 30, 2017, the purchase price allocation for the Ventika acquisition remains preliminary and subject to completion. Adjustments to the fair value estimates related to the Ventika acquisition may occur as various valuations and assessments are finalized, primarily related to tax assets, liabilities and other attributes. We discuss the preliminary purchase price allocation and overall Ventika acquisition in Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

Sempra Renewables

On July 10, 2017, Sempra Renewables paid \$124 million in cash for an asset acquisition of a solar project located near Fresno, California, which is currently under construction. We expect to place the project into service in phases during the fourth quarter of 2017 and the first half of 2018 and, when fully constructed, it will be capable of producing up to 200 MW of solar power. The solar project is fully contracted under four long-term PPAs, with an average contract term of 18 years.

In July 2016, Sempra Renewables acquired a 100-percent interest in a 100-MW wind farm in Huron County, Michigan, with a 15-year power purchase agreement, for a total purchase price of \$22 million. Sempra Renewables paid \$18 million in cash on the acquisition date and paid the remaining \$4 million in cash on achievement of certain construction milestones in the fourth quarter of 2016. We expect to place this wind farm into service in the fourth quarter of 2017.


PENDING ACQUISITIONS

Sempra Energy

Energy Future Holdings Corp.

On August 21, 2017, Sempra Energy entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, as supplemented by a Waiver Agreement dated October 3, 2017 (together referred to as the Merger Agreement), with Energy Future Holdings Corp., the indirect owner of 80.03 percent of Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC. Oncor is a regulated electric distribution and transmission business that operates the largest distribution and transmission system in Texas. Following closing, this acquisition will expand our regulated earnings base, while serving as a platform for future growth in the Texas energy market and U.S. Gulf Coast region. Under the Merger Agreement, we will pay total consideration of \$9.45 billion, subject to possible adjustment as we describe below.

Pursuant to the Merger Agreement and subject to the satisfaction of certain closing conditions described below, EFH will be merged with an indirect subsidiary of Sempra Energy, with EFH continuing as the surviving company and an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Sempra Energy (the Merger), as follows:



TTI, an investment vehicle indirectly owned by third parties unaffiliated with EFH and Sempra Energy, owns 19.75 percent of Oncor's outstanding membership interests, and certain current and former directors and officers of Oncor indirectly beneficially own 0.22 percent of Oncor's outstanding membership interests through their ownership of Class B membership interests in OMI. On October 3, 2017, Sempra Energy provided written confirmation to Oncor Holdings and Oncor that, contemporaneously with the closing of the Merger, equivalent value (approximately \$25.9 million) will be provided in exchange for the Class B membership interests in OMI for

cash or, if mutually agreed by the parties, alternative benefit and/or incentive plans. The consummation of the Merger is not conditioned on the acquisition of the interests in OMI.

Merger Consideration and Financing. Under the Merger Agreement, Sempra Energy will pay total Merger consideration of \$9.45 billion in cash, subject to possible adjustment based on the timing of dividends paid by Oncor to Oncor Holdings and the consummation of the Merger (the Merger Consideration). We do not expect any purchase price adjustment to be material.

We currently intend to initially finance the Merger Consideration of \$9.45 billion, as well as associated transaction costs, with the net proceeds from debt and equity issuances, and could also likely utilize revolving credit facilities, commercial paper and/or cash on hand. We expect to ultimately fund approximately 65 percent of the total Merger Consideration with the net proceeds from sales of Sempra Energy common stock and, possibly, other equity securities and approximately 35 percent with the net proceeds from issuances of Sempra Energy debt securities. Some of these equity issuances will likely occur following the Merger to repay outstanding indebtedness, including indebtedness we expect to incur to finance the Merger Consideration and associated transaction costs.

We have incurred transaction costs of \$23 million as of September 30, 2017. These costs are included in Sundry on the Sempra Energy Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, and will be charged against related gross proceeds of equity offerings, debt offerings and/or included in the basis of EFH's equity method investment in Oncor Holdings upon consummation of the Merger. If the Merger does not occur, these transaction costs will be expensed.

Ring-Fencing. In April 2014, EFH and the substantial majority of its subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. The bankruptcy does not include Oncor Holdings or Oncor. Certain existing "ring-fencing" measures, governance mechanisms and restrictions will remain in effect following the Merger, which are intended to enhance Oncor Holdings' and Oncor's separateness from its owners and to mitigate the risk that these entities would be negatively impacted by the bankruptcy of, or other adverse financial developments affecting, EFH or its other subsidiaries or the owners of EFH. In accordance with the ring-fencing measures and commitments made by Sempra Energy as part of the application to the PUCT for regulatory approval of the Merger, Sempra Energy will be subject to certain restrictions following the Merger. Sempra Energy will not control Oncor Holdings or Oncor, and the ring-fencing measures, governance mechanisms and restrictions will limit Sempra Energy's ability to direct the management, policies and operations of Oncor Holdings and Oncor, including the deployment or disposition of their assets, declarations of dividends, strategic planning and other important corporate issues and actions. These limitations include limited representation on the Oncor Holdings and Oncor boards of directors. Following consummation of the Merger, the board of directors of Oncor is expected to consist of thirteen members and be constituted as follows:

- seven of which will be independent directors under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange (and at least two of which shall have no current or prior material relationship with Sempra Energy),
- two of which will be designated by EFH (which, after the Merger, will be a subsidiary of Sempra Energy that Sempra Energy is expected to control),
- two of which will be appointed by TTI, and
- two of which will be members of Oncor management.

Oncor Holdings will also continue to have a majority of independent directors following the consummation of the Merger. Thus, Oncor Holdings and Oncor will continue to be managed independently (i.e., ring-fenced). Upon consummation of the acquisition, we will consolidate EFH and EFH will continue to account for its ownership in Oncor Holdings as an equity method investment.

Closing Conditions. The transaction is subject to customary closing conditions, including the approval of the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, the PUCT, the Vermont Department of Financial Regulation, and the FERC, among others, as well as receipt of a private letter ruling from the IRS and the issuance of certain tax opinions regarding the transaction.

On September 6, 2017, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware approved EFH's and EFIH's entry into the Merger Agreement. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, a \$190 million termination fee would be owed to Sempra Energy if EFH or EFIH terminates the Merger Agreement in certain circumstances and consummates an alternative proposal with a third party.

On October 5, 2017, Sempra Energy and Oncor filed a joint application with the PUCT and an application with the FERC seeking approval of the Merger. On October 12, 2017, the ALJ in the PUCT proceeding issued an order deeming the joint application sufficient. On October 16, 2017, the PUCT set a procedural schedule to complete a review of Sempra Energy's and Oncor's change-in-control request within 180 days of the filing of the joint application on October 5, 2017.

We expect the transaction to close in the first half of 2018.

Sempra Mexico

Ductos y Energéticos del Norte, S. de R.L. de C.V.

IEnova and PEMEX are partners in DEN, a joint venture that holds an interest in the Los Ramones Norte pipeline. On October 6, 2017, IEnova entered into an agreement to purchase PEMEX's 50-percent interest in DEN for total consideration of approximately \$231 million, subject to customary closing adjustments and including the repayment of approximately \$81 million of outstanding debt owed by DEN to PEMEX. This acquisition will increase IEnova's ownership interest in DEN through IEnova Pipelines from 50 percent to 100 percent, and increase IEnova's indirect ownership interest in the Los Ramones Norte pipeline from 25 percent to 50 percent. The transaction is subject to satisfactory completion of Mexican antitrust review and other customary closing conditions, and we expect it to close in the fourth quarter of 2017. The cash consideration will be funded through IEnova's revolving credit facility.

IEnova Pipelines currently accounts for its 50-percent interest in DEN as an equity method investment. At closing, DEN will become a wholly owned, consolidated subsidiary of IEnova and will continue to account for its interest in the Los Ramones Norte pipeline as an equity method investment.

ASSETS HELD FOR SALE

We classify assets as held for sale when management approves and commits to a formal plan to actively market an asset for sale and we expect the sale to close within the next 12 months. Upon classifying an asset as held for sale, we record the asset at the lower of its carrying value or its estimated fair value reduced for selling costs.

Sempra Mexico

Termoeléctrica de Mexicali

In February 2016, management approved a plan to market and sell Sempra Mexico's TdM, a 625-MW natural gas-fired power plant located in Mexicali, Baja California, Mexico, as we discuss in Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report. As a result, we stopped depreciating the plant and classified it as held for sale.

In connection with the sales process, in late September 2016 and early July 2017, Sempra Mexico received market information indicating that the fair value of TdM was less than its carrying value. After performing analysis of the information, Sempra Mexico reduced the carrying value of TdM by recognizing noncash impairment charges of \$131 million (\$111 million after-tax) in the third quarter of 2016 and \$71 million in the second quarter of 2017, recorded in Other Impairment Losses on Sempra Energy's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. We discuss non-recurring fair value measures and the associated accounting impact on TdM in Note 8 herein and in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

In connection with TdM's classification as held for sale, we recognized \$32 million in income tax expense in the first half of 2016 for a deferred Mexican income tax liability related to the excess of carrying value over the tax basis. As a result of reducing the carrying value of TdM in the third quarter of 2016, we reduced the deferred Mexican income tax liability by \$31 million. There was no such tax expense or tax benefit in the third quarter of 2017 and an \$8 million tax benefit for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 as a result of further reduction in TdM's carrying value in the second quarter of 2017. As the Mexican income tax on this outside basis difference is based on current carrying value, foreign exchange rates and inflation, such amount could change in future periods until the date of sale. We continue to actively pursue the sale of TdM, which we expect to be completed in the first half of 2018.

At September 30, 2017, the carrying amounts of the major classes of assets and related liabilities held for sale associated with TdM are as follows:

ASSETS HELD FOR SALE AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

(Dollars in millions)

	Termoeléctrica de Mexicali
Inventories	\$ 10
Other current assets	25
Property, plant and equipment, net	55
Other noncurrent assets	27
Total assets held for sale	<u>\$ 117</u>
Accounts payable	\$ 5
Other current liabilities	5
Asset retirement obligations	5
Other noncurrent liabilities	32
Total liabilities held for sale	<u>\$ 47</u>

DIVESTITURES

Sempra LNG & Midstream

EnergySouth Inc.

In September 2016, Sempra LNG & Midstream completed the sale of EnergySouth, the parent company of Mobile Gas and Willmut Gas, to Spire Inc., formerly The Laclede Group, Inc., for cash proceeds of \$318 million, net of \$2 million cash sold, with the buyer assuming debt of \$67 million. We recognized a pretax gain on the sale of \$130 million (\$78 million after-tax) in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2016, in Gain on Sale of Assets on Sempra Energy's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. On September 12, 2016, Sempra LNG & Midstream deconsolidated EnergySouth.

The following table summarizes the deconsolidation:

DECONSOLIDATION OF SUBSIDIARY	
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	
	EnergySouth Inc.
Proceeds from sale, net of transaction costs	\$ 304
Cash	(2)
Other current assets	(17)
Property, plant and equipment, net	(199)
Other noncurrent assets	(137)
Current liabilities	25
Long-term debt	67
Other noncurrent liabilities	89
Gain on sale	\$ 130

Investment in Rockies Express Pipeline LLC

In March 2016, Sempra LNG & Midstream entered into an agreement to sell its 25-percent interest in Rockies Express for cash consideration of \$440 million, subject to adjustment at closing. The transaction closed in May 2016 for total cash proceeds of \$443 million.

At the date of the agreement, the carrying value of Sempra LNG & Midstream's investment in Rockies Express was \$484 million. Following the execution of the agreement, Sempra LNG & Midstream measured the fair value of its equity method investment at \$440 million, and recognized a \$44 million (\$27 million after-tax) impairment in Equity Earnings, Before Income Tax, on the Sempra Energy Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations in the first quarter of 2016. We discuss non-recurring fair value measures and the associated accounting impact on our investment in Rockies Express in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

NOTE 4. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

Sempra Energy uses the equity method to account for investments in affiliated companies over which we have the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control. We provide additional information concerning our equity method investments in Note 3 above and in Notes 3 and 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

SEMPRA SOUTH AMERICAN UTILITIES

In February 2017, Sempra South American Utilities recorded the equitization of its \$19 million note receivable due from Eletrans, resulting in an increase in its investment in this unconsolidated joint venture. Sempra South American Utilities invested cash of \$1 million in its unconsolidated joint venture, Eletrans, in the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

SEMPRA MEXICO

Sempra Mexico invested cash of \$72 million and \$56 million in IMG, an unconsolidated joint venture between a subsidiary of IEnova and a subsidiary of TransCanada, in the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

SEMPRA RENEWABLES

Sempra Renewables invested cash of \$18 million in its unconsolidated joint ventures in the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

SEMPRA LNG & MIDSTREAM

Sempra LNG & Midstream capitalized \$36 million of interest in both the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 related to its investment in Cameron LNG JV, which has not commenced planned principal operations. In the nine months ended September 30, 2017, Sempra LNG & Midstream invested cash of \$1 million in this unconsolidated joint venture.

In May 2016, Sempra LNG & Midstream sold its 25-percent interest in Rockies Express, as we discuss in Note 3.

GUARANTEES

At September 30, 2017, we had outstanding guarantees aggregating a maximum of \$4.5 billion with an aggregate carrying value of \$41 million. We discuss these guarantees below and in Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

Sempra Mexico

ENova has an indirect 40-percent ownership interest and TransCanada has an indirect 60-percent ownership interest in IMG. IEnova and TransCanada have each provided guarantees to third parties associated with construction of IMG's Sur de Texas - Tuxpan natural gas marine pipeline. The aggregate amount of the obligations guaranteed by IEnova shall not exceed \$288 million and will terminate upon completion of all guaranteed obligations. IEnova expects the construction giving rise to these guarantees to be completed by the end of 2018.

NOTE 5. OTHER FINANCIAL DATA

INVENTORIES

The components of inventories by segment are as follows:

INVENTORY BALANCES								
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>								
	Natural gas		Liquefied natural gas		Materials and supplies		Total	
	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
SDG&E	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 95	\$ 78	\$ 97	\$ 80
SoCalGas(1)	50	11	—	—	47	47	97	58
Sempra South American Utilities	—	—	—	—	43	27	43	27
Sempra Mexico	—	—	7	6	2	1	9	7
Sempra Renewables	—	—	—	—	4	4	4	4
Sempra LNG & Midstream	43	79	3	3	—	—	46	82
Sempra Energy Consolidated	\$ 95	\$ 92	\$ 10	\$ 9	\$ 191	\$ 157	\$ 296	\$ 258

(1) At September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, SoCalGas' natural gas inventory for core customers is net of an inventory loss related to the Aliso Canyon natural gas leak, which we discuss in Note 11.

GREENHOUSE GAS ALLOWANCES

The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets include the following amounts associated with GHG allowances and obligations.

GHG ALLOWANCES AND OBLIGATIONS

(Dollars in millions)

	Sempra Energy Consolidated		SDG&E		SoCalGas	
	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
Assets:						
Other current assets	\$ 40	\$ 40	\$ 16	\$ 16	\$ 24	\$ 24
Sundry	352	295	190	182	159	109
Total assets	\$ 392	\$ 335	\$ 206	\$ 198	\$ 183	\$ 133
Liabilities:						
Other current liabilities	\$ 40	\$ 40	\$ 16	\$ 16	\$ 24	\$ 24
Deferred credits and other	240	171	105	72	131	96
Total liabilities	\$ 280	\$ 211	\$ 121	\$ 88	\$ 155	\$ 120

GOODWILL

We discuss goodwill in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report. The increase in goodwill from \$2,364 million at December 31, 2016 to \$2,393 million at September 30, 2017 is due to foreign currency translation at Sempra South American Utilities. We record the offset of this fluctuation in OCI.

VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

We consolidate a VIE if we are the primary beneficiary of the VIE. Our determination of whether we are the primary beneficiary is based on qualitative and quantitative analyses, which assess

- the purpose and design of the VIE;
- the nature of the VIE's risks and the risks we absorb;
- the power to direct activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE; and
- the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits that could be significant to the VIE.

SDG&E

SDG&E's power procurement is subject to reliability requirements that may require SDG&E to enter into various power purchase arrangements which include variable interests. SDG&E evaluates the respective entities to determine if variable interests exist and, based on the qualitative and quantitative analyses described above, if SDG&E, and thereby Sempra Energy, is the primary beneficiary.

Tolling Agreements

SDG&E has agreements under which it purchases power generated by facilities for which it supplies all of the natural gas to fuel the power plant (i.e., tolling agreements). SDG&E's obligation to absorb natural gas costs may be a significant variable interest. In addition, SDG&E has the power to direct the dispatch of electricity generated by these facilities. Based upon our analysis, the ability to direct the dispatch of electricity may have the most significant impact on the economic performance of the entity owning the generating facility because of the associated exposure to the cost of natural gas, which fuels the plants, and the value of electricity produced. To the extent that SDG&E (1) is obligated to purchase and provide fuel to operate the facility, (2) has the power to direct the dispatch, and (3) purchases all of the output from the facility for a substantial portion of the facility's useful life, SDG&E may be the primary beneficiary of the entity owning the generating facility. SDG&E determines if it is the primary beneficiary in these cases based on a qualitative approach in which we consider the operational characteristics of the facility, including its expected power generation output relative to its capacity to generate and the financial structure of the entity, among other factors. If we determine that SDG&E is the primary beneficiary, SDG&E and Sempra Energy consolidate the entity that owns the facility as a VIE.

Otay Mesa VIE

SDG&E has an agreement to purchase power generated at OMEC, a 605-MW generating facility. In addition to tolling, the agreement provides SDG&E with the option to purchase OMEC at the end of the contract term in 2019, or upon earlier termination of the PPA, at

a predetermined price subject to adjustments based on performance of the facility. If SDG&E does not exercise its option, under certain circumstances, it may be required to purchase the power plant for \$280 million, which we refer to as the put option.

The facility owner, OMEC LLC, is a VIE, which we refer to as Otay Mesa VIE, of which SDG&E is the primary beneficiary. SDG&E has no OMEC LLC voting rights, holds no equity in OMEC LLC and does not operate OMEC. In addition to the risks absorbed under the tolling agreement, SDG&E absorbs separately through the put option a significant portion of the risk that the value of Otay Mesa VIE could decline. Accordingly, SDG&E and Sempra Energy consolidate Otay Mesa VIE. Otay Mesa VIE's equity of \$35 million at September 30, 2017 and \$37 million at December 31, 2016 is included on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets in Other Noncontrolling Interests for Sempra Energy and in Noncontrolling Interest for SDG&E.

OMEC LLC has a loan outstanding of \$297 million at September 30, 2017, the proceeds of which were used for the construction of OMEC. The loan is with third party lenders and is collateralized by OMEC's assets. SDG&E is not a party to the loan agreement and does not have any additional implicit or explicit financial responsibility to OMEC LLC. The loan fully matures in April 2019 and bears interest at rates varying with market rates. In addition, OMEC LLC has entered into interest rate swap agreements to moderate its exposure to interest rate changes. We provide additional information concerning the interest rate swaps in Note 7.

The Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations of Sempra Energy and SDG&E include the following amounts associated with Otay Mesa VIE. The amounts are net of eliminations of transactions between SDG&E and Otay Mesa VIE. The captions in the table below correspond to SDG&E's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

AMOUNTS ASSOCIATED WITH OTAY MESA VIE

(Dollars in millions)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Operating expenses				
Cost of electric fuel and purchased power	\$ (26)	\$ (28)	\$ (65)	\$ (62)
Operation and maintenance	4	4	13	23
Depreciation and amortization	7	8	21	25
Total operating expenses	(15)	(16)	(31)	(14)
Operating income	15	16	31	14
Interest expense	(6)	(5)	(16)	(15)
Income (loss) before income taxes/Net income (loss)	9	11	15	(1)
(Earnings) losses attributable to noncontrolling interest	(9)	(11)	(15)	1
Earnings attributable to common shares	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

SDG&E has determined that no contracts, other than the one relating to Otay Mesa VIE mentioned above, result in SDG&E being the primary beneficiary of a VIE at September 30, 2017. In addition to the tolling agreements described above, other variable interests involve various elements of fuel and power costs, and other components of cash flow expected to be paid to or received by our counterparties. In most of these cases, the expectation of variability is not substantial, and SDG&E generally does not have the power to direct activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the other VIEs. In addition, SDG&E is not exposed to losses or gains as a result of these other VIEs, because all such variability would be recovered in rates. If our ongoing evaluation of these VIEs were to conclude that SDG&E becomes the primary beneficiary and consolidation by SDG&E becomes necessary, the effects could be significant to the financial position and liquidity of SDG&E and Sempra Energy. We provide additional information about PPAs with power plant facilities that are VIEs of which SDG&E is not the primary beneficiary in Note 11 below and in Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

We provide additional information regarding Otay Mesa VIE in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

Sempra Renewables

Effective December 2016, certain of Sempra Renewables' wind and solar power generation projects are held by limited liability companies whose members are Sempra Renewables and financial institutions. The financial institutions are noncontrolling tax equity investors to which earnings, tax attributes and cash flows are allocated in accordance with the respective limited liability company agreements. These entities are VIEs and Sempra Energy is the primary beneficiary, generally due to Sempra Energy's power as the operator of the renewable energy projects to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of these VIEs.

As the primary beneficiary of these tax equity limited liability companies, we consolidate them. Sempra Energy's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets include \$904 million and \$926 million of PP&E, net, and equity of \$445 million and \$468 million included in Other Noncontrolling Interests at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, associated with these entities.

Sempra Energy's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations include the following amounts associated with the tax equity limited liability companies. The amounts are net of eliminations of transactions between Sempra Energy and these entities.

AMOUNTS ASSOCIATED WITH TAX EQUITY ARRANGEMENTS				
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>				
	Three months ended September 30, 2017		Nine months ended September 30, 2017	
REVENUES				
Energy-related businesses	\$	17	\$	48
EXPENSES				
Operation and maintenance		(5)		(14)
Depreciation and amortization		(8)		(24)
Income before income taxes		4		10
Income tax expense		(3)		(9)
Net income		1		1
Losses attributable to noncontrolling interests(1)		6		16
Earnings	\$	7	\$	17

(1) Net income or loss attributable to the noncontrolling interests is computed using the HLBV method and is not based on ownership percentages.

We provide additional information regarding the tax equity limited liability companies in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

Sempra LNG & Midstream

Sempra Energy's equity method investment in Cameron LNG JV is considered to be a VIE principally due to contractual provisions that transfer certain risks to customers. Sempra Energy is not the primary beneficiary because we do not have the power to direct the most significant activities of Cameron LNG JV. We will continue to evaluate Cameron LNG JV for any changes that may impact our determination of the primary beneficiary. The carrying value of our investment in Cameron LNG JV, including amounts recognized in AOCI related to interest-rate cash flow hedges at Cameron LNG JV, was \$980 million at September 30, 2017 and \$997 million at December 31, 2016. Our maximum exposure to loss includes the carrying value of our investment and the guarantees discussed in Note 4 above and in Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

Other Variable Interest Entities

Sempra Energy's other businesses also enter into arrangements which could include variable interests. We evaluate these arrangements and applicable entities based on the qualitative and quantitative analyses described above. Certain of these entities are service or project companies that are VIEs. As the primary beneficiary of these companies, we consolidate them; however, their financial statements are not material to the financial statements of Sempra Energy. In all other cases, we have determined that these contracts are not variable interests in a VIE and therefore are not subject to the U.S. GAAP requirements concerning the consolidation of VIEs.

PENSION AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

Net Periodic Benefit Cost

The following three tables provide the components of net periodic benefit cost:

NET PERIODIC BENEFIT COST – SEMBRA ENERGY CONSOLIDATED

(Dollars in millions)

	Pension benefits		Other postretirement benefits	
	Three months ended September 30,			
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Service cost	\$ 31	\$ 26	\$ 4	\$ 4
Interest cost	39	40	9	9
Expected return on assets	(41)	(41)	(16)	(17)
Amortization of:				
Prior service cost	3	2	—	—
Actuarial loss (gain)	11	10	(2)	(1)
Settlements	8	—	—	—
Special termination benefits	—	—	16	—
Regulatory adjustment	(18)	(28)	(11)	5
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 33	\$ 9	\$ —	\$ —

	Nine months ended September 30,			
	2017	2016	2017	2016
	Service cost	\$ 88	\$ 81	\$ 15
Interest cost	113	120	29	31
Expected return on assets	(121)	(124)	(49)	(52)
Amortization of:				
Prior service cost	8	8	—	—
Actuarial loss (gain)	27	23	(3)	(1)
Settlements	8	—	—	—
Special termination benefits	—	—	16	—
Regulatory adjustment	(59)	(84)	(7)	9
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 64	\$ 24	\$ 1	\$ 2

NET PERIODIC BENEFIT COST – SDG&E

(Dollars in millions)

	Pension benefits		Other postretirement benefits	
	Three months ended September 30,			
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Service cost	\$ 7	\$ 7	\$ 1	\$ 1
Interest cost	9	10	2	2
Expected return on assets	(11)	(12)	(2)	(3)
Amortization of:				
Actuarial loss	3	2	—	—
Regulatory adjustment	(7)	(7)	(1)	—
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

	Nine months ended September 30,			
	2017	2016	2017	2016
	Service cost	\$ 22	\$ 22	\$ 4
Interest cost	28	31	6	6
Expected return on assets	(35)	(37)	(9)	(8)
Amortization of:				
Prior service cost	1	1	2	2
Actuarial loss (gain)	7	7	—	(1)
Regulatory adjustment	(21)	(22)	(3)	(2)
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ —

NET PERIODIC BENEFIT COST – SOCIALGAS*(Dollars in millions)*

	Pension benefits		Other postretirement benefits	
	Three months ended September 30,			
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Service cost	\$ 21	\$ 16	\$ 4	\$ 4
Interest cost	25	26	6	7
Expected return on assets	(26)	(26)	(14)	(15)
Amortization of:				
Prior service cost (credit)	3	3	(1)	(1)
Actuarial loss (gain)	6	3	(1)	—
Special termination benefits	—	—	16	—
Regulatory adjustment	(11)	(21)	(10)	5
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 18	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ —

	Nine months ended September 30,			
	2017	2016	2017	2016
	Service cost	\$ 57	\$ 51	\$ 11
Interest cost	73	76	21	24
Expected return on assets	(77)	(78)	(40)	(43)
Amortization of:				
Prior service cost (credit)	7	7	(2)	(3)
Actuarial loss (gain)	14	8	(2)	—
Special termination benefits	—	—	16	—
Regulatory adjustment	(38)	(62)	(4)	11
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 36	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ —

Benefit Plan Contributions

The following table shows our year-to-date contributions to pension and other postretirement benefit plans and the amounts we expect to contribute in 2017:

BENEFIT PLAN CONTRIBUTIONS*(Dollars in millions)*

	Sempra Energy Consolidated	SDG&E	SoCalGas
Contributions through September 30, 2017:			
Pension plans	\$ 64	\$ 3	\$ 35
Other postretirement benefit plans	5	1	2
Total expected contributions in 2017:			
Pension plans	\$ 174	\$ 25	\$ 94
Other postretirement benefit plans	10	5	3

RABBI TRUST

In support of its Supplemental Executive Retirement, Cash Balance Restoration and Deferred Compensation Plans, Sempra Energy maintains dedicated assets, including a Rabbi Trust and investments in life insurance contracts, which totaled \$435 million and \$430 million at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table provides EPS computations for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016. Basic EPS is calculated by dividing earnings attributable to common stock by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS includes the potential dilution of common stock equivalent shares that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock.

EARNINGS PER SHARE COMPUTATIONS

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts; shares in thousands)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Numerator:				
Earnings/Income attributable to common shares	\$ 57	\$ 622	\$ 757	\$ 991
Denominator:				
Weighted-average common shares outstanding for basic EPS(1)	251,692	250,386	251,425	250,073
Dilutive effect of stock options, RSAs and RSUs(2)	1,672	2,019	1,562	1,903
Weighted-average common shares outstanding for diluted EPS	253,364	252,405	252,987	251,976
EPS:				
Basic	\$ 0.23	\$ 2.48	\$ 3.01	\$ 3.96
Diluted	0.22	2.46	2.99	3.93

(1) Includes 612 and 572 average fully vested RSUs held in our Deferred Compensation Plan for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, and 607 and 565 of such RSUs for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. These fully vested RSUs are included in weighted-average common shares outstanding for basic EPS because there are no conditions under which the corresponding shares will not be issued.

(2) Due to market fluctuations of both Sempra Energy stock and the comparative indices used to determine the vesting percentage of our total shareholder return performance-based RSUs, which we discuss in Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report, dilutive RSUs may vary widely from period-to-period.

The potentially dilutive impact from stock options, RSAs and RSUs is calculated under the treasury stock method. Under this method, proceeds based on the exercise price and unearned compensation are assumed to be used to repurchase shares on the open market at the average market price for the period, reducing the number of potential new shares to be issued and sometimes causing an antidilutive effect. The computation of diluted EPS for the three months ended September 30, 2017 excludes 2,608 potentially dilutive shares because to include them would be antidilutive for the period. There were no such potentially dilutive shares for the three months ended September 30, 2016. The computation of diluted EPS for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 excludes 2,608 and 2,426 such potentially dilutive shares, respectively. However, these shares could potentially dilute basic EPS in the future.

Pursuant to our Sempra Energy share-based compensation plans, Sempra Energy's Board of Directors granted 424,760 performance-based RSUs and 93,619 service-based RSUs during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, primarily in January. During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, IEnova granted 1,043,709 RSUs from the IEnova 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan, under which awards are cash settled at vesting based on the price of IEnova common stock.

We discuss share-based compensation plans and related awards further in Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

CAPITALIZED FINANCING COSTS

Capitalized financing costs include capitalized interest costs and AFUDC related to both debt and equity financing of construction projects. We capitalize interest costs incurred to finance capital projects and interest on equity method investments that have not commenced planned principal operations.

Interest capitalized and AFUDC are as follows:

CAPITALIZED FINANCING COSTS

(Dollars in millions)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Sempra Energy Consolidated	\$ 54	\$ 62	\$ 198	\$ 172
SDG&E	21	15	62	47
SoCalGas	15	14	45	41

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following tables present the changes in AOCI by component and amounts reclassified out of AOCI to net income, excluding amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests:

CHANGES IN ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) BY COMPONENT(1)

(Dollars in millions)

	Foreign currency translation adjustments	Financial instruments	Pension and other postretirement benefits	Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)
	Three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016			
Sempra Energy Consolidated:				
Balance as of June 30, 2017	\$ (478)	\$ (147)	\$ (93)	\$ (718)
OCI before reclassifications	27	8	—	35
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	—	(2)	7	5
Net OCI	27	6	7	40
Balance as of September 30, 2017	\$ (451)	\$ (141)	\$ (86)	\$ (678)
Balance as of June 30, 2016	\$ (503)	\$ (264)	\$ (85)	\$ (852)
OCI before reclassifications	(28)	8	—	(20)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	—	5	2	7
Net OCI	(28)	13	2	(13)
Balance as of September 30, 2016	\$ (531)	\$ (251)	\$ (83)	\$ (865)
SDG&E:				
Balance as of June 30, 2017			\$ (8)	\$ (8)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI			1	1
Net OCI			1	1
Balance as of September 30, 2017			\$ (7)	\$ (7)
Balance as of June 30, 2016 and September 30, 2016			\$ (8)	\$ (8)
SoCalGas:				
Balance as of June 30, 2017 and September 30, 2017	\$ (13)	\$ (8)	\$ (21)	
Balance as of June 30, 2016	\$ (14)	\$ (5)	\$ (19)	
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	1	—	1	
Net OCI	1	—	1	
Balance as of September 30, 2016	\$ (13)	\$ (5)	\$ (18)	

(1) All amounts are net of income tax, if subject to tax, and exclude noncontrolling interests.

CHANGES IN ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) BY COMPONENT(1)

(Dollars in millions)

	Foreign currency translation adjustments	Financial instruments	Pension and other postretirement benefits	Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)
Nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016				
Sempra Energy Consolidated:				
Balance as of December 31, 2016	\$ (527)	\$ (125)	\$ (96)	\$ (748)
OCI before reclassifications	76	(20)	—	56
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	—	4	10	14
Net OCI	76	(16)	10	70
Balance as of September 30, 2017	\$ (451)	\$ (141)	\$ (86)	\$ (678)
Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$ (582)	\$ (137)	\$ (87)	\$ (806)
OCI before reclassifications	51	(122)	—	(71)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	—	8	4	12
Net OCI	51	(114)	4	(59)
Balance as of September 30, 2016	\$ (531)	\$ (251)	\$ (83)	\$ (865)
SDG&E:				
Balance as of December 31, 2016			\$ (8)	\$ (8)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI			1	1
Net OCI			1	1
Balance as of September 30, 2017			\$ (7)	\$ (7)
Balance as of December 31, 2015 and September 30, 2016			\$ (8)	\$ (8)
SoCalGas:				
Balance as of December 31, 2016	\$ (13)	\$ (9)	\$ (22)	
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	—	1	1	
Net OCI	—	1	1	
Balance as of September 30, 2017	\$ (13)	\$ (8)	\$ (21)	
Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$ (14)	\$ (5)	\$ (19)	
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	1	—	1	
Net OCI	1	—	1	
Balance as of September 30, 2016	\$ (13)	\$ (5)	\$ (18)	

(1) All amounts are net of income tax, if subject to tax, and exclude noncontrolling interests.

RECLASSIFICATIONS OUT OF ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(Dollars in millions)

Details about accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) components	Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		Affected line item on Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
	Three months ended September 30,		
	2017	2016	
Sempra Energy Consolidated:			
Financial instruments:			
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments(1)	\$ —	\$ 4	Interest Expense
Interest rate instruments	2	3	Equity Earnings, Before Income Tax
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments	—	7	Remeasurement of Equity Method Investment
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments	(2)	(2)	Equity Earnings (Losses), Net of Income Tax
Foreign exchange instruments	(2)	—	Revenues: Energy-Related Businesses
Total before income tax	(2)	12	
	1	(3)	Income Tax Benefit (Expense)
Net of income tax	(1)	9	
	(1)	(4)	Earnings Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests
	\$ (2)	\$ 5	
Pension and other postretirement benefits:			
Amortization of actuarial loss	\$ 11	\$ 4	See note (2) below
	(4)	(2)	Income Tax Benefit (Expense)
Net of income tax	\$ 7	\$ 2	
Total reclassifications for the period, net of tax	\$ 5	\$ 7	
SDG&E:			
Financial instruments:			
Interest rate instruments(1)	\$ 3	\$ 3	Interest Expense
	(3)	(3)	(Earnings) Losses Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest
	\$ —	\$ —	
Pension and other postretirement benefits:			
Amortization of actuarial loss	\$ 1	\$ —	See note (2) below
Total reclassifications for the period, net of tax	\$ 1	\$ —	
SoCalGas:			
Financial instruments:			
Interest rate instruments	\$ —	\$ 1	Interest Expense
Total reclassifications for the period, net of tax	\$ —	\$ 1	

(1) Amounts include Otay Mesa VIE. All of SDG&E's interest rate derivative activity relates to Otay Mesa VIE.

(2) Amounts are included in the computation of net periodic benefit cost (see "Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits" above).

RECLASSIFICATIONS OUT OF ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(Dollars in millions)

Details about accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) components	Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		Affected line item on Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
	Nine months ended September 30,		
	2017	2016	
Sempra Energy Consolidated:			
Financial instruments:			
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments(1)	\$ (4)	\$ 11	Interest Expense
Interest rate instruments	6	8	Equity Earnings, Before Income Tax
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments	—	7	Remeasurement of Equity Method Investment
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments	3	4	Equity Earnings (Losses), Net of Income Tax
Foreign exchange instruments	(1)	—	Revenues: Energy-Related Businesses
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	9	(7)	Revenues: Energy-Related Businesses
Total before income tax	13	23	
	(4)	(4)	Income Tax Benefit (Expense)
Net of income tax	9	19	
	(5)	(11)	Earnings Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests
	\$ 4	\$ 8	
Pension and other postretirement benefits:			
Amortization of actuarial loss	\$ 16	\$ 8	See note (2) below
	(6)	(4)	Income Tax Benefit (Expense)
Net of income tax	\$ 10	\$ 4	
Total reclassifications for the period, net of tax	\$ 14	\$ 12	
SDG&E:			
Financial instruments:			
Interest rate instruments(1)	\$ 9	\$ 9	Interest Expense
	(9)	(9)	(Earnings) Losses Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest
	\$ —	\$ —	
Pension and other postretirement benefits:			
Amortization of actuarial loss	\$ 1	\$ —	See note (2) below
Total reclassifications for the period, net of tax	\$ 1	\$ —	
SoCalGas:			
Financial instruments:			
Interest rate instruments	\$ —	\$ 1	Interest Expense
Pension and other postretirement benefits:			
Amortization of actuarial loss	1	—	See note (2) below
Total reclassifications for the period, net of tax	\$ 1	\$ 1	

(1) Amounts include Otoy Mesa VIE. All of SDG&E's interest rate derivative activity relates to Otoy Mesa VIE.

(2) Amounts are included in the computation of net periodic benefit cost (see "Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits" above).

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

The following tables provide reconciliations of changes in Sempra Energy's, SDG&E's and SoCalGas' shareholders' equity and noncontrolling interests for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016.

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS – SEMPRA ENERGY CONSOLIDATED

(Dollars in millions)

	Sempra Energy shareholders' equity	Non- controlling interests(1)	Total equity
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$ 12,951	\$ 2,290	\$ 15,241
Comprehensive income	828	60	888
Preferred dividends of subsidiary	(1)	—	(1)
Share-based compensation expense	44	—	44
Common stock dividends declared	(619)	—	(619)
Issuances of common stock	77	—	77
Repurchases of common stock	(15)	—	(15)
Equity contributed by noncontrolling interests	—	2	2
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	—	(115)	(115)
Balance at September 30, 2017	\$ 13,265	\$ 2,237	\$ 15,502
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$ 11,809	\$ 770	\$ 12,579
Cumulative-effect adjustment from change in accounting principle	107	—	107
Comprehensive income	933	117	1,050
Preferred dividends of subsidiary	(1)	—	(1)
Share-based compensation expense	38	—	38
Common stock dividends declared	(565)	—	(565)
Issuances of common stock	80	—	80
Repurchases of common stock	(55)	—	(55)
Equity contributed by noncontrolling interests	—	2	2
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	—	(44)	(44)
Balance at September 30, 2016	\$ 12,346	\$ 845	\$ 13,191

(1) Noncontrolling interests include the preferred stock of SoCalGas and other noncontrolling interests as listed in the table below under "Other Noncontrolling Interests."

SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY AND NONCONTROLLING INTEREST – SDG&E

(Dollars in millions)

	SDG&E shareholder's equity	Non- controlling interest	Total equity
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$ 5,641	\$ 37	\$ 5,678
Comprehensive income	277	22	299
Common stock dividends declared	(450)	—	(450)
Equity contributed by noncontrolling interest	—	1	1
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	—	(25)	(25)
Balance at September 30, 2017	\$ 5,468	\$ 35	\$ 5,503
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$ 5,223	\$ 53	\$ 5,276
Cumulative-effect adjustment from change in accounting principle	23	—	23
Comprehensive income	419	3	422
Common stock dividends declared	(175)	—	(175)
Equity contributed by noncontrolling interest	—	1	1
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	—	(7)	(7)
Balance at September 30, 2016	\$ 5,490	\$ 50	\$ 5,540

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY – SOCALGAS*(Dollars in millions)*

	Total equity
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$ 3,510
Comprehensive income	270
Preferred stock dividends declared	(1)
Balance at September 30, 2017	\$ 3,779
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$ 3,149
Cumulative-effect adjustment from change in accounting principle	15
Comprehensive income	200
Preferred stock dividends declared	(1)
Balance at September 30, 2016	\$ 3,363

Ownership interests that are held by owners other than Sempra Energy and SDG&E in subsidiaries or entities consolidated by them are accounted for and reported as noncontrolling interests. As a result, noncontrolling interests are reported as a separate component of equity on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Earnings or losses attributable to noncontrolling interests are separately identified on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, and comprehensive income or loss attributable to noncontrolling interests is separately identified on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss).

Preferred Stock

The preferred stock at SoCalGas is presented at Sempra Energy as a noncontrolling interest. Sempra Energy records charges against income related to noncontrolling interests for preferred stock dividends declared by SoCalGas. We provide additional information regarding preferred stock in Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

Other Noncontrolling Interests

At September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, we reported the following noncontrolling ownership interests held by others (not including preferred shareholders) recorded in Other Noncontrolling Interests in Total Equity on Sempra Energy's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

OTHER NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS					
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>					
	Percent ownership held by noncontrolling interests		Equity held by noncontrolling interests		
	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016	
SDG&E:					
Otay Mesa VIE	100 %	100 %	\$ 35	\$ 37	
Sempra South American Utilities:					
Chilquinta Energía subsidiaries(1)	22.9 – 43.4	23.1 – 43.4	23	22	
Luz del Sur	16.4	16.4	185	173	
Tecsur S.A.	9.8	9.8	4	4	
Sempra Mexico:					
IEnova	33.6	33.6	1,483	1,524	
Sempra Renewables:					
Tax equity arrangement – wind(2)	NA	NA	91	92	
Tax equity arrangement – solar(2)	NA	NA	354	376	
Sempra LNG & Midstream:					
Bay Gas	9.1	9.1	28	27	
Liberty Gas Storage, LLC	23.3	23.3	14	14	
Southern Gas Transmission Company(3)	—	49.0	—	1	
Total Sempra Energy			\$ 2,217	\$ 2,270	

(1) Chilquinta Energía has four subsidiaries with noncontrolling interests held by others. Percentage range reflects the highest and lowest ownership percentages among these subsidiaries.

(2) Net income or loss attributable to the noncontrolling interests is computed using the HLBV method and is not based on ownership percentages, as we discuss in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

(3) We sold our assets in Southern Gas Transmission Company in August 2017.

Sempra Renewables

In September 2017, Sempra Renewables entered into a membership interest purchase agreement with a financial institution to form a tax equity limited liability company that includes a Sempra Renewables wind power generation project located in Huron County, Michigan. Under the purchase agreement, the formation of the tax equity arrangement is subject to conditions precedent, including funding dates that correspond to the project's completion. Sempra Renewables expects to receive cash proceeds of approximately \$90 million to \$100 million and the formation of the tax equity arrangement to occur in November 2017.

In October 2017, Sempra Renewables entered into a membership interest purchase agreement with a financial institution to form a tax equity limited liability company that includes a Sempra Renewables solar power generation project located near Fresno, California. Sempra Renewables received the first funding in the form of a \$39 million cash deposit in October 2017. Additional funding under the purchase agreement and the formation of the tax equity arrangement is subject to conditions precedent that we expect to occur in December 2017. We expect final funding to occur in April 2018.

Sempra Renewables will continue to consolidate these entities. After the funding dates, Sempra Renewables will report noncontrolling interests representing the financial institutions' respective membership interests in the tax equity arrangements.

TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

Amounts due from and to unconsolidated affiliates at Sempra Energy Consolidated, SDG&E and SoCalGas are as follows:

AMOUNTS DUE FROM (TO) UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES

(Dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
Sempra Energy Consolidated:		
Total due from various unconsolidated affiliates – current	\$ 31	\$ 26
Sempra South American Utilities(1):		
Eletrans – 4% Note(2)	\$ 98	\$ 96
Other related party receivables	1	1
Sempra Mexico(1):		
IMG – Note due March 15, 2022(3)	307	—
Affiliate of joint venture with DEN – Notes due November 14, 2018(4)	93	90
Energía Sierra Juárez – Note(5)	7	14
Total due from unconsolidated affiliates – noncurrent	\$ 506	\$ 201
Total due to various unconsolidated affiliates – current	\$ (10)	\$ (11)
SDG&E:		
Sempra Energy(6)	\$ —	\$ 3
Various affiliates	1	1
Total due from unconsolidated affiliates – current	\$ 1	\$ 4
Sempra Energy	\$ (29)	\$ —
SoCalGas	(6)	(8)
Various affiliates	(7)	(7)
Total due to unconsolidated affiliates – current	\$ (42)	\$ (15)
Income taxes due from Sempra Energy(7)	\$ 101	\$ 159
SoCalGas:		
Due from SDG&E – current	\$ 6	\$ 8
Due to Sempra Energy – current	\$ (35)	\$ (28)
Income taxes due from Sempra Energy(7)	\$ 10	\$ 5

(1) Amounts include principal balances plus accumulated interest outstanding.

(2) U.S. dollar-denominated loan, at a fixed interest rate with no stated maturity date, to provide project financing for the construction of transmission lines at Eletrans, which includes, collectively, joint ventures of Chilquinta Energía.

(3) Mexican peso-denominated revolving line of credit for up to \$9.0 billion Mexican pesos or approximately \$495 million U.S. dollar-equivalent, at a variable interest rate based on the 91-day Interbank Equilibrium Interest Rate plus 220 basis points (9.58 percent at September 30, 2017), to finance construction of the natural gas marine pipeline.

(4) Four U.S. dollar-denominated loans, at variable interest rates based on the 30-day LIBOR plus 450 basis points (5.73 percent at September 30, 2017), to finance the Los Ramones Norte pipeline.

(5) U.S. dollar-denominated loan, at a variable interest rate based on the 30-day LIBOR plus 637.5 basis points (7.61 percent at September 30, 2017) with no stated maturity date, to finance the first phase of the Energía Sierra Juárez wind project, which is a joint venture of IEnova.

(6) At December 31, 2016, net receivable included outstanding advances to Sempra Energy of \$31 million at an interest rate of 0.68 percent.

(7) SDG&E and SoCalGas are included in the consolidated income tax return of Sempra Energy and are allocated income tax expense from Sempra Energy in an amount equal to that which would result from each company having always filed a separate return.

Revenues and cost of sales from unconsolidated affiliates are as follows:

REVENUES AND COST OF SALES FROM UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES				
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>				
	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Revenues:				
Sempra Energy Consolidated	\$ 13	\$ 5	\$ 28	\$ 15
SDG&E	2	2	6	5
SoCalGas	21	21	56	56
Cost of Sales:				
Sempra Energy Consolidated	\$ 8	\$ 10	\$ 36	\$ 60
SDG&E	16	16	55	46

Guarantees

Sempra Energy has provided guarantees to certain of its solar and wind farm joint ventures, entered into guarantees related to the financing of the Cameron LNG JV project and has provided guarantees to certain third parties for the benefit of IMG, as we discuss in Note 4 above and in Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

OTHER INCOME, NET

Other Income, Net on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations consists of the following:

OTHER INCOME, NET				
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>				
	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Sempra Energy Consolidated:				
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	\$ 27	\$ 29	\$ 139	\$ 86
Investment gains(1)	13	9	43	29
Gains (losses) on interest rate and foreign exchange instruments, net	5	(11)	99	(23)
Foreign currency transaction (losses) gains	(10)	(2)	7	(9)
Electrical infrastructure relocation income(2)	2	1	2	4
Regulatory interest, net(3)	1	1	3	4
Sundry, net	3	(1)	8	7
Total	\$ 41	\$ 26	\$ 301	\$ 98
SDG&E:				
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	\$ 15	\$ 11	\$ 46	\$ 35
Regulatory interest, net(3)	1	—	3	3
Total	\$ 16	\$ 11	\$ 49	\$ 38
SoCalGas:				
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	\$ 11	\$ 10	\$ 33	\$ 30
Regulatory interest, net(3)	—	1	—	1
Sundry, net	(3)	(3)	(5)	(7)
Total	\$ 8	\$ 8	\$ 28	\$ 24

(1) Represents investment gains on dedicated assets in support of our executive retirement and deferred compensation plans. These amounts are partially offset by corresponding changes in compensation expense related to the plans, recorded in Operation and Maintenance on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(2) Income at Luz del Sur associated with the relocation of electrical infrastructure.

(3) Interest on regulatory balancing accounts.

INCOME TAXES

INCOME TAX (BENEFIT) EXPENSE AND EFFECTIVE INCOME TAX RATES

(Dollars in millions)

	Income tax (benefit) expense		Effective income tax rate		
	Three months ended September 30,				
	2017		2016		
Sempra Energy Consolidated	\$	(84)	(560)%	\$ 282	29%
SDG&E		(72)	79	91	32
SoCalGas		(14)	200	21	100
		Nine months ended September 30,			
		2017		2016	
Sempra Energy Consolidated	\$	378	32 %	\$ 284	21%
SDG&E		72	20	204	33
SoCalGas		103	28	75	27

Sempra Energy, SDG&E and SoCalGas record income taxes for interim periods utilizing a forecasted effective tax rate anticipated for the full year, as required by U.S. GAAP. The income tax effect of items that can be reliably forecasted is factored into the forecasted effective tax rate, and the impact is recognized proportionately over the year. Items that cannot be reliably forecasted (e.g., foreign currency translation and inflation adjustments, remeasurement of deferred tax asset valuation allowances, income tax expense or benefit associated with the gain or loss on sale or impairment of a book investment, resolution of prior years' income tax items, and certain impacts of regulatory matters) are recorded in the interim period in which they actually occur, which can result in variability in the effective income tax rate.

For SDG&E and SoCalGas, the CPUC requires flow-through rate-making treatment for the current income tax benefit or expense arising from certain property-related and other temporary differences between the treatment for financial reporting and income tax, which will reverse over time. Under the regulatory accounting treatment required for these flow-through temporary differences, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are not recorded to deferred income tax expense, but rather to a regulatory asset or liability, which impacts the current effective income tax rate. As a result, changes in the relative size of these items compared to pretax income, from period to period, can cause variations in the effective income tax rate. The following items are subject to flow-through treatment:

- repairs expenditures related to a certain portion of utility plant assets
- the equity portion of AFUDC
- a portion of the cost of removal of utility plant assets
- utility self-developed software expenditures
- depreciation on a certain portion of utility plant assets
- state income taxes

The AFUDC related to equity recorded for regulated construction projects at Sempra Mexico has similar flow-through treatment.

Sempra Energy's income tax benefit in the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to income tax expense in the same period of 2016 was primarily due to lower pretax income in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the same period in 2016. The pretax income in 2017 includes a \$351 million impairment of SDG&E's wildfire regulatory asset, which we discuss in Note 11. The pretax income in 2016 includes a \$617 million noncash gain associated with the remeasurement of Sempra Mexico's equity interest in IEnova Pipelines, which we discuss in Note 3.

As we discuss in Note 10 below and in Notes 6 and 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report, the 2016 GRC FD issued by the CPUC in June 2016 required SDG&E and SoCalGas to each establish a two-way income tax expense memorandum account to track certain revenue variances resulting from certain differences between the income tax expense forecasted in the GRC and the income tax expense incurred from 2016 through 2018. The tracking accounts will remain open, and we expect they will be reviewed in the 2019 GRC proceedings. We expect that certain amounts recorded in the tracking accounts may give rise to regulatory assets or liabilities.

In the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2017, we recorded \$12 million (\$8 million after noncontrolling interests) of income tax benefit and \$137 million (\$91 million after noncontrolling interests) of income tax expense, respectively, from the transactional effects of foreign currency and inflation as a result of significant appreciation of the Mexican peso. We recognized net gains of \$4 million (\$2 million after-tax) and \$101 million (\$61 million after-tax), respectively, recorded in Other Income, Net, on the

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, from foreign currency derivatives that are hedging Sempra Mexico parent's exposure to movements in the Mexican peso from its controlling interest in IEnova.

We provide additional information about our accounting for income taxes in Notes 1 and 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

NOTE 6. DEBT AND CREDIT FACILITIES

LINES OF CREDIT

At September 30, 2017, Sempra Energy Consolidated had an aggregate of \$4.3 billion in three primary committed lines of credit for Sempra Energy, Sempra Global and the California Utilities to provide liquidity and to support commercial paper. The principal terms of these committed lines of credit, which expire in October 2020, are described below and in Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report. Available unused credit on these lines at September 30, 2017 was approximately \$2.6 billion. Our foreign operations have additional general purpose credit facilities aggregating \$1.7 billion at September 30, 2017. Available unused credit on these lines totaled \$844 million at September 30, 2017.

PRIMARY U.S. COMMITTED LINES OF CREDIT

(Dollars in millions)

	At September 30, 2017		
	Total facility	Commercial paper outstanding(1)	Available unused credit
Sempra Energy(2)	\$ 1,000	\$ —	\$ 1,000
Sempra Global(3)	2,335	(1,512)	823
California Utilities(4):			
SDG&E	750	(185)	565
SoCalGas	750	(26)	724
Less: combined limit of \$1 billion for both utilities	(500)	—	(500)
	1,000	(211)	789
Total	\$ 4,335	\$ (1,723)	\$ 2,612

(1) Because the commercial paper programs are supported by these lines, we reflect the amount of commercial paper outstanding as a reduction to the available unused credit.

(2) The facility also provides for issuance of up to \$400 million of letters of credit on behalf of Sempra Energy with the amount of borrowings otherwise available under the facility reduced by the amount of outstanding letters of credit. No letters of credit were outstanding at September 30, 2017.

(3) Sempra Energy guarantees Sempra Global's obligations under the credit facility.

(4) The facility also provides for the issuance of letters of credit on behalf of each utility subject to a combined letter of credit commitment of \$250 million for both utilities. The amount of borrowings otherwise available under the facility is reduced by the amount of outstanding letters of credit. No letters of credit were outstanding at September 30, 2017.

Sempra Energy, SDG&E and SoCalGas must maintain a ratio of indebtedness to total capitalization (as defined in each agreement) of no more than 65 percent at the end of each quarter. Each entity is in compliance with this and all other financial covenants under its respective credit facility at September 30, 2017.

CREDIT FACILITIES IN SOUTH AMERICA AND MEXICO

(U.S. dollar-equivalent in millions)

	Denominated in	At September 30, 2017		
		Total facility	Amount outstanding	Available unused credit
Sempra South American Utilities(1):				
Peru(2)	Peruvian sol	\$ 399	\$ (159) (3)	\$ 240
Chile	Chilean peso	115	—	115
Sempra Mexico:				
IEnova(4)	U.S. dollar	1,170	(681)	489
Total		\$ 1,684	\$ (840)	\$ 844

(1) The credit facilities were entered into to finance working capital and for general corporate purposes and expire between 2017 and 2021.

(2) The Peruvian facilities require a debt to equity ratio of no more than 170 percent, with which we were in compliance at September 30, 2017.

(3) Includes bank guarantees of \$17 million.

(4) Five-year revolver expiring in August 2020 with a syndicate of eight lenders.

WEIGHTED AVERAGE INTEREST RATES

The weighted average interest rates on total short-term debt at Sempra Energy Consolidated were 1.73 percent and 1.51 percent at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. The weighted average interest rate on total short-term debt at SDG&E was 1.14 percent at September 30, 2017. The weighted average interest rates on total short-term debt at SoCalGas were 1.13 percent and 0.75 percent at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

BRIDGE FACILITY RELATED TO THE PENDING ACQUISITION OF ENERGY FUTURE HOLDINGS CORP.

At September 30, 2017, Sempra Energy had a commitment letter from a syndicate of banks, subject to customary conditions, for a \$4.0 billion, 364-day senior unsecured bridge facility to backstop a portion of our obligations to pay the Merger Consideration for the acquisition of EFH, which we discuss in Note 3. The \$4.0 billion commitment is reduced by the amount of funds received through Sempra Energy's sales of equity securities and debt securities, subject in each case to certain exceptions, and increases in our borrowing capacity under our existing revolving credit facilities. At September 30, 2017, we had no amounts outstanding under this bridge facility.

LONG-TERM DEBT

Sempra Energy

On October 13, 2017, Sempra Energy publicly offered and sold \$850 million of floating rate notes, maturing on March 15, 2021. The floating rate notes bear interest at a rate equal to the three-month LIBOR plus 45 basis points. The interest rate is reset quarterly. Sempra Energy used a substantial portion of the net proceeds from the offering to repay outstanding commercial paper with remaining proceeds used for general corporate purposes.

In June 2017, Sempra Energy publicly offered and sold \$750 million of 3.25-percent, fixed rate notes maturing in 2027. Sempra Energy used the proceeds from the offering to repay outstanding commercial paper.

SDG&E

In June 2017, SDG&E publicly offered and sold \$400 million of 3.75-percent, first mortgage bonds maturing in 2047. SDG&E used the proceeds from the offering to repay outstanding commercial paper.

In 2015, SDG&E entered into a CPUC-approved 25-year PPA with a peaker plant facility. Construction of the peaker plant facility was completed and delivery of contracted power commenced in June 2017, at which time we recorded a \$500 million capital lease obligation on SDG&E's and Sempra Energy's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. We discuss commitments related to this capital lease obligation in Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

Sempra South American Utilities

In February 2017, Luz del Sur publicly offered and sold \$50 million of corporate bonds at 6.38 percent, maturing in 2023.

INTEREST RATE SWAPS

We discuss our fair value interest rate swaps and interest rate swaps to hedge cash flows in Note 7.

NOTE 7. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We use derivative instruments primarily to manage exposures arising in the normal course of business. Our principal exposures are commodity market risk, benchmark interest rate risk and foreign exchange rate exposures. Our use of derivatives for these risks is integrated into the economic management of our anticipated revenues, anticipated expenses, assets and liabilities. Derivatives may be effective in mitigating these risks (1) that could lead to declines in anticipated revenues or increases in anticipated expenses, or (2) that our asset values may fall or our liabilities increase. Accordingly, our derivative activity summarized below generally represents an impact that is intended to offset associated revenues, expenses, assets or liabilities that are not included in the tables below.

In certain cases, we apply the normal purchase or sale exception to derivative instruments and have other commodity contracts that are not derivatives. These contracts are not recorded at fair value and are therefore excluded from the disclosures below.

In all other cases, we record derivatives at fair value on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. We designate each derivative as (1) a cash flow hedge, (2) a fair value hedge, or (3) undesignated. Depending on the applicability of hedge accounting and, for the California Utilities and other operations subject to regulatory accounting, the requirement to pass impacts through to customers, the impact of derivative instruments may be offset in other comprehensive income (loss) (cash flow hedge), on the balance sheet (fair value hedges and regulatory offsets), or recognized in earnings. We classify cash flows from the settlements of derivative instruments as operating activities on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

HEDGE ACCOUNTING

We may designate a derivative as a cash flow hedging instrument if it effectively converts anticipated cash flows associated with revenues or expenses to a fixed dollar amount. We may utilize cash flow hedge accounting for derivative commodity instruments, foreign currency instruments and interest rate instruments. Designating cash flow hedges is dependent on the business context in which the instrument is being used, the effectiveness of the instrument in offsetting the risk that the future cash flows of a given revenue or expense item may vary, and other criteria.

We may designate an interest rate derivative as a fair value hedging instrument if it effectively converts our own debt from a fixed interest rate to a variable rate. The combination of the derivative and debt instrument results in fixing that portion of the fair value of the debt that is related to benchmark interest rates. Designating fair value hedges is dependent on the instrument being used, the effectiveness of the instrument in offsetting changes in the fair value of our debt instruments, and other criteria.

ENERGY DERIVATIVES

Our market risk is primarily related to natural gas and electricity price volatility and the specific physical locations where we transact. We use energy derivatives to manage these risks. The use of energy derivatives in our various businesses depends on the particular energy market, and the operating and regulatory environments applicable to the business, as follows:

- The California Utilities use natural gas and electricity derivatives, for the benefit of customers, with the objective of managing price risk and basis risks, and stabilizing and lowering natural gas and electricity costs. These derivatives include fixed price natural gas and electricity positions, options, and basis risk instruments, which are either exchange-traded or over-the-counter financial instruments, or bilateral physical transactions. This activity is governed by risk management and transacting activity plans that have been filed with and approved by the CPUC. Natural gas and electricity derivative activities are recorded as commodity costs that are offset by regulatory account balances and are recovered in rates. Net commodity cost impacts on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations are reflected in Cost of Electric Fuel and Purchased Power or in Cost of Natural Gas.
- SDG&E is allocated and may purchase CRRs, which serve to reduce the regional electricity price volatility risk that may result from local transmission capacity constraints. Unrealized gains and losses do not impact earnings, as they are offset by regulatory account balances. Realized gains and losses associated with CRRs, which are recoverable in rates, are recorded in Cost of Electric Fuel and Purchased Power on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.
- Sempra Mexico, Sempra LNG & Midstream, and Sempra Renewables may use natural gas and electricity derivatives, as appropriate, to optimize the earnings of their assets which support the following businesses: LNG, natural gas transportation and storage, and power generation. Gains and losses associated with undesignated derivatives are recognized in Energy-Related Businesses Revenues or in Cost of Natural Gas, Electric Fuel and Purchased Power on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Certain of these derivatives may also be designated as cash flow hedges. Sempra Mexico may also use natural gas energy derivatives with the objective of managing price risk and lowering natural gas prices at its Mexican distribution

operations. These derivatives, which are recorded as commodity costs that are offset by regulatory account balances and recovered in rates, are recognized in Cost of Natural Gas on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

- From time to time, our various businesses, including the California Utilities, may use other energy derivatives to hedge exposures such as the price of vehicle fuel.

We summarize net energy derivative volumes at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 as follows:

NET ENERGY DERIVATIVE VOLUMES				
<i>(Quantities in millions)</i>				
Commodity	Unit of measure	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016	
California Utilities:				
SDG&E:				
Natural gas	MMBtu	43	48	
Electricity	MWh	3	4	
Congestion revenue rights	MWh	60	48	
SoCalGas – natural gas	MMBtu	1	1	
Energy-Related Businesses:				
Sempra LNG & Midstream – natural gas	MMBtu	4	31	
Sempra Mexico – natural gas	MMBtu	4	—	

In addition to the amounts noted above, we frequently use commodity derivatives to manage risks associated with the physical locations of contractual obligations and assets, such as natural gas purchases and sales.

INTEREST RATE DERIVATIVES

We are exposed to interest rates primarily as a result of our current and expected use of financing. The California Utilities, as well as other Sempra Energy subsidiaries and joint ventures, periodically enter into interest rate derivative agreements intended to moderate our exposure to interest rates and to lower our overall costs of borrowing. We may utilize interest rate swaps typically designated as fair value hedges, as a means to achieve our targeted level of variable rate debt as a percent of total debt. In addition, we may utilize interest rate swaps, typically designated as cash flow hedges, to lock in interest rates on outstanding debt or in anticipation of future financings. Separately, Otay Mesa VIE has entered into interest rate swap agreements, designated as cash flow hedges, to moderate its exposure to interest rate changes.

At September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the net notional amounts of our interest rate derivatives, excluding joint ventures, were:

INTEREST RATE DERIVATIVES					
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>					
	September 30, 2017			December 31, 2016	
	Notional debt	Maturities		Notional debt	Maturities
Sempra Energy Consolidated:					
Cash flow hedges(1)	\$	880	2017-2032	\$	924 2017-2032
SDG&E:					
Cash flow hedges(1)		297	2017-2019		305 2017-2019

(1) Includes Otay Mesa VIE. All of SDG&E's interest rate derivatives relate to Otay Mesa VIE.

FOREIGN CURRENCY DERIVATIVES

We utilize cross-currency swaps to hedge exposure related to Mexican peso-denominated debt at our Mexican subsidiaries and joint ventures. These cash flow hedges exchange our Mexican peso-denominated principal and interest payments into the U.S. dollar and swap Mexican variable interest rates for U.S. fixed interest rates. From time to time, Sempra Mexico and its joint ventures may use other foreign currency derivatives to hedge exposures related to cash flows associated with revenues from contracts denominated in Mexican pesos that are indexed to the U.S. dollar.

We are also exposed to exchange rate movements at our Mexican subsidiaries and joint ventures, which have U.S. dollar-denominated cash balances, receivables, payables and debt (monetary assets and liabilities) that give rise to Mexican currency

exchange rate movements for Mexican income tax purposes. They also have deferred income tax assets and liabilities denominated in the Mexican peso, which must be translated to U.S. dollars for financial reporting purposes. In addition, monetary assets and liabilities and certain nonmonetary assets and liabilities are adjusted for Mexican inflation for Mexican income tax purposes. We utilize foreign currency derivatives as a means to manage the risk of exposure to significant fluctuations in our income tax expense and equity earnings from these impacts, however we generally do not hedge our deferred income tax assets and liabilities or inflation.

In addition, Sempra South American Utilities and its joint ventures use foreign currency derivatives to manage foreign currency rate risk. We discuss these derivatives at Chilquinta Energía's Eletrans joint venture investment in Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

At September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the net notional amounts of our foreign currency derivatives, excluding joint ventures, were:

FOREIGN CURRENCY DERIVATIVES				
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>				
	September 30, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Notional amount	Maturities	Notional amount	Maturities
Sempra Energy Consolidated:				
Cross-currency swaps	\$ 408	2017-2023	\$ 408	2017-2023
Other foreign currency derivatives(1)	965	2017-2019	86	2017-2018

(1) In the first quarter of 2017, we entered into foreign currency derivatives with notional amounts totaling \$850 million that expire in December 2017.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets reflect the offsetting of net derivative positions and cash collateral with the same counterparty when a legal right of offset exists. The following tables provide the fair values of derivative instruments on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, including the amount of cash collateral receivables that were not offset, as the cash collateral is in excess of liability positions.

DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS ON THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Dollars in millions)

September 30, 2017

	Current assets: Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives(1)	Other assets: Sundry	Current liabilities: Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives(2)	Deferred credits and other liabilities: Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives
Sempra Energy Consolidated:				
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments(3)	\$ —	\$ 1	\$ (51)	\$ (143)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Foreign exchange instruments	134	—	—	—
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	41	6	(29)	(4)
Associated offsetting commodity contracts	(26)	(3)	26	3
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	8	118	(63)	(128)
Associated offsetting commodity contracts	(1)	(1)	1	1
Associated offsetting cash collateral	—	—	17	6
Net amounts presented on the balance sheet	156	121	(99)	(265)
Additional cash collateral for commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	2	—	—	—
Additional cash collateral for commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	16	—	—	—
Total(4)	\$ 174	\$ 121	\$ (99)	\$ (265)
SDG&E:				
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Interest rate instruments(3)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (12)	\$ (5)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	6	118	(61)	(128)
Associated offsetting commodity contracts	(1)	(1)	1	1
Associated offsetting cash collateral	—	—	17	6
Net amounts presented on the balance sheet	5	117	(55)	(126)
Additional cash collateral for commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	15	—	—	—
Total(4)	\$ 20	\$ 117	\$ (55)	\$ (126)
SoCalGas:				
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ (2)	\$ —
Net amounts presented on the balance sheet	2	—	(2)	—
Additional cash collateral for commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	1	—	—	—
Total	\$ 3	\$ —	\$ (2)	\$ —

(1) Included in Current Assets: Other for SoCalGas.

(2) Included in Current Liabilities: Other for SoCalGas.

(3) Includes Otay Mesa VIE. All of SDG&E's amounts relate to Otay Mesa VIE.

(4) Normal purchase contracts previously measured at fair value are excluded.

DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS ON THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2016			
	Current assets: Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives(1)	Other assets: Sundry	Current liabilities: Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives(2)	Deferred credits and other liabilities: Fixed-price contracts and other derivatives
Sempra Energy Consolidated:				
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments(3)	\$ 7	\$ 2	\$ (24)	\$ (228)
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	—	—	(14)	—
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	248	36	(254)	(28)
Associated offsetting commodity contracts	(242)	(27)	242	27
Associated offsetting cash collateral	—	(1)	16	1
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	37	73	(57)	(150)
Associated offsetting commodity contracts	(9)	(1)	9	1
Associated offsetting cash collateral	—	—	5	13
Net amounts presented on the balance sheet	41	82	(77)	(364)
Additional cash collateral for commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	10	—	—	—
Additional cash collateral for commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	32	—	—	—
Total(4)	\$ 83	\$ 82	\$ (77)	\$ (364)
SDG&E:				
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Interest rate instruments(3)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (13)	\$ (12)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	33	73	(51)	(150)
Associated offsetting commodity contracts	(6)	(1)	6	1
Associated offsetting cash collateral	—	—	3	13
Net amounts presented on the balance sheet	27	72	(55)	(148)
Additional cash collateral for commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	1	—	—	—
Additional cash collateral for commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	30	—	—	—
Total(4)	\$ 58	\$ 72	\$ (55)	\$ (148)
SoCalGas:				
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	\$ 4	\$ —	\$ (6)	\$ —
Associated offsetting commodity contracts	(3)	—	3	—
Associated offsetting cash collateral	—	—	2	—
Net amounts presented on the balance sheet	1	—	(1)	—
Additional cash collateral for commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	1	—	—	—
Additional cash collateral for commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	2	—	—	—
Total	\$ 4	\$ —	\$ (1)	\$ —

(1) Included in Current Assets: Other for SoCalGas.

(2) Included in Current Liabilities: Other for SoCalGas.

(3) Includes Otay Mesa VIE. All of SDG&E's amounts relate to Otay Mesa VIE.

(4) Normal purchase contracts previously measured at fair value are excluded.

The table below includes the effects of derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges on the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2016. There were no fair value hedges outstanding during the three months ended September 30, 2016 or the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2017.

FAIR VALUE HEDGE IMPACTS			
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>			
		Pretax gain (loss) on derivatives recognized in earnings	
		Nine months ended	
Location		September 30, 2016	
Sempra Energy Consolidated:			
Interest rate instruments	Interest Expense	\$	3
Interest rate instruments	Other Income, Net		(2)
Total(1)		\$	1

(1) There was no hedge ineffectiveness in the nine months ended September 30, 2016. All other changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements are exactly offset by changes in the fair value of the underlying long-term debt and recorded in Other Income, Net.

The table below includes the effects of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and in OCI and AOCI for the three months and nine months ended September 30:

CASH FLOW HEDGE IMPACTS
(Dollars in millions)

	Pretax gain (loss) recognized in OCI			Location	Pretax (loss) gain reclassified from AOCI into earnings				
	Three months ended September 30,		2016		Three months ended September 30,		2016		
	2017				2017				
Sempra Energy Consolidated:									
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments(1)	\$	14	\$	(16)	Interest Expense	\$	—	\$	(4)
Interest rate instruments		(9)		17	Equity Earnings, Before Income Tax		(2)		(3)
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments		—		—	Remeasurement of Equity Method Investment		—		(7)
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments		7		13	Equity Earnings (Losses), Net of Income Tax		2		2
Foreign exchange instruments		5		—	Revenues: Energy- Related Businesses		2		—
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery		—		2	Revenues: Energy- Related Businesses		—		—
Total(2)	\$	17	\$	16		\$	2	\$	(12)

SDG&E:

Interest rate instruments(1)(3)	\$	—	\$	2	Interest Expense	\$	(3)	\$	(3)
---------------------------------	----	---	----	---	------------------	----	-----	----	-----

SoCalGas:

Interest rate instruments	\$	—	\$	—	Interest Expense	\$	—	\$	(1)
---------------------------	----	---	----	---	------------------	----	---	----	-----

	Nine months ended September 30,			Location	Nine months ended September 30,				
	2017		2016		2017		2016		
Sempra Energy Consolidated:									
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments(1)	\$	22	\$	(26)	Interest Expense	\$	4	\$	(11)
Interest rate instruments		(46)		(190)	Equity Earnings, Before Income Tax		(6)		(8)
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments		—		—	Remeasurement of Equity Method Investment		—		(7)
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments		(11)		(20)	Equity Earnings (Losses), Net of Income Tax		(3)		(4)
Foreign exchange instruments		(5)		—	Revenues: Energy- Related Businesses		1		—
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery		3		(2)	Revenues: Energy- Related Businesses		(9)		7
Total(2)	\$	(37)	\$	(238)		\$	(13)	\$	(23)
SDG&E:									
Interest rate instruments(1)(3)	\$	(2)	\$	(5)	Interest Expense	\$	(9)	\$	(9)
SoCalGas:									
Interest rate instruments	\$	—	\$	—	Interest Expense	\$	—	\$	(1)

(1) Amounts include Otay Mesa VIE. All of SDG&E's interest rate derivative activity relates to Otay Mesa VIE.

(2) There was \$2 million and \$4 million of losses from hedge ineffectiveness related to these cash flow hedges in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2017, respectively, and negligible amounts for the same periods in 2016.

(3) There was negligible hedge ineffectiveness related to these cash flow hedges in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016.

For Sempra Energy Consolidated, we expect that net gains of \$5 million, which are net of income tax expense, that are currently recorded in AOCI (including \$11 million of losses in noncontrolling interest related to Otay Mesa VIE at SDG&E) related to cash flow hedges will be reclassified into earnings during the next twelve months as the hedged items affect earnings. SoCalGas expects that negligible losses, net of income tax benefit, that are currently recorded in AOCI related to cash flow hedges will be reclassified into earnings during the next twelve months as the hedged items affect earnings. Actual amounts ultimately reclassified into earnings depend on the interest rates in effect when derivative contracts mature.

For all forecasted transactions, the maximum remaining term over which we are hedging exposure to the variability of cash flows at September 30, 2017 is approximately 14 years and 2 years for Sempra Energy Consolidated and SDG&E, respectively. The maximum remaining term for which we are hedging exposure to the variability of cash flows at our equity method investees is 18 years.

The effects of derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and nine months ended September 30 were:

UNDESIGNATED DERIVATIVE IMPACTS

(Dollars in millions)

		Pretax gain (loss) on derivatives recognized in earnings			
		Three months ended		Nine months ended	
		September 30,		September 30,	
Location		2017	2016	2017	2016
Sempra Energy Consolidated:					
Foreign exchange instruments	Other Income, Net	\$ 4	\$ (11)	\$ 101	\$ (23)
Foreign exchange instruments	Equity Earnings (Losses), Net of Income Tax	1	1	1	3
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	Revenues: Energy-Related Businesses	(3)	3	27	(26)
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	Operation and Maintenance	—	—	(1)	1
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	Cost of Electric Fuel and Purchased Power	59	(118)	36	(90)
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	Cost of Natural Gas	(1)	—	(1)	(2)
Total		\$ 60	\$ (125)	\$ 163	\$ (137)
SDG&E:					
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	Cost of Electric Fuel and Purchased Power	\$ 59	\$ (118)	\$ 36	\$ (90)
SoCalGas:					
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	Operation and Maintenance	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	Cost of Natural Gas	(1)	—	(1)	(2)
Total		\$ —	\$ —	\$ (1)	\$ (2)

CONTINGENT FEATURES

For Sempra Energy Consolidated and SDG&E, certain of our derivative instruments contain credit limits which vary depending on our credit ratings. Generally, these provisions, if applicable, may reduce our credit limit if a specified credit rating agency reduces our ratings. In certain cases, if our credit ratings were to fall below investment grade, the counterparty to these derivative liability instruments could request immediate payment or demand immediate and ongoing full collateralization.

For Sempra Energy Consolidated, the total fair value of this group of derivative instruments in a net liability position at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 is \$3 million and \$10 million, respectively. At September 30, 2017, if the credit ratings of Sempra Energy were reduced below investment grade, \$4 million of additional assets could be required to be posted as collateral for these derivative contracts.

For SDG&E, the total fair value of this group of derivative instruments in a net liability position is negligible at both September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016. At September 30, 2017, if the credit ratings of SDG&E were reduced below investment grade, \$1 million of additional assets could be required to be posted as collateral for these derivative contracts.

For Sempra Energy Consolidated, SDG&E and SoCalGas, some of our derivative contracts contain a provision that would permit the counterparty, in certain circumstances, to request adequate assurance of our performance under the contracts. Such additional assurance, if needed, is not material and is not included in the amounts above.

NOTE 8. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

We discuss the valuation techniques and inputs we use to measure fair value and the definition of the three levels of the fair value hierarchy in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

RECURRING FAIR VALUE MEASURES

The three tables below, by level within the fair value hierarchy, set forth our financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016. We classify financial assets and liabilities in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities, and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels. We have not changed the valuation techniques or types of inputs we use to measure recurring fair value during the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

The fair value of commodity derivative assets and liabilities is presented in accordance with our netting policy, as we discuss in Note 7 under “Financial Statement Presentation.”

The determination of fair values, shown in the tables below, incorporates various factors, including but not limited to, the credit standing of the counterparties involved and the impact of credit enhancements (such as cash deposits, letters of credit and priority interests).

Our financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 in the tables below include the following:

- Nuclear decommissioning trusts reflect the assets of SDG&E’s NDT, excluding cash balances. A third party trustee values the trust assets using prices from a pricing service based on a market approach. We validate these prices by comparison to prices from other independent data sources. Securities are valued using quoted prices listed on nationally recognized securities exchanges or based on closing prices reported in the active market in which the identical security is traded (Level 1). Other securities are valued based on yields that are currently available for comparable securities of issuers with similar credit ratings (Level 2).
- For commodity contracts, interest rate derivatives and foreign exchange instruments, we primarily use a market approach with market participant assumptions to value these derivatives. Market participant assumptions include those about risk, and the risk inherent in the inputs to the valuation techniques. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated, or generally unobservable. We have exchange-traded derivatives that are valued based on quoted prices in active markets for the identical instruments (Level 1). We also may have other commodity derivatives that are valued using industry standard models that consider quoted forward prices for commodities, time value, current market and contractual prices for the underlying instruments, volatility factors, and other relevant economic measures (Level 2). Level 3 recurring items relate to CRRs and long-term, fixed-price electricity positions at SDG&E, as we discuss below in “Level 3 Information.”
- Rabbi Trust investments include marketable securities that we value using a market approach based on closing prices reported in the active market in which the identical security is traded (Level 1). These investments in marketable securities were negligible at both September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

There were no transfers into or out of Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 for Sempra Energy Consolidated, SDG&E or SoCalGas during the periods presented.

RECURRING FAIR VALUE MEASURES – SEMPRA ENERGY CONSOLIDATED
(Dollars in millions)

	Fair value at September 30, 2017				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting(1)	Total
Assets:					
Nuclear decommissioning trusts:					
Equity securities	\$ 503	\$ 5	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 508
Debt securities:					
Debt securities issued by the U.S. Treasury and other					
U.S. government corporations and agencies	44	7	—	—	51
Municipal bonds	—	245	—	—	245
Other securities	—	210	—	—	210
Total debt securities	44	462	—	—	506
Total nuclear decommissioning trusts(2)	547	467	—	—	1,014
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments	—	135	—	—	135
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	6	12	—	2	20
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	—	2	122	16	140
Total	\$ 553	\$ 616	\$ 122	\$ 18	\$ 1,309
Liabilities:					
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments	\$ —	\$ 194	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 194
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	—	4	—	—	4
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	23	7	159	(23)	166
Total	\$ 23	\$ 205	\$ 159	\$ (23)	\$ 364

	Fair value at December 31, 2016				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting(1)	Total
Assets:					
Nuclear decommissioning trusts:					
Equity securities	\$ 508	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 508
Debt securities:					
Debt securities issued by the U.S. Treasury and other					
U.S. government corporations and agencies	36	16	—	—	52
Municipal bonds	—	206	—	—	206
Other securities	—	141	—	—	141
Total debt securities	36	363	—	—	399
Total nuclear decommissioning trusts(2)	544	363	—	—	907
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments	—	9	—	—	9
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	—	15	—	9	24
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	1	3	96	32	132
Total	\$ 545	\$ 390	\$ 96	\$ 41	\$ 1,072
Liabilities:					
Interest rate and foreign exchange instruments	\$ —	\$ 252	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 252
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	16	11	—	(17)	10
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	19	8	170	(18)	179
Total	\$ 35	\$ 271	\$ 170	\$ (35)	\$ 441

(1) Includes the effect of the contractual ability to settle contracts under master netting agreements and with cash collateral, as well as cash collateral not offset.

(2) Excludes cash balances and cash equivalents.

RECURRING FAIR VALUE MEASURES – SDG&E
(Dollars in millions)

	Fair value at September 30, 2017				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting(1)	Total
Assets:					
Nuclear decommissioning trusts:					
Equity securities	\$ 503	\$ 5	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 508
Debt securities:					
Debt securities issued by the U.S. Treasury and other					
U.S. government corporations and agencies	44	7	—	—	51
Municipal bonds	—	245	—	—	245
Other securities	—	210	—	—	210
Total debt securities	44	462	—	—	506
Total nuclear decommissioning trusts(2)	547	467	—	—	1,014
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	—	—	122	15	137
Total	\$ 547	\$ 467	\$ 122	\$ 15	\$ 1,151
Liabilities:					
Interest rate instruments	\$ —	\$ 17	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 17
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	23	5	159	(23)	164
Total	\$ 23	\$ 22	\$ 159	\$ (23)	\$ 181

	Fair value at December 31, 2016				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting(1)	Total
Assets:					
Nuclear decommissioning trusts:					
Equity securities	\$ 508	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 508
Debt securities:					
Debt securities issued by the U.S. Treasury and other					
U.S. government corporations and agencies	36	16	—	—	52
Municipal bonds	—	206	—	—	206
Other securities	—	141	—	—	141
Total debt securities	36	363	—	—	399
Total nuclear decommissioning trusts(2)	544	363	—	—	907
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	—	—	—	1	1
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	1	2	96	30	129
Total	\$ 545	\$ 365	\$ 96	\$ 31	\$ 1,037
Liabilities:					
Interest rate instruments	\$ —	\$ 25	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 25
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	17	7	170	(16)	178
Total	\$ 17	\$ 32	\$ 170	\$ (16)	\$ 203

(1) Includes the effect of the contractual ability to settle contracts under master netting agreements and with cash collateral, as well as cash collateral not offset.

(2) Excludes cash balances and cash equivalents.

RECURRING FAIR VALUE MEASURES – SOCALGAS
(Dollars in millions)

	Fair value at September 30, 2017				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting(1)	Total
Assets:					
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	\$ —	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ 1	\$ 3
Total	\$ —	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ 1	\$ 3
Liabilities:					
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	\$ —	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2
Total	\$ —	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2

	Fair value at December 31, 2016				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting(1)	Total
Assets:					
Commodity contracts not subject to rate recovery	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1	\$ 1
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	—	1	—	2	3
Total	\$ —	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ 3	\$ 4
Liabilities:					
Commodity contracts subject to rate recovery	\$ 2	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ (2)	\$ 1
Total	\$ 2	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ (2)	\$ 1

(1) Includes the effect of the contractual ability to settle contracts under master netting agreements and with cash collateral, as well as cash collateral not offset.

Level 3 Information

The following table sets forth reconciliations of changes in the fair value of CRRs and long-term, fixed-price electricity positions classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy for Sempra Energy Consolidated and SDG&E:

LEVEL 3 RECONCILIATIONS
(Dollars in millions)

	Three months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016
Balance at July 1	\$ (90)	\$ 24
Realized and unrealized gains (losses)	30	(145)
Settlements	23	34
Balance at September 30	\$ (37)	\$ (87)
Change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to instruments still held at September 30	\$ 38	\$ (114)

	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016
Balance at January 1	\$ (74)	\$ 19
Realized and unrealized gains (losses)	14	(138)
Settlements	23	32
Balance at September 30	\$ (37)	\$ (87)
Change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to instruments still held at September 30	\$ 26	\$ (111)

SDG&E's Energy and Fuel Procurement department, in conjunction with SDG&E's finance group, is responsible for determining the appropriate fair value methodologies used to value and classify CRRs and long-term, fixed-price electricity positions on an ongoing basis. Inputs used to determine the fair value of CRRs and fixed-price electricity positions are reviewed and compared with market conditions to determine reasonableness. SDG&E expects all costs related to these instruments to be recoverable through customer rates. As such, there is no impact to earnings from changes in the fair value of these instruments.

CRRs are recorded at fair value based almost entirely on the most current auction prices published by the CAISO, an objective source. Annual auction prices are published once a year, typically in the middle of November, and are the basis for valuation for the following year. The impact associated with discounting is negligible. Because these auction prices are a less observable input, these instruments are classified as Level 3. The fair value of these instruments is derived from auction price differences between two locations. For CRRs settling from January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017, the auction price inputs ranged from \$(12) per MWh to \$7 per MWh at a

given location, and for CRRs settling from January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016, the auction price inputs ranged from \$(24) per MWh to \$10 per MWh at a given location. Positive values between two locations represent expected future reductions in congestion costs, whereas negative values between two locations represent expected future charges. Valuation of our CRRs is sensitive to a change in auction price. If auction prices at one location increase (decrease) relative to another location, this could result in a higher (lower) fair value measurement. We summarize CRR volumes in Note 7.

Long-term, fixed-price electricity positions that are valued using significant unobservable data are classified as Level 3 because the contract terms relate to a delivery location or tenor for which observable market rate information is not available. The fair value of the net electricity positions classified as Level 3 is derived from a discounted cash flow model using market electricity forward price inputs. These inputs range from \$21.35 per MWh to \$48.97 per MWh at September 30, 2017. A significant increase or decrease in market electricity forward prices would result in a significantly higher or lower fair value, respectively. We summarize long-term, fixed-price electricity position volumes in Note 7.

Realized gains and losses associated with CRRs and long-term electricity positions, which are included in rates, are recorded in Cost of Electric Fuel and Purchased Power on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Unrealized gains and losses are recorded as regulatory assets and liabilities and therefore do not affect earnings.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair values of certain of our financial instruments (cash, temporary investments, accounts and notes receivable, short-term amounts due to/from unconsolidated affiliates, dividends and accounts payable, short-term debt and customer deposits) approximate their carrying amounts because of the short-term nature of these instruments. Investments in life insurance contracts that we hold in support of our Supplemental Executive Retirement, Cash Balance Restoration and Deferred Compensation Plans are carried at cash surrender values, which represent the amount of cash that could be realized under the contracts. The following table provides the carrying amounts and fair values of certain other financial instruments that are not recorded at fair value on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS						
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>						
	September 30, 2017					
	Carrying amount	Fair value				Total
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Sempra Energy Consolidated:						
Long-term amounts due from unconsolidated affiliates(1)	\$ 476	\$ —	\$ 346	\$ 92	\$	\$ 438
Total long-term debt(2)(3)	15,459	—	15,930	464		16,394
SDG&E:						
Total long-term debt(3)(4)	\$ 4,871	\$ —	\$ 5,029	\$ 297	\$	\$ 5,326
SoCalGas:						
Total long-term debt(5)	\$ 3,009	\$ —	\$ 3,169	\$ —	\$	\$ 3,169
	December 31, 2016					
	Carrying amount	Fair value				Total
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Sempra Energy Consolidated:						
Long-term amounts due from unconsolidated affiliates(1)	\$ 184	\$ —	\$ 91	\$ 84	\$	\$ 175
Total long-term debt(2)(3)	15,068	—	15,455	492		15,947
SDG&E:						
Total long-term debt(3)(4)	\$ 4,654	\$ —	\$ 4,727	\$ 305	\$	\$ 5,032
SoCalGas:						
Total long-term debt(5)	\$ 3,009	\$ —	\$ 3,131	\$ —	\$	\$ 3,131

(1) Excluding accumulated interest outstanding of \$31 million and \$17 million at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, and excluding foreign currency translation of \$(1) million on a Mexican peso-denominated loan at September 30, 2017.

(2) Before reductions for unamortized discount (net of premium) and debt issuance costs of \$112 million and \$109 million at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, and excluding build-to-suit and capital lease obligations of \$879 million and \$383 million at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. We discuss our long-term debt in Note 6 above and in Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

(3) Level 3 instruments include \$297 million and \$305 million at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, related to Otay Mesa VIE.

(4) Before reductions for unamortized discount and debt issuance costs of \$47 million and \$45 million at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, and excluding capital lease obligations of \$734 million and \$240 million at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

(5) Before reductions for unamortized discount and debt issuance costs of \$25 million and \$27 million at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, and excluding capital lease obligations of \$1 million at September 30, 2017.

We determine the fair value of certain long-term amounts due from unconsolidated affiliates and long-term debt based on a market approach using quoted market prices for identical or similar securities in thinly-traded markets (Level 2). We value certain other long-term amounts due from unconsolidated affiliates using a perpetuity approach based on the obligation's fixed interest rate, the absence of a stated maturity date and a discount rate reflecting local borrowing costs (Level 3). We value other long-term debt using an income approach based on the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at rates available for similar securities (Level 3).

We provide the fair values for the securities held in the NDT related to SONGS in Note 9.

NON-RECURRING FAIR VALUE MEASURES

TdM

In February 2016, management approved a plan to market and sell Sempra Mexico's TdM, a natural gas-fired power plant, and classified it as held for sale on the Sempra Energy Consolidated Balance Sheet, as we discuss in Note 3 above and in Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report. In connection with the sales process, Sempra Mexico received a purchase price offer resulting from negotiations with an active market participant. This market information indicated that the fair value of TdM was lower than its carrying value at June 30, 2017. As a result, in the second quarter of 2017, Sempra Mexico further reduced the carrying value of TdM by recognizing a noncash impairment charge of \$71 million, recorded in Other Impairment Losses on Sempra Energy's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The purchase price offer is considered to be a Level 2 input in the fair value hierarchy, as it represents an observable pricing input.

The following table summarizes significant inputs impacting this non-recurring fair value measure:

NON-RECURRING FAIR VALUE MEASURE – SEMPRa ENERGY CONSOLIDATED						
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>						
	Estimated fair value	Valuation technique	Fair value hierarchy	% of fair value measurement	Inputs used to develop measurement	Range of inputs
TdM	\$ 62 (1)	Market approach	Level 2	100%	Purchase price offer	100%

(1) At measurement date of June 30, 2017. At September 30, 2017, TdM has a carrying value of \$70 million, reflecting subsequent business activity, and is classified as held for sale.

NOTE 9. SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION

We provide below updates to ongoing matters related to SONGS, a nuclear generating facility near San Clemente, California that ceased operations in June 2013, and in which SDG&E has a 20-percent ownership interest. We discuss SONGS further in Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

REPLACEMENT STEAM GENERATORS

As part of the Steam Generator Replacement Project, the steam generators were replaced in SONGS Units 2 and 3, and the Units returned to service in 2010 and 2011, respectively. Both Units were shut down in early 2012 after a water leak occurred in the Unit 3 steam generator. Edison, the majority owner and operator of SONGS, concluded that the leak was due to unexpected wear from tube-to-tube contact. At the time the leak was identified, Edison also inspected and tested Unit 2 and subsequently found unexpected tube wear in Unit 2's steam generator. These issues with the steam generators ultimately resulted in Edison's decision to permanently retire SONGS in June 2013.

The replacement steam generators were designed and provided by MHI. In July 2013, SDG&E filed a lawsuit against MHI seeking to recover damages SDG&E has incurred and will incur related to the design defects in the steam generators. In October 2013, Edison instituted arbitration proceedings against MHI seeking recovery of damages. The other SONGS co-owners, SDG&E and the City of Riverside, participated as claimants and respondents.

On March 13, 2017, the Tribunal overseeing the arbitration found MHI liable for breach of contract, subject to a contractual limitation of liability, and rejected claimants' other claims. The Tribunal awarded \$118 million in damages to the SONGS co-owners, but determined that MHI was the prevailing party and awarded it 95 percent of its arbitration costs. The damage award is offset by these costs, resulting in a net award of approximately \$60 million in favor of the SONGS co-owners. SDG&E's specific allocation of the

damage award is \$24 million reduced by costs awarded to MHI of approximately \$12 million, resulting in a net damage award of \$12 million, which was paid by MHI to SDG&E in March 2017. These amounts include certain adjustments to calculations supporting the Tribunal's findings. In accordance with the Amended Settlement Agreement discussed below, which may be modified or set aside, SDG&E recorded the proceeds from the MHI arbitration by reducing Operation and Maintenance for previously incurred legal costs of \$11 million, and shared the remaining \$1 million equally between ratepayers and shareholders.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT TO RESOLVE THE CPUC'S ORDER INSTITUTING INVESTIGATION INTO THE SONGS OUTAGE

In November 2012, in response to the outage, the CPUC issued the SONGS OII, which was intended to determine the ultimate recovery of the investment in SONGS and the costs incurred since the commencement of this outage.

In November 2014, the CPUC issued a final decision approving an Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement (Amended Settlement Agreement) in the SONGS OII proceeding executed by SDG&E along with Edison, TURN, ORA and two other intervenors. The Amended Settlement Agreement does not affect ongoing or future proceedings before the NRC, or any litigation or arbitration related to potential future recoveries from third parties (except for the allocation to ratepayers of any recoveries addressed in the final decision) or any proceedings addressing decommissioning activities and costs. We describe the terms and provisions of the Amended Settlement Agreement in Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

In May 2016, following the filing of petitions for modification by various parties, the CPUC issued a procedural ruling reopening the record of the OII to address the issue of whether the Amended Settlement Agreement is reasonable and in the public interest.

In December 2016, the CPUC issued another procedural ruling directing parties to the SONGS OII to determine whether an agreement could be reached to modify the Amended Settlement Agreement previously approved by the CPUC, to resolve allegations that unreported *ex parte* communications between Edison and the CPUC resulted in an unfair advantage at the time the settlement agreement was negotiated. Pursuant to the December ruling and a subsequent procedural ruling, the parties met to confer, engaged a mediator and held confidential mediation discussions in June, July and August of 2017.

In August 2017, the parties filed status reports providing their recommendations for resolving the OII given their unsuccessful efforts at reaching a settlement through mediation. SDG&E and Edison recommend that the Amended Settlement Agreement, as adopted by the CPUC, should be affirmed and the pending intervenor petitions dismissed. Intervening parties recommend various alternative courses of action, including modifying the Amended Settlement Agreement or rejecting it in favor of litigation. In October 2017, the CPUC issued a ruling establishing a process to bring the proceeding to a conclusion. This ruling establishes a status conference and includes a preliminary schedule for additional testimony, hearings and briefings. The CPUC has not announced the expected timing for a decision. The ruling indicates that the record is adequate to allow the CPUC to determine whether the Amended Settlement Agreement should be reaffirmed. The ruling also provides for an expedited process to further develop the record in the event that the CPUC ultimately decides not to reaffirm the Amended Settlement Agreement, and instead determines a different allocation of costs to ratepayers as a result of the premature shutdown of SONGS Units 2 and 3.

There is no assurance that the Amended Settlement Agreement will not be modified or set aside as a result of the OII proceeding, which could result in a substantial reduction in our expected recovery or in payments to customers. These outcomes could have a material adverse effect on Sempra Energy's and SDG&E's results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Accounting and Financial Impacts

Through September 30, 2017, the cumulative after-tax loss from plant closure recorded by Sempra Energy and SDG&E is \$125 million. The remaining regulatory asset for the expected recovery of SONGS costs, consistent with the Amended Settlement Agreement, is \$158 million (\$35 million current and \$123 million long-term) at September 30, 2017. The amortization period prescribed for the regulatory asset is 10 years, ending in January 2022.

NUCLEAR DECOMMISSIONING AND FUNDING

As a result of Edison's decision to permanently retire SONGS Units 2 and 3, Edison began the decommissioning phase of the plant. Decommissioning of Unit 1, removed from service in 1992, is largely complete. The remaining work for Unit 1 will be done once Units 2 and 3 are dismantled. In December 2016, Edison announced that, following a 10-month competitive bid process, it had contracted with a joint venture of AECOM and EnergySolutions (known as SONGS Decommissioning Solutions) as the general contractor to complete the dismantlement of SONGS. The majority of the dismantlement work is expected to take 10 years. SDG&E is responsible for approximately 20 percent of the total contract price.

In accordance with state and federal requirements and regulations, SDG&E has assets held in the NDT to fund its share of decommissioning costs for SONGS Units 1, 2 and 3. The amounts collected in rates for SONGS' decommissioning are invested in the

NDT, which is comprised of externally managed trust funds. Amounts held by the NDT are invested in accordance with CPUC regulations. The NDT assets are presented on the Sempra Energy and SDG&E Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value with the offsetting credits recorded in Regulatory Liabilities Arising from Removal Obligations.

In April 2016, the CPUC adopted a decision approving a total decommissioning cost estimate for SONGS Units 2 and 3 of \$4.4 billion (in 2014 dollars), of which SDG&E's share is \$899 million. Except for the use of funds for the planning of decommissioning activities or NDT administrative costs, CPUC approval is required for SDG&E to access the NDT assets to fund SONGS decommissioning costs for Units 2 and 3. SDG&E has received authorization from the CPUC to access NDT funds of up to \$302 million for 2013 through 2017 (2017 forecasted) SONGS decommissioning costs. This includes up to \$84 million authorized by the CPUC in February 2017 to be withdrawn from the NDT for forecasted 2017 SONGS Units 2 and 3 costs as decommissioning costs are incurred.

In December 2016, the IRS and the U.S. Department of the Treasury issued proposed regulations that clarify the definition of "nuclear decommissioning costs," which are costs that may be paid for or reimbursed from a qualified trust fund. The proposed regulations state that costs related to the construction and maintenance of independent spent fuel management installations are included in the definition of "nuclear decommissioning costs." The proposed regulations will be effective prospectively once they are finalized; however, the IRS has stated that it will not challenge taxpayer positions consistent with the proposed regulations for taxable years ending on or after the date the proposed regulations were issued. SDG&E is seeking further clarification of the proposed regulations to confirm that the proposed regulations will allow SDG&E to access the NDT funds for reimbursement or payment of the spent fuel management costs that were or will be incurred in 2016 and subsequent years. Further clarification of the proposed regulations could enable SDG&E to access the NDT to recover spent fuel management costs before Edison reaches final settlement with the DOE regarding the DOE's reimbursement of these costs. Historically, the DOE's reimbursements of spent fuel storage costs have not resulted in timely or complete recovery of these costs. We discuss the DOE's responsibility for spent nuclear fuel in Note 11. It is unclear when clarification of the proposed regulations might be provided or when the proposed regulations will be finalized.

The following table shows the fair values and gross unrealized gains and losses for the securities held in the NDT. We provide additional fair value disclosures for the NDT in Note 8.

NUCLEAR DECOMMISSIONING TRUSTS

(Dollars in millions)

	Cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Estimated fair value
At September 30, 2017:				
Debt securities:				
Debt securities issued by the U.S. Treasury and other				
U.S. government corporations and agencies(1)	\$ 51	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 51
Municipal bonds(1)	238	7	—	245
Other securities(2)	207	4	(1)	210
Total debt securities	496	11	(1)	506
Equity securities	189	321	(2)	508
Cash and cash equivalents	27	—	—	27
Total	\$ 712	\$ 332	\$ (3)	\$ 1,041
At December 31, 2016:				
Debt securities:				
Debt securities issued by the U.S. Treasury and other				
U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 52	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 52
Municipal bonds	203	4	(1)	206
Other securities	141	2	(2)	141
Total debt securities	396	6	(3)	399
Equity securities	143	366	(1)	508
Cash and cash equivalents	119	—	—	119
Total	\$ 658	\$ 372	\$ (4)	\$ 1,026

(1) Maturity dates are 2017-2047.

(2) Maturity dates are 2017-2066.

The following table shows the proceeds from sales of securities in the NDT and gross realized gains and losses on those sales:

SALES OF SECURITIES IN THE NDT				
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>				
	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Proceeds from sales(1)	\$ 259	\$ 282	\$ 1,082	\$ 486
Gross realized gains	8	24	132	32
Gross realized losses	(3)	(3)	(11)	(14)

(1) Excludes securities that are held to maturity.

Net unrealized gains and losses, as well as realized gains and losses that are reinvested in the NDT, are included in Regulatory Liabilities Arising from Removal Obligations on Sempra Energy's and SDG&E's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. We determine the cost of securities in the trusts on the basis of specific identification. In the nine months ended September 30, 2017, sale and purchase activities in our NDT increased significantly compared to the same period in 2016 as a result of continuing changes to our asset allocations initiated in the fourth quarter of 2016 to reduce our equity volatility, lower our duration risk, and increase exposure to municipal bonds and intermediate credit. This shift in our asset mix is intended to reduce the overall risk profile of the NDT in anticipation of significant cash withdrawals over the next 10 years to fund the SONGS decommissioning.

NOTE 10. REGULATORY MATTERS

We discuss regulatory matters in Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report, and provide updates to those discussions and information about new regulatory matters below.

CALIFORNIA UTILITIES MATTERS

CPUC General Rate Case

The CPUC uses a GRC proceeding to set sufficient rates to allow the California Utilities to recover their reasonable cost of O&M and to provide the opportunity to realize their authorized rates of return on their investment.

2019 General Rate Case

On October 6, 2017, SDG&E and SoCalGas filed their 2019 GRC applications requesting CPUC approval of test year revenue requirements for 2019 and attrition year adjustments for 2020 through 2022. SDG&E and SoCalGas requested revenue requirements for 2019 of \$2,199 million and \$2,989 million, respectively, which is an increase of \$218 million and \$480 million over their respective estimated 2018 revenue requirements. The California Utilities are proposing post-test year revenue requirement changes using various adjustment factors which are estimated to result in annual increases of approximately 5 percent to 7 percent at SDG&E and approximately 6 percent to 8 percent at SoCalGas.

As part of the 2019 GRC, the CPUC will review the California Utilities' interim accountability reports which compare the authorized and actual spending for certain safety-related activities for 2014 through 2016. In June 2017, SDG&E and SoCalGas filed their first interim accountability reports comparing authorized and actual spending in 2014 and 2015 for certain safety-related activities. Similar data for 2016 was provided with the 2019 GRC filings in a second interim accountability report. The stated purpose of the interim accountability reports is to provide data and metrics for key safety and risk mitigation areas that will be considered in the 2019 GRC.

The results of the rate case may materially and adversely differ from what is contained in the GRC applications.

Risk Assessment Mitigation Phase Reporting and Impact on the 2019 GRC Filings

In December 2014, the CPUC issued a decision incorporating a risk-based decision-making framework into all future GRC application filings for major natural gas and electric utilities in California. The framework is intended to assist in assessing safety risks and the utilities' plans to help ensure that such risks are adequately addressed. In advance of filing the California Utilities' 2019 GRC applications discussed above, two proceedings occurred: the Safety Model Assessment Proceeding and the RAMP. In the Safety Model Assessment Proceeding, the California Utilities demonstrated the models used to prioritize and mitigate risks in order for the CPUC to establish guidelines and standards for these models.

In November 2016, as part of the new framework, SDG&E and SoCalGas filed their first RAMP report presenting a comprehensive assessment of their key safety risks and proposed activities for mitigating such risks. The report details these key safety risks, which include critical operational issues such as natural gas pipeline safety and wildfire safety, and addresses their classification, scoring, mitigation, alternatives, safety culture, quantitative analysis, data collection and lessons learned.

In March 2017, the CPUC's Safety and Enforcement Division issued its evaluation report providing generally favorable feedback on the California Utilities' RAMP report, but recommending more detailed analysis of the risks the California Utilities presented in the report. The new GRC framework does not require the CPUC to adopt the RAMP report. However, SDG&E and SoCalGas included funding requests in their respective 2019 GRC filings for proposed projects or activities outlined in their RAMP reports.

Senate Bill 549. In September 2017, SB 549 was signed into law, requiring that SDG&E and SoCalGas (as electric and gas corporations) annually notify the CPUC when revenue authorized by the CPUC for maintenance, safety or reliability is redirected to other purposes. This requirement is effective beginning January 1, 2018. The form of this reporting is not yet defined by the CPUC, though it could be incorporated into an ongoing proceeding or report otherwise required to be submitted to the CPUC.

2016 General Rate Case

In June 2016, the CPUC issued the 2016 GRC FD, the details of which are discussed in Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report. The 2016 GRC FD was effective retroactive to January 1, 2016.

The 2016 GRC FD required the establishment of two-way income tax expense memorandum accounts to track any revenue variances resulting from certain differences between the income tax expense forecasted in the GRC and the income tax expense incurred by SDG&E and SoCalGas from 2016 through 2018. The variances to be tracked include tax expense differences relating to:

- net revenue changes;
- mandatory tax law, tax accounting, tax procedural, or tax policy changes; and
- elective tax law, tax accounting, tax procedural, or tax policy changes.

Starting in the second quarter of 2016, SDG&E and SoCalGas began tracking the differences in the income tax expense forecasted in the GRC proceedings and the income tax expense incurred. At September 30, 2017, the recorded regulatory liability associated with these tracked amounts totaled \$45 million and \$58 million for SDG&E and SoCalGas, respectively. The recorded liability is primarily related to lower income tax expense incurred than was forecasted in the GRC relating to tax repairs deductions, self-developed software deductions and certain book-over-tax depreciation. The tracking accounts will remain open, and we expect they will be reviewed in the 2019 GRC proceedings. As of September 2017, there have been no mandatory or elective tax law, tax accounting, tax procedural, or tax policy changes that could give rise to a regulatory liability and as such, no amount has been recorded to this memorandum account related to these items.

CPUC Cost of Capital

In July 2017, the CPUC issued a final decision adopting, with certain modifications, the joint petition filed in February 2017 by SDG&E, SoCalGas, PG&E and Edison, along with ORA and TURN. The final decision provides a two-year extension for each of the utilities to file its next respective cost of capital application, extending the filing date to April 2019 for a 2020 test year. The final decision also reduces the ROE for SDG&E from 10.30 percent to 10.20 percent and for SoCalGas from 10.10 percent to 10.05 percent, effective from January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2019. SDG&E's and SoCalGas' ratemaking capital structures will remain at current levels until modified, if at all, by a future cost of capital decision by the CPUC. In September 2017, SDG&E and SoCalGas filed advice letters to update their cost of capital for the actual cost of long-term debt through August 2017 and forecasted cost through 2018. SDG&E and SoCalGas did not file for changes to preferred stock costs, because no issuances of preferred stock through 2018 are anticipated.

In October 2017, the CPUC approved the embedded cost of debt presented in the filed advice letters, resulting in a revised return on rate base for SDG&E and SoCalGas of 7.55 percent and 7.34 percent, respectively, effective January 1, 2018, as depicted in the table below:

AUTHORIZED COST OF CAPITAL AND RATE STRUCTURE – CPUC

SDG&E				SoCalGas		
Authorized weighting	Return on rate base	Weighted return on rate base		Authorized weighting	Return on rate base	Weighted return on rate base
45.25 %	4.59 %	2.08 %	Long-Term Debt	45.60 %	4.33 %	1.97 %
2.75 %	6.22 %	0.17 %	Preferred Stock	2.40 %	6.00 %	0.14 %
52.00 %	10.20 %	5.30 %	Common Equity	52.00 %	10.05 %	5.23 %
100.00 %		7.55 %		100.00 %		7.34 %

As a result of the updates included in the filed advice letters, the impact of the changes to the embedded cost of debt and return on rate base is summarized below:

IMPACT OF THE EMBEDDED COST OF DEBT				
	SDG&E		SoCalGas	
	Cost of debt	Return on rate base	Cost of debt	Return on rate base
Current	5.00 %	7.79 %	5.77 %	8.02 %
Authorized, effective January 1, 2018	4.59 %	7.55 %	4.33 %	7.34 %
Differences	(41) bps	(24) bps	(144) bps	(68) bps

The automatic CCM will be in effect to adjust 2019 cost of capital, if necessary. Unless changed by the operation of the CCM, the updated costs of long-term debt and the new ROEs will remain in effect through December 31, 2019. The cost of capital changes will also apply to capital expenditures in 2018 and 2019 for incremental projects not funded through the GRC revenue requirement.

NOTE 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We accrue losses for a legal proceeding when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. However, the uncertainties inherent in legal proceedings make it difficult to estimate with reasonable certainty the costs and effects of resolving these matters. Accordingly, actual costs incurred may differ materially from amounts accrued, may exceed applicable insurance coverage and could materially adversely affect our business, cash flows, results of operations, financial condition and prospects. Unless otherwise indicated, we are unable to estimate reasonably possible losses in excess of any amounts accrued.

At September 30, 2017, accrued liabilities for legal proceedings, including associated legal fees and costs of litigation, were \$5 million for Sempra Energy Consolidated, including \$3 million for SDG&E and \$1 million for SoCalGas. Amounts for Sempra Energy and SoCalGas include \$1 million for matters related to the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility gas leak, which we discuss below.

SDG&E

2007 Wildfire Litigation and Net Cost Recovery Status

SDG&E has resolved all litigation associated with three wildfires that occurred in October 2007, except one appeal that remains pending after judgment in the trial court. SDG&E does not expect additional plaintiffs to file lawsuits given the applicable statutes of limitation, but could receive additional settlement demands and damage estimates from the remaining plaintiff until the case is resolved. SDG&E maintains reserves for the wildfire litigation and adjusts these reserves as information becomes available and amounts are estimable.

SDG&E recorded regulatory assets for CPUC-related costs incurred to resolve wildfire claims in excess of its liability insurance coverage and the amounts recovered from third parties. In September 2015, SDG&E filed an application with the CPUC seeking authority to recover these CPUC-related costs in rates over a six- to ten-year period. The requested amount is the net estimated CPUC-related cost incurred by SDG&E after deductions for insurance reimbursement and third-party settlement recoveries, and reflects a voluntary 10-percent shareholder contribution applied to the net regulatory asset for wildfire costs. In response to our application seeking recovery, in April 2016, the CPUC issued a ruling establishing the scope and schedule for the proceeding to be managed in two phases. Phase 1 addresses SDG&E's operational and management prudence surrounding the 2007 wildfires. Phase 2 addresses whether SDG&E's actions and decision-making in connection with settling legal claims in relation to the wildfires were reasonable. On August 22, 2017, two ALJs in the CPUC proceeding issued a proposed decision denying SDG&E's request to recover the 2007 wildfire costs submitted in our application.

In consideration of the proposed decision denying recovery of these costs, and the actions taken and not taken by the CPUC subsequent to issuance of the proposed decision (including the actions not taken through the October 26, 2017 CPUC meeting), we have concluded that the wildfire regulatory asset no longer meets the probability threshold for recovery required by U.S. GAAP. Accordingly, SDG&E impaired the wildfire regulatory asset, resulting in a charge of \$351 million (\$208 million after-tax) in the third quarter of 2017, in Impairment of Wildfire Regulatory Asset on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for Sempra

Energy and SDG&E. SDG&E will continue to vigorously pursue recovery of these costs, which were incurred through settling claims brought under inverse condemnation laws.

If the proposed decision is adopted by the CPUC and is not overturned through rehearing or appeal, Phase 2 of the proceeding would be rendered moot and the proceeding would be closed. In such case, SDG&E would apply to the CPUC for rehearing of its decision within 30 days, upon which the CPUC may grant a rehearing, modify its decision, or deny the request and affirm its original decision. Ultimately, SDG&E has the right to file a petition with the Court of Appeal of California seeking to reverse the CPUC's decision, and we will appeal the decision, if necessary. We expect a CPUC final decision in the fourth quarter of 2017.

Concluded Matter

SDG&E participated as a claimant and respondent in an arbitration proceeding initiated by Edison in October 2013 against MHI seeking damages stemming from the failure of the MHI replacement steam generators at the SONGS nuclear power plant. In March 2017, the Tribunal found MHI liable for breach of contract, subject to a contractual limitation of liability, but determined that MHI was the prevailing party and awarded it 95 percent of its arbitration costs. We discuss this arbitration and decision further in Note 9.

SoCalGas

Aliso Canyon Natural Gas Storage Facility Gas Leak

On October 23, 2015, SoCalGas discovered a leak at one of its injection-and-withdrawal wells, SS25, at its Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility (the Leak), located in the northern part of the San Fernando Valley in Los Angeles County. The Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility has been operated by SoCalGas since 1972. SS25 is one of more than 100 injection-and-withdrawal wells at the storage facility.

Stopping the Leak, and Local Community Mitigation Efforts. SoCalGas worked closely with several of the world's leading experts to stop the Leak, and on February 18, 2016, DOGGR confirmed that the well was permanently sealed.

Pursuant to a stipulation and order by the LA Superior Court, SoCalGas provided temporary relocation support to residents in the nearby community who requested it before the well was permanently sealed. Following the permanent sealing of the well, the DPH conducted testing in certain homes in the Porter Ranch community, and concluded that indoor conditions did not present a long-term health risk and that it was safe for residents to return home. In May 2016, the LA Superior Court ordered SoCalGas to offer to clean residents' homes at SoCalGas' expense as a condition to ending the relocation program. SoCalGas completed the residential cleaning program and the relocation program ended in July 2016.

In May 2016, the DPH also issued a directive that SoCalGas additionally professionally clean (in accordance with the proposed protocol prepared by the DPH) the homes of all residents located within the Porter Ranch Neighborhood Council boundary, or who participated in the relocation program, or who are located within a five-mile radius of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility and experienced symptoms from the Leak (the Directive). SoCalGas disputes the Directive, contending that it is invalid and unenforceable, and has filed a petition for writ of mandate to set aside the Directive.

The total costs incurred to remediate and stop the Leak and to mitigate local community impacts are significant and may increase, and we may be subject to potentially significant damages, restitution, and civil, administrative and criminal fines, costs and other penalties. To the extent any of these costs are not covered by insurance (including any costs in excess of applicable policy limits), or if there were to be significant delays in receiving insurance recoveries, such costs could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Cost Estimates and Accounting Impact. As of September 30, 2017, SoCalGas recorded estimated costs of \$841 million related to the Leak. Of this amount, approximately two-thirds is for the temporary relocation program (including cleaning costs and certain labor costs). Other estimated costs include amounts for efforts to control the well, stop the Leak, stop or reduce the emissions, and the estimated cost of the root cause analysis being conducted by an independent third party to investigate the cause of the Leak. The remaining portion of the \$841 million includes legal costs incurred to defend litigation, the value of lost gas, the costs to mitigate the actual natural gas released, and other costs. The value of lost gas reflects the current replacement cost of volumes purchased in September 2017 and estimates of the cost to replace the remaining volumes. SoCalGas adjusts its estimated total liability associated with the Leak as additional information becomes available. The \$841 million represents management's best estimate of these costs related to the Leak. Of these costs, a substantial portion has been paid and \$42 million is accrued as Reserve for Aliso Canyon Costs as of September 30, 2017 on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets for amounts expected to be paid after September 30, 2017.

As of September 30, 2017, we recorded the expected recovery of the costs described in the immediately preceding paragraph related to the Leak of \$542 million as Insurance Receivable for Aliso Canyon Costs on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. This amount is net of insurance retentions and \$294 million of insurance proceeds we received through September 30, 2017 related to control-of-well expenses and temporary relocation costs. If we were to conclude that this receivable or a

portion of it was no longer probable of recovery from insurers, some or all of this receivable would be charged against earnings, which could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

The above amounts do not include any unsettled damage claims, restitution, or civil, administrative or criminal fines, costs or other penalties that may be imposed in connection with the incident or our responses thereto, as it is not possible to predict the outcome of any civil or criminal proceeding or any administrative action in which such damage awards, restitution or civil, administrative or criminal fines, costs or other penalties could be imposed, and any such amounts, if awarded or imposed or otherwise paid, cannot be reasonably estimated at this time. In addition, the recorded amounts above do not include the costs to clean additional homes pursuant to the Directive, future legal costs necessary to defend litigation, and other potential costs that we currently do not anticipate incurring or that we cannot reasonably estimate.

In March 2016, the CPUC ordered SoCalGas to establish a memorandum account to prospectively track its authorized revenue requirement and all revenues that it receives for its normal, business-as-usual costs to own and operate the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility and, in September 2016, approved SoCalGas' request to begin tracking these revenues as of March 17, 2016. The CPUC will determine at a later time whether, and to what extent, the authorized revenues tracked in the memorandum account may be refunded to ratepayers.

Insurance. Excluding directors and officers liability insurance, we have four kinds of insurance policies that together provide between \$1.2 billion to \$1.4 billion in insurance coverage, depending on the nature of the claims. We cannot predict all of the potential categories of costs or the total amount of costs that we may incur as a result of the Leak. Subject to various policy limits, exclusions and conditions, based on what we know as of the filing date of this report, we believe that our insurance policies collectively should cover the following categories of costs: costs incurred for temporary relocation (including cleaning costs and certain labor costs), costs to address the Leak and stop or reduce emissions, the root cause analysis being conducted to investigate the cause of the Leak, the value of lost natural gas, costs incurred to mitigate the actual natural gas released, costs associated with litigation and claims by nearby residents and businesses, any costs to clean additional homes pursuant to the Directive, and, in some circumstances depending on their nature and manner of assessment, fines and penalties. We have been communicating with our insurance carriers and, as discussed above, we have received insurance payments for a portion of control-of-well expenses and a portion of temporary relocation costs. We intend to pursue the full extent of our insurance coverage for the costs we have incurred or may incur. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining insurance coverage for these costs under the applicable policies, and to the extent we are not successful in obtaining coverage or these costs exceed the amount of our coverage, such costs could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Our recorded estimate as of September 30, 2017 of \$841 million of certain costs in connection with the Leak may rise significantly as more information becomes available, and any costs not included in our estimate could be material. To the extent not covered by insurance (including any costs in excess of applicable policy limits), or if there were to be significant delays in receiving insurance recoveries, such costs could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Governmental Investigations and Civil and Criminal Litigation. Various governmental agencies, including DOGGR, DPH, SCAQMD, CARB, Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, California Division of Occupational Safety and Health, CPUC, PHMSA, EPA, Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office and California Attorney General's Office, have investigated or are investigating this incident. Other federal agencies (e.g., the DOE and the U.S. Department of the Interior) investigated the incident as part of a joint interagency task force. In January 2016, DOGGR and the CPUC selected Blade to conduct an independent analysis under their supervision and to be funded by SoCalGas to investigate the technical root cause of the Leak. The timing of the root cause analysis is under the control of Blade, DOGGR and the CPUC.

As of October 26, 2017, 344 lawsuits, including over 43,826 plaintiffs, are pending in the LA Superior Court against SoCalGas, some of which have also named Sempra Energy. These various lawsuits assert causes of action for negligence, negligence per se, strict liability, property damage, fraud, public and private nuisance (continuing and permanent), trespass, inverse condemnation, fraudulent concealment, unfair business practices and loss of consortium, among other things, and additional litigation may be filed against us in the future related to this incident. A complaint alleging violations of Proposition 65 was also filed. These complaints seek compensatory and punitive damages, civil penalties, injunctive relief, costs of future medical monitoring and attorneys' fees, and several seek class action status. All of these cases, other than a matter brought by the Los Angeles County District Attorney and the federal securities class action discussed below, are coordinated before a single court in the LA County Superior Court for pretrial management (the Coordination Proceeding).

In addition to the lawsuits described above, a federal securities class action alleging violation of the federal securities laws has been filed against Sempra Energy and certain of its officers and certain of its directors in the SDCA. In June 2017, the SDCA dismissed the federal securities class action on the grounds the plaintiff failed to plead sufficient facts to establish a claim for securities fraud. In July 2017, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint, again alleging violation of the federal securities laws, and five shareholder derivative actions are also pending in the Coordination Proceeding alleging breach of fiduciary duties against certain officers and certain

directors of Sempra Energy and/or SoCalGas, four of which were joined in a Consolidated Shareholder Derivative Complaint in August 2017. In March 2017, the SDCA dismissed a shareholder derivative action pending in that court, ruling that the plaintiff did not have standing to pursue the alleged claims; the plaintiff did not seek to amend his complaint to cure its deficiencies.

Pursuant to the Coordination Proceeding, in March 2017, the individuals and business entities asserting tort and Proposition 65 claims filed a Second Amended Consolidated Master Case Complaint for Individual Actions, through which their separate lawsuits will be managed for pretrial purposes. The consolidated complaint asserts causes of action for negligence, negligence per se, private and public nuisance (continuing and permanent), trespass, inverse condemnation, strict liability, negligent and intentional infliction of emotional distress, fraudulent concealment, loss of consortium and violations of Proposition 65 against SoCalGas, with certain causes also naming Sempra Energy. The consolidated complaint seeks compensatory and punitive damages for personal injuries, lost wages and/or lost profits, property damage and diminution in property value, injunctive relief, costs of future medical monitoring, civil penalties (including penalties associated with Proposition 65 claims alleging violation of requirements for warning about certain chemical exposures), and attorneys' fees.

In January 2017, pursuant to the Coordination Proceeding, two consolidated class action complaints were filed against SoCalGas and Sempra Energy, one on behalf of a putative class of persons and businesses who own or lease real property within a five-mile radius of the well (the Property Class Action), and a second on behalf of a putative class of all persons and entities conducting business within five miles of the facility (the Business Class Action). Both complaints assert claims for strict liability for ultra-hazardous activities, negligence and violation of California Unfair Competition Law. The Property Class Action also asserts claims for negligence per se, trespass, permanent and continuing public and private nuisance, and inverse condemnation. The Business Class Action also asserts a claim for negligent interference with prospective economic advantage. Both complaints seek compensatory, statutory and punitive damages, injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Three actions filed by public entities are pending, as follows. These lawsuits are also included in the Coordination Proceeding. First, in July 2016, the County of Los Angeles, on behalf of itself and the people of the State of California, filed a complaint against SoCalGas in the LA Superior Court for public nuisance, unfair competition, breach of franchise agreement, breach of lease, and damages. This suit alleges that the four natural gas storage fields operated by SoCalGas in Los Angeles County require safety upgrades, including the installation of a sub-surface safety shut-off valve on every well. It additionally alleges that SoCalGas failed to comply with the DPH Directive. It seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, civil penalties, and damages for the County's costs to respond to the Leak, as well as punitive damages and attorneys' fees.

Second, in August 2016, the California Attorney General, acting in an independent capacity and on behalf of the people of the State of California and the CARB, together with the Los Angeles City Attorney, filed a third amended complaint on behalf of the people of the State of California against SoCalGas alleging public nuisance, violation of the California Unfair Competition Law, violations of California Health and Safety Code sections 41700 (prohibiting discharge of air contaminants that cause annoyance to the public) and 25510 (requiring reporting of the release of hazardous material), as well as California Government Code section 12607 for equitable relief for the protection of natural resources. The complaint seeks an order for injunctive relief, to abate the public nuisance, and to impose civil penalties.

Third, in March 2017, the County of Los Angeles filed a petition for writ of mandate against DOGGR and its State Oil and Gas Supervisor, as to which SoCalGas is the real party in interest. In July 2017, the County amended the petition to add the CPUC and its Executive Director. The petition alleges that in issuing its July 19, 2017 determination that the requirements for the resumption of injection operations have been met, discussed under "Natural Gas Storage Operations and Reliability" below, DOGGR failed to comply with the provisions of SB 380, which requires a comprehensive safety review of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility before injection of natural gas may resume. The County alleges, among other things, that DOGGR failed to comply with the provisions of SB 380 in declaring the safety review complete and authorizing the resumption of injection of natural gas into the facility before the root cause analysis was complete, failing to make its safety-review documents available to the public and failing to address seismic risks to the field as part of its safety review. The County further alleges that CEQA requires DOGGR to perform an Environmental Impact Review before the resumption of injection of natural gas at the facility may be approved. The petition seeks a writ of mandate requiring DOGGR and the State Oil and Gas Supervisor to comply with SB 380 and CEQA, and to produce records in response to the County's Public Records Act request; as well as, declaratory and injunctive relief against any authorization to inject natural gas and attorneys' fees. On July 24, 2017, the County filed an application for an immediate stay of DOGGR's order, a temporary restraining order and order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not be issued to stop the reopening of the facility. On July 28, 2017, the Superior Court denied the application on the ground that, pursuant to Public Utilities Code sections 714 and 1759(a), the CPUC has jurisdiction over regulating injections at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility, and the Court therefore lacks jurisdiction to rule on the County's application. On July 31, 2017, the County filed a petition for writ of mandate, prohibition, stay or other appropriate relief and a request for immediate stay in the Court of Appeal, seeking review of the Superior Court's order denying the County's application for a temporary restraining order. Later the same day, the Court of Appeal denied the County's request for an immediate stay on injections.

A complaint filed by the SCAQMD against SoCalGas seeking civil penalties for alleged violations of several nuisance-related statutory provisions arising from the Leak and delays in stopping the Leak was settled in February 2017, pursuant to which SoCalGas paid \$8.5 million, of which \$1 million is to be used to pay for a health study. The SCAQMD's complaint was dismissed in February 2017.

Separately, in February 2016, the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office filed a misdemeanor criminal complaint against SoCalGas seeking penalties and other remedies for alleged failure to provide timely notice of the Leak pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25510(a), Los Angeles County Code section 12.56.030, and Title 19 California Code of Regulations section 2703(a), and for allegedly violating California Health and Safety Code section 41700 prohibiting discharge of air contaminants that cause annoyance to the public. Pursuant to a settlement agreement with the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office, SoCalGas agreed to plead no contest to the notice charge under Health and Safety Code section 25510(a) and agreed to pay the maximum fine of \$75,000, penalty assessments of approximately \$233,500, and operational commitments estimated to cost approximately \$5 million, reimbursement and assessments in exchange for the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office moving to dismiss the remaining counts at sentencing and settling the complaint (the District Attorney Settlement). In November 2016, SoCalGas completed the commitments and obligations under the District Attorney Settlement, and on November 29, 2016, the Court approved the settlement and entered judgment on the notice charge. Certain individuals residing near the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility who objected to the settlement have filed a notice of appeal of the judgment, as well as a petition asking the LA Superior Court to set aside the November 29, 2016 order and grant them restitution. The LA Superior Court dismissed the petition in January 2017, ruling that the petitioners have a remedy at law via their direct appeal.

The costs of defending against these civil and criminal lawsuits, cooperating with these investigations, and any damages, restitution, and civil, administrative and criminal fines, costs and other penalties, if awarded or imposed, as well as the costs of mitigating the actual natural gas released, could be significant and to the extent not covered by insurance (including any costs in excess of applicable policy limits), or if there were to be significant delays in receiving insurance recoveries, such costs could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Regulatory Proceedings. In February 2017, the CPUC opened a proceeding pursuant to SB 380 to determine the feasibility of minimizing or eliminating the use of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility, while still maintaining energy and electric reliability for the region. The proceeding will be conducted in two phases, with Phase 1 undertaking a comprehensive effort to develop the appropriate analyses and scenarios to evaluate the impact of reducing or eliminating the use of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility and Phase 2 evaluating the impacts of reducing or eliminating the use of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility using the scenarios and models adopted in Phase 1. In accordance with the Phase 1 schedule, public participation hearings began in April 2017, and workshops and additional public participation hearings are expected to occur later in 2017.

The order establishing the scope of the proceeding expressly excludes issues with respect to air quality, public health, causation, culpability or cost responsibility regarding the Leak.

Section 455.5 of the California Public Utilities Code, among other things, directs regulated utilities to notify the CPUC if all or any portion of a major facility has been out of service for nine consecutive months. Although SoCalGas does not believe the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility or any portion of that facility has been out of service for nine consecutive months, SoCalGas provided notification out of an abundance of caution to demonstrate its commitment to regulatory compliance and transparency, and because the process for obtaining authorization to resume injection operations at the facility required longer to complete than initially contemplated. In response, and as required by section 455.5, the CPUC issued an OII to address whether the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility or any portion of that facility has been out of service for nine consecutive months pursuant to section 455.5, and if it is determined to have been out of service, whether the CPUC should adjust SoCalGas' rates to reflect the period the facility is deemed to have been out of service. Under section 455.5, hearings on the investigation are to be held, if necessary, in conjunction with SoCalGas' 2019 GRC proceeding; however, the CPUC issued a procedural schedule that includes an evidentiary hearing on January 9, 2018, if needed. If the CPUC determines that all or any portion of the facility has been out of service for nine consecutive months, the amount of any refund to ratepayers and the inability to earn a return on those assets could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Governmental Orders and Additional Regulation. In January 2016, the Governor of the State of California issued an Order (the Governor's Order) proclaiming a state of emergency to exist in Los Angeles County due to the Leak. The Governor's Order imposes various orders with respect to: stopping the Leak; protecting public health and safety; ensuring accountability; and strengthening oversight. Most of the directives in the Governor's Order have been fulfilled, with the following remaining open items: (1) applicable agencies must convene an independent panel of scientific and medical experts to review public health concerns stemming from the natural gas leak and evaluate whether additional measures are needed to protect public health; (2) the CPUC must ensure that SoCalGas covers costs related to the natural gas leak and its response, while protecting ratepayers, and CARB was ordered to develop a program to fully mitigate the leak's emissions of methane by March 31, 2016, with such program to be funded by SoCalGas; and (3) DOGGR, CPUC, CARB and the CEC must submit to the Governor's Office a report that assesses the long-term viability of natural gas storage facilities in California.

In December 2015, SoCalGas made a commitment to mitigate the actual natural gas released from the Leak and has been working on a plan to accomplish the mitigation. In March 2016, pursuant to the Governor's Order, the CARB issued its *Aliso Canyon Methane Leak Climate Impacts Mitigation Program*, which set forth its recommended approach to achieve full mitigation of the emissions from the Leak. The CARB program requires that reductions in short-lived climate pollutants and other greenhouse gases be at least equivalent to the amount of the emissions from the Leak, and that the amount of reductions required be derived using the global warming potential based on a 20-year term (rather than the 100-year term the CARB and other state and federal agencies use in regulating emissions), resulting in a target of approximately 9,000,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent. CARB's program also calls for all of the mitigation to occur in California over the next five to ten years without the use of allowances or offsets. In October 2016, CARB issued its final report concluding that the incident resulted in total emissions from 90,350 to 108,950 metric tons of methane, and asserting that SoCalGas should mitigate 109,000 metric tons of methane to fully mitigate the greenhouse gas impacts of the Leak. We have not agreed with CARB's estimate of methane released and continue to work with CARB on developing a mitigation plan.

In January 2016, the Hearing Board of the SCAQMD ordered SoCalGas to take various actions in connection with injecting and withdrawing natural gas at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility, sealing the well, monitoring, reporting, safety and funding a health impact study, among other things (the Abatement Order), which was agreed to be satisfied by the SCAQMD, and terminated by the Hearing Board in March 2017.

PHMSA, DOGGR, SCAQMD, EPA and CARB each commenced separate rulemaking proceedings to adopt further regulations covering natural gas storage facilities and injection wells. DOGGR issued new regulations following the Governor's Order as described above, and in 2016, the California Legislature enacted four separate bills providing for additional regulation of natural gas storage facilities. Additional hearings in the California Legislature, as well as with various other federal and state regulatory agencies, have been or may be scheduled, additional legislation has been proposed in the California Legislature, and additional laws, orders, rules and regulations may be adopted.

Higher operating costs and additional capital expenditures incurred by SoCalGas as a result of new laws, orders, rules and regulations arising out of this incident or our responses thereto could be significant and may not be recoverable in customer rates, and such new laws, orders, rules and regulations could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Natural Gas Storage Operations and Reliability. Natural gas withdrawn from storage is important for service reliability during peak demand periods, including peak electric generation needs in the summer and heating needs in the winter. The Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility, with a storage capacity of 86 Bcf (which represents 63 percent of SoCalGas' natural gas storage inventory capacity), is the largest SoCalGas storage facility and an important element of SoCalGas' delivery system. SoCalGas calculated that approximately 4.62 Bcf of natural gas was released from the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility as a result of the Leak. SoCalGas did not inject natural gas into the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility after October 25, 2015, pursuant to orders by DOGGR and the Governor, and in accordance with SB 380. Limited withdrawals of natural gas from the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility have been made in 2017 to augment natural gas supplies during critical demand periods.

The process to begin limited injection operations at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility was initiated in November 2016, when SoCalGas submitted a request to DOGGR seeking authorization to resume injection operations at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility. In accordance with SB 380, DOGGR held public meetings in the affected community to provide the public an opportunity to comment on the safety review findings (the comment period has expired). Also, in April and June of 2017, SoCalGas advised the CAISO, CEC, CPUC and PHMSA of its concerns that the inability to inject natural gas into the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility poses a risk to energy reliability in Southern California.

On July 19, 2017, DOGGR issued its determination that the requirements of SB 380 for the resumption of injection operations, including all safety requirements, have been met. On the same date, the CPUC's Executive Director issued his concurrence with that determination, and DOGGR issued its *Order to: Test and Take Temporary Actions Upon Resuming Injection: Aliso Canyon Gas Storage Facility*. The order lifted the prohibition on injection at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility, subject to its requirements that SoCalGas conduct and report results of a leak survey and measurement of total site methane emissions before resuming injection operations, as well as other requirements after injection resumes. The CPUC additionally issued a directive to SoCalGas to maintain a range of working gas in the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility at a target of 23.6 Bcf (approximately 28 percent of its maximum capacity), and at all times above 14.8 Bcf. DOGGR's findings require SoCalGas to continue to operate the facility under restrictions that limit the rate at which it is able to withdraw natural gas from the field. The County of Los Angeles has filed a petition for writ of mandate seeking declaratory and injunctive relief and stay of DOGGR's order lifting the prohibition against injecting natural gas at the facility. We provide further detail regarding the County of Los Angeles' suit above in "Governmental Investigations and Civil and Criminal Litigation." Also on July 19, 2017, the CEC released a letter to the CPUC indicating that its staff is prepared to work with the CPUC and other agencies on a plan to phase out the use of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility within ten years. The CEC and other stakeholders will be providing input into the SB 380 proceeding underway at the CPUC that

addresses the future of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility. Having completed the steps outlined by state agencies to safely begin injections at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility, as of July 31, 2017, SoCalGas resumed limited injections.

If the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility were determined to be out of service for any meaningful period of time or permanently closed, or if future cash flows were otherwise insufficient to recover its carrying value, it could result in an impairment of the facility and significantly higher than expected operating costs and/or additional capital expenditures, and natural gas reliability and electric generation could be jeopardized. At September 30, 2017, the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility has a net book value of \$609 million, including \$244 million of construction work in progress for the project to construct a new compression station. Any significant impairment of this asset could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's results of operations for the period in which it is recorded. Higher operating costs and additional capital expenditures incurred by SoCalGas may not be recoverable in customer rates, and could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Sempra Mexico

Property Disputes and Permit Challenges

Energía Costa Azul. Sempra Mexico has been engaged in a long-running land dispute relating to property adjacent to its Energía Costa Azul LNG terminal near Ensenada, Mexico. A claimant to the adjacent property filed complaints in the federal Agrarian Court challenging the refusal of the SEDATU in 2006 to issue a title to him for the disputed property. In November 2013, the federal Agrarian Court ordered that SEDATU issue the requested title and cause it to be registered. Both SEDATU and Sempra Mexico challenged the ruling, due to lack of notification of the underlying process. Both challenges are pending to be resolved by a Federal Court in Mexico. Sempra Mexico expects additional proceedings regarding the claims.

The property claimant also filed a lawsuit in July 2010 against Sempra Energy in Federal District Court in San Diego seeking compensatory and punitive damages as well as the earnings from the Energía Costa Azul LNG terminal based on his allegations that he was wrongfully evicted from the adjacent property and that he has been harmed by other allegedly improper actions. In September 2015, the Court granted Sempra Energy's motion for summary judgment and closed the case. The claimant appealed the summary judgment and an earlier order dismissing certain of his causes of action. In July 2017, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal issued a ruling affirming the summary judgment and dismissal of his other causes of action, except one alleging theft of personal property in connection with the alleged eviction. In September 2017, the District Court dismissed the remaining claim.

Additionally, several administrative challenges are pending in Mexico before the Mexican environmental protection agency and the Federal Tax and Administrative Courts seeking revocation of the environmental impact authorization issued to Energía Costa Azul in 2003. These cases generally allege that the conditions and mitigation measures in the environmental impact authorization are inadequate and challenge findings that the activities of the terminal are consistent with regional development guidelines.

Two real property cases have been filed against Energía Costa Azul. In one, the plaintiffs seek to annul the recorded property title for a parcel on which the Energía Costa Azul LNG terminal is situated and to obtain possession of a different parcel that allegedly sits in the same place. A second complaint was served in April 2013 seeking to invalidate the contract by which Energía Costa Azul purchased another of the terminal parcels, on the grounds the purchase price was unfair. In January 2016, the second complaint was dismissed by the Federal Agrarian Court. Sempra Mexico expects further proceedings on these two matters.

Guaymas-El Oro Segment of the Sonora Pipeline. IEnova's Sonora natural gas pipeline consists of two segments, the Sasabe-Puerto Libertad-Guaymas segment, and the Guaymas-El Oro segment. Each segment has its own service agreement with the CFE. In 2015, the Yaqui tribe, with the exception of some members living in the BÁCUM community, granted its consent and a right-of-way easement agreement for the construction of the Guaymas-El Oro segment of the Sonora natural gas pipeline that crosses its territory. Representatives of the BÁCUM community filed an amparo in Mexican Federal Court demanding the right to withhold consent for the project, the stoppage of work in the Yaqui territory and damages. The judge granted a suspension order that prohibited the construction of such segment through the BÁCUM community territory. Because the pipeline does not pass through the BÁCUM community, IEnova did not believe the order prohibited construction in the remainder of the Yaqui territory. As a result of the dispute, however, IEnova was delayed in the construction of the approximately 14 kilometers of pipeline that pass through territory of the Yaqui tribe. The CFE agreed to extend the deadline for commercial operations until the second quarter of 2017. Construction of the Guaymas-El Oro segment is complete, and commercial operations began in May 2017. Following the start of commercial operations, an appellate court ruled that the scope of the suspension encompassed the wider Yaqui territory. The amparo remains pending. IEnova has subsequently reported damage and declared a force majeure event for the Guaymas-El Oro segment of the Sonora pipeline in the Yaqui territory that has interrupted its operations since August 23, 2017. There is no economic impact as of September 30, 2017. The Sasabe-Puerto Libertad-Guaymas segment remains in full operation.

Energía Sierra Juárez. In December 2012, Backcountry Against Dumps, Donna Tisdale and the Protect Our Communities Foundation filed a complaint in the SDCA seeking to invalidate the presidential permit issued by the DOE for Energía Sierra Juárez's

cross-border generation tie line connecting the Energía Sierra Juárez wind project in Mexico to the electric grid in the United States. The suit alleged violations of the NEPA, the Endangered Species Act, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. Plaintiffs filed a motion for summary judgment, which the court largely denied in September 2015. One NEPA claim, however, was not resolved – whether the Environmental Impact Statement’s assessment of alleged extraterritorial impacts of the generation tie line in the United States on the environment in Mexico was inadequate (the “extraterritorial impact issue”) – and was the subject of additional briefing in 2016. On January 30, 2017, the Court issued a ruling on the extraterritorial impact issue and, contrary to its prior ruling, ruled that the Environmental Impact Statement was deficient for not considering the effects in Mexico of both the U.S. and Mexican portion of the generation tie line and the wind farm itself. On August 29, 2017, the Court denied the plaintiffs request to vacate the presidential permit or enjoin operation of the tie line and remanded the case to the DOE for preparation of a supplemental Environmental Impact Statement that addresses the deficiencies identified by the Court, and entered judgment ending the case.

Other Litigation

Sempra Energy holds a noncontrolling interest in RBS Sempra Commodities, a limited liability partnership in the process of being liquidated. RBS, our partner in the joint venture, paid an £86 million assessment in October 2014 to HMRC for denied VAT refund claims filed in connection with the purchase of carbon credit allowances by RBS SEE, a subsidiary of RBS Sempra Commodities. RBS SEE has since been sold to JP Morgan and later to Mercuria Energy Group, Ltd. HMRC asserted that RBS was not entitled to reduce its VAT liability by VAT paid on certain carbon credit purchases during 2009 because RBS knew or should have known that certain vendors in the trading chain did not remit their own VAT to HMRC. After paying the assessment, RBS filed a Notice of Appeal of the assessment with the First-Tier Tribunal. The First-Tier Tribunal held a preliminary hearing in September 2016 to determine whether HMRC’s assessment was time-barred. In January 2017, the First-Tier Tribunal issued a decision in favor of HMRC concluding that the assessment was not time-barred. RBS has decided not to appeal the First-Tier Tribunal’s decision to the Upper Tribunal. There will be a hearing on the substantive matter regarding whether RBS knew or should have known that certain vendors in the trading chain did not remit their VAT to HMRC.

During 2015, liquidators, acting on behalf of ten companies (the Companies) that engaged in carbon credit trading via chains that included a company that RBS SEE traded with directly, filed a claim in the High Court of Justice asserting damages of £160 million against RBS and Mercuria Energy Europe Trading Limited (the Defendants). The claim alleges that the Defendants’ participation in the purchase and sale of carbon credits resulted in the Companies’ carbon credit trading transactions creating a VAT liability they were unable to pay. The £160 million is comprised of a claim by the Companies for £80 million for equitable compensation due to dishonest assistance, and a claim by the Liquidators for compensation in the same amount under the Insolvency Act of 1986. The parties have agreed that to the extent the Companies’ claims are successful, the liquidators cannot collect under the Insolvency Act of 1986, however, the award amount is ultimately determined by the Court. Trial of the matter has been set for the summer of 2018. JP Morgan has notified us that Mercuria Energy Group, Ltd. has sought indemnity for the claim, and JP Morgan has in turn sought indemnity from us and RBS.

Our remaining investment in RBS Sempra Commodities of \$67 million at September 30, 2017 is accounted for under the equity method and reflects remaining distributions expected to be received from the partnership as it is liquidated. The timing and amount of distributions may be impacted by these matters.

We are also defendants in ordinary routine litigation incidental to our businesses, including personal injury, employment litigation, product liability, property damage and other claims. Juries have demonstrated an increasing willingness to grant large awards, including punitive damages, in these types of cases.

CONTRACTUAL COMMITMENTS

We discuss below significant changes in the first nine months of 2017 to contractual commitments discussed in Notes 1 and 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

Natural Gas Contracts

Sempra LNG & Midstream’s natural gas purchase and transportation commitments have decreased by \$188 million since December 31, 2016, primarily due to payments on existing contracts and changes in forward natural gas prices in the first nine months of 2017. Net future payments are expected to decrease by \$192 million in 2017 and increase by \$3 million in 2018 and \$1 million thereafter compared to December 31, 2016.

In May 2016, Sempra LNG & Midstream permanently released certain pipeline capacity that it held with Rockies Express and others, which we discuss in Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report. The effect of the permanent capacity releases resulted in a pretax charge of \$206 million (\$123 million after-tax) in the second quarter of 2016, recorded in Other Cost of Sales on the Sempra Energy Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. In May 2017, Sempra LNG & Midstream

received settlement proceeds of \$57 million from a breach of contract claim against a counterparty in bankruptcy court. Of the total proceeds, \$47 million related to the \$206 million charge we recorded in 2016 resulting from the permanent release of certain pipeline capacity. Sempra LNG & Midstream recorded the settlement proceeds as a reduction to Other Cost of Sales on Sempra Energy's Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations in the second quarter of 2017.

LNG Purchase Agreement

Sempra LNG & Midstream has a purchase agreement for the supply of LNG to the Energía Costa Azul terminal. The commitment amount is calculated using a predetermined formula based on estimated forward prices of the index applicable from 2017 to 2029. At September 30, 2017, the commitment amount is expected to decrease by \$425 million in 2017, \$40 million in 2018, \$22 million in 2019, \$32 million in 2020, \$37 million in 2021 and \$775 million thereafter (through contract termination in 2029) compared to December 31, 2016, reflecting changes in estimated forward prices since December 31, 2016 and actual transactions for the first nine months of 2017. These LNG commitment amounts are based on the assumption that all cargoes, less those already confirmed to be diverted, under the agreement are delivered. Although this agreement specifies a number of cargoes to be delivered, under its terms, the customer may divert certain cargoes, which would reduce amounts paid under the agreement by Sempra LNG & Midstream. Actual LNG purchases in the current and prior years have been significantly lower than the maximum amount provided under the agreement due to the customer electing to divert cargoes as allowed by the agreement.

Capital Leases – Power Purchase Agreements

In 2015, SDG&E entered into a CPUC-approved 25-year PPA with a peaker power plant facility. Construction of the peaker power plant facility was completed and delivery of contracted power commenced in June 2017, at which time we recorded a \$500 million capital lease obligation on SDG&E's and Sempra Energy's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. We discuss commitments related to this capital lease obligation in Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

In the first quarter of 2017, SDG&E satisfied all of the conditions precedent for a CPUC-approved 20-year PPA with a 500-MW power plant facility that is under construction. Beginning with the initial delivery of the contracted power, scheduled in 2018, the PPA will be accounted for as a capital lease. Future minimum lease payments under the new PPA are as follows:

FUTURE MINIMUM PAYMENTS – POWER PURCHASE AGREEMENT

(Dollars in millions)

2017	\$	—
2018		88
2019		105
2020		105
2021		105
Thereafter		1,706
Total minimum lease payments(1)		2,109
Less: interest(2)		(1,559)
Present value of net minimum lease payments	\$	550

(1) This amount will be recorded over the life of the lease as Cost of Electric Fuel and Purchased Power on Sempra Energy's and SDG&E's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. This expense will receive ratemaking treatment consistent with purchased-power costs, which are recovered in rates.

(2) Amount necessary to reduce net minimum lease payments to estimated present value at the inception of the lease.

The entities that own the peaker plant facilities are VIEs of which SDG&E is not the primary beneficiary. SDG&E does not have any additional implicit or explicit financial responsibility related to these VIEs.

Construction and Development Projects

SDG&E

In the first nine months of 2017, significant net increases to contractual commitments at SDG&E were \$23 million, primarily for construction and infrastructure improvements for transmission systems. Net future payments under these contractual commitments are expected to increase by \$2 million in 2017 and \$26 million in 2018, decrease by \$7 million in 2019, increase by \$7 million in 2020 and \$2 million in 2021, and decrease by \$7 million thereafter compared to December 31, 2016.

Sempra Renewables

In the first nine months of 2017, significant net increases to contractual commitments at Sempra Renewables were \$155 million, primarily for contracts related to the construction of renewable energy projects. Net future payments under these contractual

commitments are expected to increase total commitments by \$124 million in 2017, \$26 million in 2018, \$2 million in both 2019 and 2020 and \$1 million in 2021 compared to December 31, 2016.

NUCLEAR INSURANCE

SDG&E and the other owners of SONGS have insurance to cover claims from nuclear liability incidents arising at SONGS. This insurance provides \$450 million in coverage limits, the maximum amount available, including coverage for acts of terrorism. In addition, the Price-Anderson Act provides for up to \$13 billion of SFP. If a nuclear liability loss occurring at any U.S. licensed/commercial reactor exceeds the \$450 million insurance limit, all nuclear reactor owners could be required to contribute to the SFP. In such case, SDG&E's contribution would be up to \$50.9 million. This amount is subject to an annual maximum of \$7.6 million, unless a default occurs by any other SONGS owner. If the SFP is insufficient to cover the liability loss, SDG&E could be subject to an additional assessment.

The SONGS owners, including SDG&E, also maintain nuclear property damage insurance that exceeds the minimum federal requirements of \$1.06 billion. This insurance coverage is provided through NEIL. The NEIL policies have specific exclusions and limitations that can result in reduced or eliminated coverage. Insured members as a group are subject to retrospective premium assessments to cover losses sustained by NEIL under all issued policies. SDG&E could be assessed up to \$10.4 million of retrospective premiums based on overall member claims. All of SONGS' insurance claims arising out of the failures of the MHI replacement steam generators have been settled with NEIL, as we discuss in Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

The nuclear property insurance program includes an industry aggregate loss limit for non-certified acts of terrorism (as defined by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act). The industry aggregate loss limit for property claims arising from non-certified acts of terrorism is \$3.24 billion. This is the maximum amount that will be paid to insured members who suffer losses or damages from these non-certified terrorist acts.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSAL

The Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 made the DOE responsible for accepting, transporting, and disposing of spent nuclear fuel. However, it is uncertain when the DOE will begin accepting spent nuclear fuel from SONGS. This delay will lead to increased costs for spent fuel storage. SDG&E will continue to support Edison in its pursuit of claims on behalf of the SONGS co-owners against the DOE for its failure to timely accept the spent nuclear fuel. In April 2016, Edison executed a spent fuel settlement agreement with the DOE for \$162 million covering damages incurred from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2013. In May 2016, Edison refunded SDG&E \$32 million for its respective share of the damage award paid. In applying this refund, SDG&E recorded a \$23 million reduction to the SONGS regulatory asset, an \$8 million reduction of its nuclear decommissioning balancing account and a \$1 million reduction in its SONGS O&M cost balancing account.

In September 2016, Edison filed claims with the DOE for \$56 million in spent fuel management costs incurred in 2014 and 2015 on behalf of the SONGS co-owners under the terms of the 2016 spent fuel settlement agreement. In February 2017, the DOE reduced the request to approximately \$43 million primarily due to reductions to the claimed fuel canister costs. SDG&E received its \$9 million respective share of the claim from Edison in May 2017 and recorded the proceeds in balancing accounts or as reductions to regulatory assets for the benefit of ratepayers.

In October 2015, the California Coastal Commission approved Edison's application for the proposed expansion of an ISFSI at SONGS. The ISFSI expansion began construction in 2016 and is expected to be fully loaded with spent fuel by 2019 and to operate until 2049, when it is assumed that the DOE will have taken custody of all the SONGS spent fuel. The ISFSI would then be decommissioned, and the site restored to its original environmental state.

We provide additional information about SONGS in Note 9 above and in Notes 13 and 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

We maintain credit policies and systems designed to manage our overall credit risk. These policies include an evaluation of potential counterparties' financial condition and an assignment of credit limits. These credit limits are established based on risk and return considerations under terms customarily available in the industry. We grant credit to utility customers and counterparties, substantially all of whom are located in our service territory, which covers most of Southern California and a portion of central California for SoCalGas, and all of San Diego County and an adjacent portion of Orange County for SDG&E. We also grant credit to utility customers and counterparties of our other companies providing natural gas or electric services in Mexico, Chile and Peru.

As they become operational, projects owned or partially owned by Sempra LNG & Midstream, Sempra Renewables, Sempra South American Utilities and Sempra Mexico place significant reliance on the ability of their suppliers, customers and partners to perform on long-term agreements and on our ability to enforce contract terms in the event of nonperformance. We consider many factors, including the negotiation of supplier and customer agreements, when we evaluate and approve development projects.

NOTE 12. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We have six separately managed, reportable segments, as follows:

- *SDG&E* provides electric service to San Diego and southern Orange counties and natural gas service to San Diego County.
- *SoCalGas* is a natural gas distribution utility, serving customers throughout most of Southern California and part of central California.
- *Sempra South American Utilities* develops, owns and operates, or holds interests in, electric transmission, distribution and generation infrastructure in Chile and Peru.
- *Sempra Mexico* develops, owns and operates, or holds interests in, natural gas, electric, LNG, LPG, ethane and liquid fuels infrastructure, and has marketing operations for the purchase of LNG and the purchase and sale of natural gas in Mexico. In February 2016, management approved a plan to market and sell the TdM natural gas-fired power plant located in Mexicali, Baja California, as we discuss in Note 3.
- *Sempra Renewables* develops, owns and operates, or holds interests in, wind and solar energy generation facilities serving wholesale electricity markets in the United States.
- *Sempra LNG & Midstream* develops, owns and operates, or holds interests in, a terminal for the import and export of LNG and sale of natural gas, and natural gas pipelines and storage facilities, all within the United States. In September 2016, Sempra LNG & Midstream sold EnergySouth, the parent company of Mobile Gas and Willmut Gas, and in May 2016, sold its 25-percent interest in Rockies Express. We discuss these divestitures in Note 3 herein and Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

We evaluate each segment's performance based on its contribution to Sempra Energy's reported earnings. The California Utilities operate in essentially separate service territories, under separate regulatory frameworks and rate structures set by the CPUC. The California Utilities' operations are based on rates set by the CPUC and the FERC. We describe the accounting policies of all of our segments in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

Common services shared by the business segments are assigned directly or allocated based on various cost factors, depending on the nature of the service provided. Interest income and expense is recorded on intercompany loans. The loan balances and related interest are eliminated in consolidation.

The following tables show selected information by segment from our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Amounts labeled as "All other" in the following tables consist primarily of parent organizations.

SEGMENT INFORMATION*(Dollars in millions)*

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
REVENUES				
SDG&E	\$ 1,236	\$ 1,209	\$ 3,351	\$ 3,192
SoCalGas	684	686	2,695	2,336
Sempra South American Utilities	376	385	1,169	1,170
Sempra Mexico	336	196	873	481
Sempra Renewables	26	12	74	25
Sempra LNG & Midstream	152	164	406	384
Adjustments and eliminations	—	(1)	—	(1)
Intersegment revenues(1)	(131)	(116)	(325)	(274)
Total	\$ 2,679	\$ 2,535	\$ 8,243	\$ 7,313
INTEREST EXPENSE				
SDG&E	\$ 53	\$ 49	\$ 151	\$ 145
SoCalGas	26	25	77	71
Sempra South American Utilities	10	9	30	29
Sempra Mexico	21	5	73	13
Sempra Renewables	3	—	11	—
Sempra LNG & Midstream	9	11	29	33
All other	74	68	209	214
Intercompany eliminations	(31)	(31)	(87)	(84)
Total	\$ 165	\$ 136	\$ 493	\$ 421
INTEREST INCOME				
SoCalGas	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ 1	\$ —
Sempra South American Utilities	6	5	17	15
Sempra Mexico	7	2	12	5
Sempra Renewables	1	1	4	2
Sempra LNG & Midstream	14	19	43	52
All other	1	1	1	1
Intercompany eliminations	(18)	(21)	(52)	(56)
Total	\$ 12	\$ 7	\$ 26	\$ 19
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION				
SDG&E	\$ 170	\$ 161	\$ 499	\$ 478
SoCalGas	132	121	384	355
Sempra South American Utilities	14	14	40	41
Sempra Mexico	41	15	114	47
Sempra Renewables	9	1	28	4
Sempra LNG & Midstream	10	12	31	37
All other	2	4	10	8
Total	\$ 378	\$ 328	\$ 1,106	\$ 970
INCOME TAX (BENEFIT) EXPENSE				
SDG&E	\$ (72)	\$ 91	\$ 72	\$ 204
SoCalGas	(14)	21	103	75
Sempra South American Utilities	18	17	57	46
Sempra Mexico	34	142	278	170
Sempra Renewables	(9)	(7)	(25)	(29)
Sempra LNG & Midstream	(2)	51	17	(77)
All other	(39)	(33)	(124)	(105)
Total	\$ (84)	\$ 282	\$ 378	\$ 284

SEGMENT INFORMATION (CONTINUED)
(Dollars in millions)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
EQUITY EARNINGS (LOSSES)				
Earnings (losses) recorded before tax:				
Sempra Renewables	\$ 7	\$ 12	\$ 25	\$ 30
Sempra LNG & Midstream	3	—	6	(26)
Total	\$ 10	\$ 12	\$ 31	\$ 4
Earnings (losses) recorded net of tax:				
Sempra South American Utilities	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 2	\$ 3
Sempra Mexico	2	18	(7)	66
Total	\$ 3	\$ 19	\$ (5)	\$ 69
(LOSSES) EARNINGS				
SDG&E	\$ (28)	\$ 183	\$ 276	\$ 419
SoCalGas(2)	7	—	268	198
Sempra South American Utilities	42	46	134	127
Sempra Mexico	66	332	105	407
Sempra Renewables	15	17	49	43
Sempra LNG & Midstream	(4)	77	24	(104)
All other	(41)	(33)	(99)	(99)
Total	\$ 57	\$ 622	\$ 757	\$ 991

EXPENDITURES FOR PROPERTY, PLANT & EQUIPMENT

SDG&E		\$ 1,122	\$ 959
SoCalGas		1,033	949
Sempra South American Utilities		138	133
Sempra Mexico		193	232
Sempra Renewables		361	700
Sempra LNG & Midstream		16	100
All other		17	14
Total		\$ 2,880	\$ 3,087

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
ASSETS		
SDG&E	\$ 18,629	\$ 17,719
SoCalGas	13,917	13,424
Sempra South American Utilities	3,862	3,591
Sempra Mexico	8,100	7,542
Sempra Renewables	2,650	3,644
Sempra LNG & Midstream	4,849	5,564
All other	691	475
Intersegment receivables	(2,569)	(4,173)
Total	\$ 50,129	\$ 47,786

EQUITY METHOD AND OTHER INVESTMENTS

Sempra South American Utilities	\$ 22	\$ —
Sempra Mexico	243	180
Sempra Renewables	807	844
Sempra LNG & Midstream	980	997
All other	76	76
Total	\$ 2,128	\$ 2,097

(1) Revenues for reportable segments include intersegment revenues of \$1 million, \$21 million, \$27 million and \$82 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017; \$5 million, \$56 million, \$78 million and \$186 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017; \$2 million, \$21 million, \$26 million and \$67 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016; and \$5 million, \$56 million, \$80 million and \$133 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 for SDG&E, SoCalGas, Sempra Mexico and Sempra LNG & Midstream, respectively.

(2) After preferred dividends.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto and Part II, Item 1A. “Risk Factors” contained in this Form 10-Q, and the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and “Risk Factors” contained in our Annual Report.

OVERVIEW

Sempra Energy is a Fortune 500 energy-services holding company whose operating units invest in, develop and operate energy infrastructure, and provide gas and electricity services to their customers in North and South America. Additional information about our operating units, Sempra Utilities and Sempra Infrastructure, and their respective reportable segments is provided below and in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in the Annual Report.

This report includes information for the following separate registrants:

- Sempra Energy and its consolidated entities
- SDG&E and its consolidated VIE
- SoCalGas

References to “we,” “our” and “Sempra Energy Consolidated” are to Sempra Energy and its consolidated entities, collectively, unless otherwise indicated by its context. We refer to SDG&E and SoCalGas collectively as the California Utilities, which do not include our South American utilities or the utilities in our Sempra Infrastructure operating unit. All references to “Sempra Utilities” and “Sempra Infrastructure,” and to their respective reportable segments, are not intended to refer to any legal entity with the same or similar name.

Throughout this report, we refer to the following as Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements when discussed together or collectively:

- the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes of Sempra Energy and its subsidiaries and VIEs;
- the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes of SDG&E and its VIE; and
- the Condensed Financial Statements and related Notes of SoCalGas.

Below are summary descriptions of our operating units and their reportable segments.

SEMPRA ENERGY OPERATING UNITS AND REPORTABLE SEGMENTS

SEMPRA UTILITIES		
Business summary	Market	Service territory
SDG&E A regulated public utility; infrastructure supports electric generation, transmission and distribution, and natural gas distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provides electricity to a population of 3.6 million (1.4 million meters) ▪ Provides natural gas to a population of 3.3 million (0.9 million meters) 	Serves the county of San Diego, California (electric and natural gas) and an adjacent portion of southern Orange County (electric only) covering 4,100 square miles
SOCALGAS A regulated public utility; infrastructure supports natural gas distribution, transmission and storage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provides natural gas to a population of 21.7 million (5.9 million meters) 	Southern California and portions of central California (excluding San Diego County, the city of Long Beach and the desert area of San Bernardino County) covering 20,000 square miles
SEMPRA SOUTH AMERICAN UTILITIES Develops, owns and operates, or holds interests in electric transmission, distribution and generation infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provides electricity to a population of approximately 2 million (approximately 0.7 million meters) in Chile and approximately 4.9 million (approximately 1.1 million meters) in Peru 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Region of Valparaiso in central Chile ▪ Southern zone of metropolitan Lima, Peru

SEMPRA INFRASTRUCTURE

Business summary	Market	Geographic area
<p>SEMPRA MEXICO Develops, owns and operates, or holds interests in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ natural gas transmission pipelines ▪ LPG and ethane systems ▪ a natural gas distribution utility ▪ electric generation facilities, including wind, solar and a natural gas-fired power plant (presently held for sale) ▪ a terminal for the import of LNG ▪ a terminal for the storage of LPG ▪ a marine terminal for the receipt, storage and delivery of liquid fuels ▪ marketing operations for the purchase of LNG and the purchase and sale of natural gas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Natural gas ▪ Wholesale electricity ▪ LNG ▪ LPG ▪ Liquid fuels 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Mexico
<p>SEMPRA RENEWABLES Develops, owns and operates, or holds interests in renewable energy generation projects</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Wholesale electricity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Arizona ▪ California ▪ Colorado ▪ Hawaii ▪ Indiana ▪ Kansas ▪ Michigan ▪ Minnesota ▪ Nebraska ▪ Nevada ▪ Pennsylvania
<p>SEMPRA LNG & MIDSTREAM Develops, owns and operates, or holds interests in LNG and natural gas midstream assets:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ a terminal in the U.S. for the import and export of LNG and sale of natural gas ▪ natural gas pipelines and storage facilities ▪ marketing operations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ LNG ▪ Natural gas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Alabama ▪ Louisiana ▪ Mississippi ▪ Texas

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

We discuss the following in Results of Operations:

- Overall results of our operations
- Segment results
- Adjusted earnings and adjusted earnings per share
- Significant changes in revenues, costs and earnings between periods
- Impact of foreign currency and inflation rates on our results of operations

OVERALL RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF SEMPRA ENERGY

Our earnings decreased by \$565 million to \$57 million in the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to the prior year period, while diluted EPS decreased by \$2.24 per share to \$0.22 per share. For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, our earnings decreased by \$234 million (24%) to \$757 million compared to the prior year period, while diluted EPS decreased by \$0.94 per share (24%) to \$2.99 per share. Our earnings and diluted EPS were impacted by variances discussed in “Segment Results” below and by the items included in the table “Sempra Energy Adjusted Earnings and Adjusted Earnings Per Share,” also below.

SEGMENT RESULTS

The following section presents earnings (losses) by Sempra Energy segment, as well as Parent and other, and the related discussion of the changes in segment earnings (losses). Variance amounts presented are the after-tax earnings impact (based on applicable statutory tax rates), unless otherwise noted, and before noncontrolling interests, where applicable.

SEMPRA ENERGY EARNINGS (LOSSES) BY SEGMENT

(Dollars in millions)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Sempra Utilities:				
SDG&E	\$ (28)	\$ 183	\$ 276	\$ 419
SoCalGas(1)	7	—	268	198
Sempra South American Utilities	42	46	134	127
Sempra Infrastructure:				
Sempra Mexico	66	332	105	407
Sempra Renewables	15	17	49	43
Sempra LNG & Midstream	(4)	77	24	(104)
Parent and other(2)	(41)	(33)	(99)	(99)
Earnings	\$ 57	\$ 622	\$ 757	\$ 991

(1) After preferred dividends.

(2) Includes after-tax interest expense (\$44 million and \$41 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, and \$125 million and \$128 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively), intercompany eliminations recorded in consolidation and certain corporate costs.

SDG&E

The \$28 million loss in the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to earnings of \$183 million for the same period in 2016 was primarily due to a \$208 million impairment of a regulatory asset associated with wildfire costs, which we discuss in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

The decrease in earnings of \$143 million (34%) in the first nine months of 2017 was primarily due to:

- \$208 million impairment of a regulatory asset associated with wildfire costs; and
- \$7 million income tax benefit in 2016 associated with excess tax benefits related to share-based compensation; **offset by**
- \$31 million of charges in 2016 associated with prior years' income tax benefits generated from income tax repairs deductions that were reallocated to ratepayers pursuant to the 2016 GRC FD;
- \$25 million higher CPUC base operating margin authorized for 2017, and lower non-refundable operating costs;

- \$11 million increase in AFUDC related to equity; and
- \$8 million favorable impact in 2017 from the resolution of prior years' income tax items.

SoCalGas

The increase in earnings of \$7 million in the three months ended September 30, 2017 was primarily due to \$4 million higher earnings associated with the PSEP and advanced metering assets.

The increase in earnings of \$70 million (35%) in the first nine months of 2017 was primarily due to:

- \$49 million of charges in 2016 associated with prior years' income tax benefits generated from income tax repairs deductions that were reallocated to ratepayers pursuant to the 2016 GRC FD;
- \$14 million higher earnings associated with the PSEP and advanced metering assets; and
- \$13 million impairment of assets in 2016 related to the Southern Gas System Reliability Project (also referred to as the North-South Pipeline); **offset by**
- \$4 million income tax benefit in 2016 associated with excess tax benefits related to share-based compensation.

Sempra South American Utilities

Because our operations in South America use their local currency as their functional currency, revenues and expenses are translated into U.S. dollars at average exchange rates for the period for consolidation in Sempra Energy Consolidated's results of operations. The year-to-year variances discussed below are as adjusted for the difference in foreign currency translation rates between years. We discuss these and other foreign currency effects below in "Impact of Foreign Currency and Inflation Rates on Results of Operations."

Earnings for the three months ended September 30, 2017 were consistent with earnings for the same period in 2016.

The increase in earnings of \$7 million (6%) in the first nine months of 2017 was primarily due to:

- \$5 million higher earnings from foreign currency translation effects; and
- \$3 million higher earnings from operations primarily at Luz del Sur, mainly driven by an increase in rates, and lower operating expenses, offset by lower volumes, and lower results at Chilquinta Energía.

Sempra Mexico

The decrease in earnings of \$266 million in the three months ended September 30, 2017 was primarily due to:

- \$432 million noncash gain in 2016 associated with the remeasurement of our equity interest in IEnova Pipelines (formerly known as GdC);
- \$31 million tax benefit in 2016 from a reduction to the outside basis deferred tax liability on our investment in TdM that is held for sale;
- \$10 million lower earnings from the recognition of AFUDC related to equity associated with pipeline assets placed in service; and
- \$6 million higher net interest expense, including \$5 million at Ventika and \$2 million at IEnova Pipelines related to debt assumed in their respective acquisitions; **offset by**
- \$111 million impairment in 2016 of TdM assets held for sale;
- \$35 million higher pipeline operational earnings, primarily attributable to the increase in our ownership in IEnova Pipelines from 50 percent to 100 percent in September 2016 and from other pipeline assets placed in service;
- \$33 million earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests at IEnova in 2017 compared to \$80 million in 2016;
- \$10 million operational earnings in 2017 from the Ventika wind power generation facilities, which we acquired in December 2016;
- \$10 million favorable impact in 2017 due to \$3 million favorable foreign currency and inflation effects and \$7 million gain from foreign currency derivatives, which are hedging Sempra Mexico's foreign currency exposure from its controlling interest in IEnova. We discuss these effects below in "Impact of Foreign Currency and Inflation Rate on Results of Operations;" and
- \$3 million unfavorable impact in 2016 due to a \$7 million loss from foreign currency derivatives, offset by \$4 million favorable foreign currency and inflation effects.

The decrease in earnings of \$302 million in the first nine months of 2017 was primarily due to:

- \$432 million noncash gain in 2016 associated with the remeasurement of our equity interest in IEnova Pipelines;
- \$76 million unfavorable impact in 2017 due to \$151 million unfavorable foreign currency and inflation effects, offset by a \$75 million gain from foreign currency derivatives;
- \$22 million favorable impact in 2016 due to \$36 million favorable foreign currency and inflation effects, offset by a \$14 million loss from foreign currency derivatives; and
- \$21 million higher interest expense, including \$13 million at Ventika and \$6 million at IEnova Pipelines related to debt assumed in their respective acquisitions; **offset by**

- \$92 million higher pipeline operational earnings, primarily attributable to the increase in ownership in IEnova Pipelines from 50 percent to 100 percent in September 2016 and from other pipeline assets placed in service;
- \$23 million earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests at IEnova in 2017 compared to \$101 million in 2016;
- \$71 million impairment in 2017 of TdM assets held for sale, net of a \$12 million income tax benefit that has been fully reserved, compared to a \$111 million impairment in 2016 of such assets;
- \$28 million operational earnings in 2017 from Ventika, which we acquired in December 2016; and
- \$22 million higher earnings in 2017 from the recognition of AFUDC related to equity primarily associated with the Ojinaga and San Isidro pipeline projects.

Sempra Renewables

Earnings for the three months ended September 30, 2017 were consistent with earnings for the same period in 2016.

The increase in earnings of \$6 million (14%) in the first nine months of 2017 was primarily due to:

- \$16 million losses attributed to tax equity investors reflected in noncontrolling interests; **offset by**
- \$6 million higher general and administrative and development costs; and
- \$2 million lower earnings primarily due to decreased tax benefits in 2017 at our solar assets placed into service in 2016.

Sempra LNG & Midstream

The decrease in earnings of \$81 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017 was primarily due to:

- \$78 million gain on the sale of EnergySouth in September 2016, net of related expenses; and
- \$5 million primarily due to higher results from midstream activities in 2016.

The increase in earnings of \$128 million in the first nine months of 2017 was primarily due to:

- \$123 million loss in 2016 on permanent release of certain pipeline capacity;
- \$34 million settlement proceeds received from a breach of contract claim against a counterparty in bankruptcy court, of which \$28 million is related to the charge in 2016 from the permanent release of certain pipeline capacity, as we discuss in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein;
- \$34 million improved results due to unfavorable results from midstream activities in 2016;
- \$27 million impairment charge in 2016 related to our investment in Rockies Express; and
- \$10 million higher results from LNG marketing activities primarily driven by changes in natural gas prices; **offset by**
- \$78 million gain on the sale of EnergySouth in September 2016, net of related expenses;
- \$11 million lower equity earnings resulting from the sale of our investment in Rockies Express in May 2016; and
- \$6 million lower earnings due to the sale of EnergySouth in September 2016.

Parent and Other

The increase in losses of \$8 million (24%) in the three months ended September 30, 2017 was primarily due to:

- \$5 million of costs in 2017 associated with foreign currency derivatives;
- \$2 million higher net interest expense in 2017; and
- \$2 million lower income tax benefits in 2017, including:
 - \$2 million income tax expense in 2017 compared to a \$5 million income tax benefit in 2016 due to the interim timing of the application of the forecasted consolidated effective tax rate, *offset by*
 - \$4 million U.S. income tax expense in 2016 on planned repatriation of earnings from certain non-U.S. subsidiaries, **offset by**
- \$3 million higher investment gains on dedicated assets in support of our executive retirement and deferred compensation plans, offset by an increase in deferred compensation expense associated with those investments.

Losses for the first nine months of 2017 were consistent with the same period in 2016 and include

- \$13 million higher investment gains on dedicated assets in support of our executive retirement and deferred compensation plans, offset by an increase in deferred compensation expense associated with those investments;
- \$7 million lower net interest expense in 2017; and
- \$4 million higher income tax benefits in 2017, including:
 - \$13 million U.S. income tax expense in 2016 on planned repatriation of earnings from certain non-U.S. subsidiaries, and
 - \$7 million income tax benefit in 2017 related to a deferred income tax liability on an outside basis difference in a subsidiary investment, *offset by*
 - \$17 million income tax benefit in 2016 associated with excess tax benefits related to share-based compensation; **offset by**

- \$15 million of costs in 2017 associated with foreign currency derivatives; and
- \$5 million higher proportion of operating costs retained at Parent.

ADJUSTED EARNINGS AND ADJUSTED EARNINGS PER SHARE

We prepare the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP. However, management may use earnings and EPS adjusted to exclude certain items (referred to as adjusted earnings and adjusted EPS) internally for financial planning, for analysis of performance and for reporting of results to the Board of Directors. We may also use adjusted earnings and adjusted EPS when communicating our financial results and earnings outlook to analysts and investors. Adjusted earnings and adjusted EPS are non-GAAP financial measures. Because of the significance and/or nature of the excluded items, management believes that these non-GAAP financial measures provide a meaningful comparison of the performance of business operations to prior and future periods. Non-GAAP financial measures are supplementary information that should be considered in addition to, but not as a substitute for, the information prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

The table below reconciles Sempra Energy Adjusted Earnings and Adjusted Diluted EPS to GAAP Earnings and GAAP Diluted EPS, which we consider to be the most directly comparable financial measures calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP, for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016.

SEMPRA ENERGY ADJUSTED EARNINGS AND ADJUSTED EPS
(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	Pretax amount	Income tax (benefit) expense(1)	Non- controlling interests	Earnings	Diluted EPS
Three months ended September 30, 2017					
Sempra Energy GAAP Earnings				\$ 57	\$ 0.22
Excluded item:					
Impairment of wildfire regulatory asset	\$ 351	\$ (143)	\$ —	208	0.82
Sempra Energy Adjusted Earnings				\$ 265	\$ 1.04
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding, diluted (thousands)					253,364
Three months ended September 30, 2016					
Sempra Energy GAAP Earnings				\$ 622	\$ 2.46
Excluded items:					
Remeasurement gain in connection with GdC acquisition	\$ (617)	\$ 185	\$ 82	(350)	(1.39)
Gain on sale of EnergySouth	(130)	52	—	(78)	(0.31)
Impairment of TdM assets held for sale	131	(20)	(21)	90	0.36
Reduction of deferred income tax liability associated with TdM	—	(31)	6	(25)	(0.10)
Sempra Energy Adjusted Earnings				\$ 259	\$ 1.02
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding, diluted (thousands)					252,405
Nine months ended September 30, 2017					
Sempra Energy GAAP Earnings				\$ 757	\$ 2.99
Excluded items:					
Impairment of wildfire regulatory asset	\$ 351	\$ (143)	\$ —	208	0.82
Impairment of TdM assets held for sale	71	—	(24)	47	0.19
Deferred income tax benefit associated with TdM	—	(8)	3	(5)	(0.02)
Recoveries related to 2016 permanent release of pipeline capacity	(47)	19	—	(28)	(0.11)
Sempra Energy Adjusted Earnings				\$ 979	\$ 3.87
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding, diluted (thousands)					252,987
Nine months ended September 30, 2016					
Sempra Energy GAAP Earnings				\$ 991	\$ 3.93
Excluded items:					
Remeasurement gain in connection with GdC acquisition	\$ (617)	\$ 185	\$ 82	(350)	(1.39)
Gain on sale of EnergySouth	(130)	52	—	(78)	(0.31)
Permanent release of pipeline capacity	206	(83)	—	123	0.49
SDG&E tax repairs adjustments related to 2016 GRC FD	52	(21)	—	31	0.12
SoCalGas tax repairs adjustments related to 2016 GRC FD	83	(34)	—	49	0.20
Impairment of investment in Rockies Express	44	(17)	—	27	0.11
Impairment of TdM assets held for sale	131	(20)	(21)	90	0.36
Deferred income tax expense associated with TdM	—	1	—	1	—
Sempra Energy Adjusted Earnings				\$ 884	\$ 3.51
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding, diluted (thousands)					251,976

(1) Income taxes were calculated based on applicable statutory tax rates, except for adjustments that are solely income tax. Income taxes associated with TdM were calculated based on the applicable statutory tax rate, including translation from historic to current exchange rates. An income tax benefit of \$12 million associated with the 2017 TdM impairment has been fully reserved.

The table below reconciles SDG&E Adjusted Earnings to GAAP (Losses) Earnings, which we consider to be the most directly comparable financial measure calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP, for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and the nine months ended September 30, 2016. SDG&E did not have adjusted earnings for the three months ended September 30, 2016.

SDG&E ADJUSTED EARNINGS

(Dollars in millions)

	Pretax amount	Income tax benefit(1)	(Losses) earnings
Three months ended September 30, 2017			
SDG&E GAAP Losses			\$ (28)
Excluded item:			
Impairment of wildfire regulatory asset	\$ 351	\$ (143)	208
SDG&E Adjusted Earnings			\$ 180
Nine months ended September 30, 2017			
SDG&E GAAP Earnings			\$ 276
Excluded item:			
Impairment of wildfire regulatory asset	\$ 351	\$ (143)	208
SDG&E Adjusted Earnings			\$ 484
Nine months ended September 30, 2016			
SDG&E GAAP Earnings			\$ 419
Excluded item:			
Tax repairs adjustments related to 2016 GRC FD	\$ 52	\$ (21)	31
SDG&E Adjusted Earnings			\$ 450

(1) Income taxes were calculated based on applicable statutory tax rates.

The table below reconciles SoCalGas Adjusted Earnings to GAAP Earnings, which we consider to be the most directly comparable financial measure calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP, for the nine months ended September 30, 2016. SoCalGas did not have adjusted earnings for the three months ended September 30, 2016 or the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2017.

SOCALGAS ADJUSTED EARNINGS

(Dollars in millions)

	Pretax amount	Income tax benefit(1)	Earnings
Nine months ended September 30, 2016			
SoCalGas GAAP Earnings			\$ 198
Excluded item:			
Tax repairs adjustments related to 2016 GRC FD	\$ 83	\$ (34)	49
SoCalGas Adjusted Earnings			\$ 247

(1) Income taxes were calculated based on applicable statutory tax rates.

CHANGES IN REVENUES, COSTS AND EARNINGS

This section contains a discussion of the differences between periods in certain line items of the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for Sempra Energy, SDG&E and SoCalGas.

Utilities Revenues

Our utilities revenues include

Electric revenues at:

- SDG&E
- Sempra South American Utilities' Chilquinta Energía and Luz del Sur

Natural gas revenues at:

- SDG&E
- SoCalGas
- Sempra Mexico's Ecogas
- Sempra LNG & Midstream's Mobile Gas and Willmut Gas (prior to the sale of EnergySouth on September 12, 2016)

Intercompany revenues included in the separate revenues of each utility are eliminated in the Sempra Energy Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

SoCalGas and SDG&E currently operate under a regulatory framework that:

- permits SDG&E to recover the actual cost incurred to generate or procure electricity based on annual estimates of the cost of electricity supplied to customers. The differences in cost between estimates and actual are recovered in subsequent periods through rates.
- permits the cost of natural gas purchased for core customers (primarily residential and small commercial and industrial customers) to be passed through to customers in rates substantially as incurred. However, SoCalGas' GCIM provides SoCalGas the opportunity to share in the savings and/or costs from buying natural gas for its core customers at prices below or above monthly market-based benchmarks. This mechanism permits full recovery of costs incurred when average purchase costs are within a price range around the benchmark price. Any higher costs incurred or savings realized outside this range are shared between the core customers and SoCalGas. We provide further discussion in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Our Business" in the Annual Report.
- also permits the California Utilities to recover certain expenses for programs authorized by the CPUC, or "refundable programs."

Because changes in SDG&E's and SoCalGas' cost of electricity and/or natural gas is substantially recovered in rates, changes in these costs are reflected in the changes in revenues, and therefore do not impact earnings. In addition to the change in cost or market prices, electric or natural gas revenues recorded during a period are impacted by customer usage causing a difference between customer billings and recorded or authorized costs. These differences are required to be balanced over time, resulting in over- and undercollected regulatory balancing accounts. We discuss balancing accounts and their effects further in Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

The table below summarizes revenues and cost of sales for our utilities, net of intercompany activity:

UTILITIES REVENUES AND COST OF SALES

(Dollars in millions)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Electric revenues:				
SDG&E	\$ 1,131	\$ 1,111	\$ 2,952	\$ 2,851
Sempra South American Utilities	356	359	1,108	1,102
Eliminations and adjustments	(2)	(1)	(5)	(4)
Total	1,485	1,469	4,055	3,949
Natural gas revenues:				
SoCalGas	684	686	2,695	2,336
SDG&E	105	98	399	341
Sempra Mexico	25	22	80	64
Sempra LNG & Midstream	—	12	—	68
Eliminations and adjustments	(22)	(23)	(57)	(58)
Total	792	795	3,117	2,751
Total utilities revenues	\$ 2,277	\$ 2,264	\$ 7,172	\$ 6,700
Cost of electric fuel and purchased power:				
SDG&E	\$ 417	\$ 364	\$ 994	\$ 926
Sempra South American Utilities	233	240	736	754
Total	\$ 650	\$ 604	\$ 1,730	\$ 1,680
Cost of natural gas:				
SoCalGas	\$ 153	\$ 171	\$ 740	\$ 571
SDG&E	29	25	132	89
Sempra Mexico	16	13	50	36
Sempra LNG & Midstream	—	3	—	18
Eliminations and adjustments	(8)	(4)	(19)	(12)
Total	\$ 190	\$ 208	\$ 903	\$ 702

The table below summarizes electric and natural gas volumes billed by our utilities:

UTILITIES VOLUMES				
<i>(Electric volumes in millions of kilowatt-hours, natural gas volumes in billion cubic feet)</i>				
	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Electric volumes:				
SDG&E:				
Residential	1,938	1,920	4,997	5,031
Commercial	1,881	1,823	5,082	4,953
Industrial	608	616	1,634	1,623
Direct access	957	967	2,530	2,573
Street and highway lighting	16	18	59	55
Total(1)	5,400	5,344	14,302	14,235
Sempra South American Utilities:				
Luz del Sur	1,647	1,771	5,321	5,607
Chilquinta Energía	699	680	2,201	2,161
Total	2,346	2,451	7,522	7,768
Natural gas volumes(2):				
SoCalGas:				
Natural gas sales	49	50	221	212
Transportation	174	176	463	454
Total(1)	223	226	684	666
SDG&E:				
Natural gas sales	7	6	32	30
Transportation	10	9	25	23
Total(1)	17	15	57	53
Sempra Mexico – Ecogas				
	7	7	22	22

(1) Includes intercompany sales.

(2) In September 2016, Sempra LNG & Midstream completed the sale of EnergySouth, the parent company of Mobile Gas and Willmut Gas. Volume information for Mobile Gas and Willmut Gas has been excluded from 2016 due to immateriality.

Electric Revenues and Cost of Electric Fuel and Purchased Power

In the three months ended September 30, 2017, our electric revenues increased by \$16 million (1%) remaining at \$1.5 billion due to:

- \$20 million increase at SDG&E, which included
 - \$53 million higher cost of electric fuel and purchased power, which we discuss below, and
 - \$14 million increase in 2017 due to an increase in rates permitted under the attrition mechanism in the 2016 GRC FD, *offset by*
 - \$25 million charge in 2017 associated with tracking the income tax benefit from certain flow-through items in relation to forecasted amounts in the 2016 GRC FD,
 - \$15 million lower recovery of costs associated with CPUC-authorized refundable programs, which revenues are fully offset in O&M, and
 - \$5 million in 2016 to adjust estimated 2015 income tax benefits generated from income tax repairs deductions that were reallocated to ratepayers pursuant to the CPUC 2016 GRC FD to actual deductions taken on the 2015 tax return; **offset by**
- \$3 million decrease at Sempra South American Utilities, which included
 - \$21 million lower volumes at Luz del Sur primarily due to the migration of regulated and non-regulated customers to tolling customers, who pay only a tolling fee and do not contribute to customer load, *offset by*
 - \$10 million foreign currency exchange rate effects, and
 - \$8 million higher rates at Luz del Sur, offset by lower rates at Chilquinta Energía.

Our utilities' cost of electric fuel and purchased power increased by \$46 million (8%) to \$650 million in the three months ended September 30, 2017 due to:

- \$53 million increase at SDG&E primarily due to an increase from the incremental purchase of renewable energy at higher prices and an additional capacity contract; **offset by**
- \$7 million decrease at Sempra South American Utilities, which included
 - \$11 million lower volumes at Luz del Sur, *offset by*

- \$7 million foreign currency exchange rate effects.

In the first nine months of 2017, our electric revenues increased by \$106 million (3%) to \$4.1 billion primarily due to:

- \$101 million increase at SDG&E, which included
 - \$68 million higher cost of electric fuel and purchased power, which we discuss below,
 - \$52 million of charges in 2016 associated with prior years' income tax benefits generated from income tax repairs deductions that were reallocated to ratepayers pursuant to the 2016 GRC FD,
 - \$40 million increase due to 2017 attrition, and
 - \$24 million higher authorized revenues from electric transmission, *offset by*
 - \$39 million lower recovery of costs associated with CPUC-authorized refundable programs, which revenues are fully offset in O&M,
 - \$35 million charge in 2017 associated with tracking the income tax benefit from certain flow-through items in relation to forecasted amounts in the 2016 GRC FD, and
 - \$5 million in 2016 to adjust estimated 2015 income tax benefits generated from income tax repairs deductions that were reallocated to ratepayers pursuant to the CPUC 2016 GRC FD to actual deductions taken on the 2015 tax return; and
- \$6 million increase at Sempra South American Utilities, which included
 - \$39 million foreign currency exchange rate effects, and
 - \$33 million higher rates at Luz del Sur, *offset by*
 - \$58 million lower volumes at Luz del Sur primarily due to the migration of regulated and non-regulated customers to tolling customers, who pay only a tolling fee and do not contribute to customer load.

In the first nine months of 2017, our utilities' cost of electric fuel and purchased power increased by \$50 million (3%) remaining at \$1.7 billion due to:

- \$68 million increase at SDG&E mainly due to an increase in the cost of purchased power primarily as a result of higher natural gas prices; **offset by**
- \$18 million decrease at Sempra South American Utilities, which included
 - \$35 million lower volumes at Luz del Sur, and
 - \$12 million lower costs at Chilquinta Energía, *offset by*
 - \$27 million due to foreign currency exchange rate effects.

Natural Gas Revenues and Cost of Natural Gas

The table below summarizes average cost of natural gas sold by the California Utilities and included in Cost of Natural Gas. The average cost of natural gas sold at each utility is impacted by market prices, as well as transportation, tariff and other charges.

CALIFORNIA UTILITIES AVERAGE COST OF NATURAL GAS					
<i>(Dollars per thousand cubic feet)</i>					
	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,		
	2017	2016	2017	2016	
SoCalGas	\$ 3.09	\$ 3.48	\$ 3.36	\$ 2.72	
SDG&E	4.14	3.73	4.17	2.93	

In the three months ended September 30, 2017, Sempra Energy's natural gas revenues decreased by \$3 million to \$792 million, and the cost of natural gas decreased by \$18 million (9%) to \$190 million. The decrease in natural gas revenues was primarily due to:

- \$12 million decrease due to the sale of EnergySouth in September 2016; and
- \$2 million decrease at SoCalGas, which included
 - \$19 million in 2016 to adjust estimated 2015 income tax benefits generated from income tax repairs deductions that were reallocated to ratepayers pursuant to the CPUC 2016 GRC FD to actual deductions taken on the 2015 tax return,
 - \$18 million decrease in cost of natural gas sold primarily from lower average gas prices, and
 - \$12 million charge in 2017 associated with tracking the income tax benefit from certain flow-through items in relation to forecasted amounts in the 2016 GRC FD, *offset by*
 - \$16 million increase due to 2017 attrition,
 - \$13 million higher recovery of costs associated with CPUC-authorized refundable programs, which revenues are fully offset in O&M, and
 - \$12 million higher revenues primarily associated with the PSEP; **offset by**

- \$7 million increase at SDG&E primarily due to higher revenues primarily associated with the PSEP.

In the first nine months of 2017, Sempra Energy's natural gas revenues increased by \$366 million (13%) to \$3.1 billion, and the cost of natural gas increased by \$201 million (29%) to \$903 million. The increase in natural gas revenues was primarily due to:

- \$359 million increase at SoCalGas, which included
 - \$169 million increase in cost of natural gas sold, including \$141 million from higher average gas prices and \$28 million from higher volumes driven mainly by cooler weather in 2017,
 - \$83 million of charges in 2016 associated with prior years' income tax benefits generated from income tax repairs deductions that were reallocated to ratepayers pursuant to the 2016 GRC FD,
 - \$49 million increase due to 2017 attrition,
 - \$39 million higher revenues primarily associated with the PSEP,
 - \$31 million higher recovery of costs associated with CPUC-authorized refundable programs, which revenues are fully offset in O&M, and
 - \$5 million GCIM award approved by the CPUC in January 2017, *offset by*
 - \$19 million in 2016 to adjust estimated 2015 income tax benefits generated from income tax repairs deductions that were reallocated to ratepayers pursuant to the CPUC 2016 GRC FD to actual deductions taken on the 2015 tax return, and
 - \$11 million charge in 2017 associated with tracking the income tax benefit from certain flow-through items in relation to forecasted amounts; and
- \$58 million increase at SDG&E, which included
 - \$43 million increase in the cost of natural gas sold mainly from higher average gas prices, and
 - \$16 million higher revenues primarily associated with the PSEP; **offset by**
- \$68 million decrease due to the sale of EnergySouth in September 2016.

Energy-Related Businesses: Revenues and Cost of Sales

The table below shows revenues and cost of sales for our energy-related businesses:

ENERGY-RELATED BUSINESSES: REVENUES AND COST OF SALES				
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>				
	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
REVENUES				
Sempra South American Utilities	\$ 20	\$ 26	\$ 61	\$ 68
Sempra Mexico	311	174	793	417
Sempra Renewables	26	12	74	25
Sempra LNG & Midstream	152	152	406	316
Eliminations and adjustments(1)	(107)	(93)	(263)	(213)
Total revenues	\$ 402	\$ 271	\$ 1,071	\$ 613
COST OF SALES(2)				
Cost of natural gas, electric fuel and purchased power:				
Sempra South American Utilities	\$ 7	\$ 4	\$ 15	\$ 12
Sempra Mexico	82	76	182	151
Sempra LNG & Midstream	114	106	287	257
Eliminations and adjustments(1)	(106)	(91)	(258)	(207)
Total	\$ 97	\$ 95	\$ 226	\$ 213
Other cost of sales:				
Sempra South American Utilities	\$ 14	\$ 20	\$ 41	\$ 49
Sempra Mexico	3	2	6	7
Sempra LNG & Midstream	6	12	(37)	243
Eliminations and adjustments(1)	(2)	(2)	(5)	(6)
Total	\$ 21	\$ 32	\$ 5	\$ 293

(1) Includes eliminations of intercompany activity.

(2) Excludes depreciation and amortization, which are shown separately on Sempra Energy's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In the three months ended September 30, 2017, revenues from our energy-related businesses increased by \$131 million (48%) to \$402 million primarily due to:

- \$137 million increase at Sempra Mexico primarily due to:
 - \$104 million from the acquisition of the remaining 50-percent interest in IEnova Pipelines in September 2016 and from other pipeline assets placed in service, and
 - \$27 million from the acquisition of Ventika in December 2016; and
- \$14 million increase at Sempra Renewables primarily due to solar and wind assets placed in service during 2016; **offset by**
- \$14 million higher intercompany eliminations associated with sales between Sempra LNG & Midstream and Sempra Mexico.

In the first nine months of 2017, revenues from our energy-related businesses increased by \$458 million (75%) to \$1.1 billion. The increase included

- \$376 million increase at Sempra Mexico primarily due to:
 - \$268 million from the acquisition of the remaining 50-percent interest in IEnova Pipelines in September 2016 and from other pipeline assets placed in service,
 - \$80 million from the acquisition of Ventika in December 2016, and
 - \$22 million higher revenues due to higher natural gas prices in its gas business;
- \$90 million increase at Sempra LNG & Midstream, which included
 - \$69 million primarily due to mark-to-market losses in 2016 from natural gas marketing activities and from changes in natural gas prices, and
 - \$18 million from higher natural gas sales to Sempra Mexico; and
- \$49 million increase at Sempra Renewables primarily due to solar and wind assets placed in service during 2016; **offset by**
- \$50 million primarily from higher intercompany eliminations associated with sales between Sempra LNG & Midstream and Sempra Mexico.

In the first nine months of 2017, the cost of natural gas, electric fuel and purchased power for our energy-related businesses increased by \$13 million (6%) to \$226 million primarily due to:

- \$31 million increase at Sempra Mexico primarily due to higher natural gas costs; and
- \$30 million increase at Sempra LNG & Midstream primarily due to higher natural gas costs; **offset by**
- \$51 million from higher intercompany eliminations of costs associated with sales between Sempra LNG & Midstream and Sempra Mexico.

In the first nine months of 2017, other cost of sales decreased by \$288 million primarily due to a \$206 million charge in 2016 related to Sempra LNG & Midstream's permanent release of certain pipeline capacity and \$57 million settlement proceeds received in May 2017 from a breach of contract claim against a counterparty, of which \$47 million is related to the charge in 2016 from permanent release of pipeline capacity.

Operation and Maintenance

Our O&M increased by \$59 million (8%) to \$762 million in the three months ended September 30, 2017 primarily due to:

- \$33 million increase at SoCalGas, which included
 - \$19 million higher non-refundable operating costs, including labor, contract services and administrative and support costs, and
 - \$13 million higher expenses associated with CPUC-authorized refundable programs for which all costs incurred are fully recovered in revenue (refundable program expenses); and
- \$26 million increase at Sempra Mexico primarily due to consolidation of IEnova Pipelines and Ventika in the second half of 2016, and from growth in the business; **offset by**
- \$19 million decrease at SDG&E primarily due to lower expenses associated with CPUC-authorized refundable programs.

During the first nine months of 2017, O&M increased by \$98 million (5%) to \$2.2 billion primarily due to:

- \$78 million increase at SoCalGas, which included
 - \$49 million higher non-refundable operating costs, including labor, contract services and administrative and support costs, and
 - \$31 million higher expenses associated with CPUC-authorized refundable programs;
- \$72 million increase at Sempra Mexico primarily due to consolidation of IEnova Pipelines and Ventika in 2016, from growth in the business, and from scheduled major maintenance at TdM in the second quarter of 2017;
- \$21 million increase at Parent and Other primarily due to higher employee benefits and deferred compensation costs; and
- \$16 million increase at Sempra Renewables primarily due to solar and wind assets placed in service during 2016 and higher general and administrative and development costs; **offset by**

- \$67 million decrease at SDG&E, which included
 - \$46 million lower expenses associated with CPUC-authorized refundable programs,
 - \$11 million reimbursement of litigation costs associated with the arbitration ruling over the SONGS replacement steam generators, as we discuss in Note 9 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein, and
 - \$10 million decrease at Otay Mesa VIE primarily due to scheduled major maintenance in 2016 at the OMEC plant; and
- \$26 million decrease at Sempra LNG & Midstream primarily due to the sale of EnergySouth.

Impairment of Wildfire Regulatory Asset

In the third quarter of 2017, SDG&E recorded a \$351 million impairment of a regulatory asset associated with wildfire costs. We discuss this further in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

Other Impairment Losses

In the second quarter of 2017, Sempra Mexico reduced the carrying value of TdM by recognizing a noncash impairment charge of \$71 million, which we discuss in Notes 3 and 8 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein. Sempra Mexico had previously reduced the carrying value of TdM by \$131 million in the third quarter of 2016. In the first nine months of 2016, SoCalGas recorded a \$22 million impairment of assets related to the Southern Gas System Reliability Project.

Gain on Sale of Assets

In the third quarter of 2016, Sempra LNG & Midstream completed the sale of EnergySouth for proceeds of \$318 million, net of \$2 million cash sold, resulting in a pretax gain of \$130 million.

Equity Earnings, Before Income Tax

Equity earnings, before income tax, for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, increased by \$27 million to \$31 million. The change was primarily due to a \$44 million impairment charge in the first quarter of 2016 related to Sempra LNG & Midstream's investment in Rockies Express, offset by \$19 million lower equity earnings in 2017 as a result of the sale of our 25-percent interest in Rockies Express in May 2016.

Remeasurement of Equity Method Investment

In the third quarter of 2016, Sempra Mexico recorded a \$617 million noncash gain associated with the remeasurement of its equity interest in IEnova Pipelines. We discuss the transaction further in Note 3 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein and Notes 3 and 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

Other Income, Net

In 2017, as part of our central risk management function, we entered into foreign currency derivatives to hedge Sempra Mexico parent's exposure to movements in the Mexican peso from its controlling interest in IEnova. These foreign currency derivatives have notional amounts totaling \$850 million and expire in December 2017. The gains associated with these derivatives are included in Other Income, Net, as described below, and partially mitigate the transactional effects of foreign currency and inflation included in Income Taxes and in earnings from Sempra Mexico's equity method investments. We discuss policies governing our risk management in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Market Risk" in the Annual Report.

Other income, net, increased by \$15 million to \$41 million in the three months ended September 30, 2017 primarily due to \$5 million from net gains in 2017 on interest rate and foreign currency derivatives compared to \$11 million of losses in 2016 primarily as a result of significant fluctuation of the Mexican peso.

Other income, net, increased by \$203 million to \$301 million in the first nine months of 2017 primarily due to:

- \$99 million from net gains in 2017 on interest rate and foreign currency derivatives compared to \$23 million of losses in 2016 primarily as a result of significant fluctuation of the Mexican peso;
- \$53 million increase in equity-related AFUDC primarily from the Ojinaga and San Isidro pipeline projects at Sempra Mexico and capital projects at SDG&E; and
- \$7 million foreign currency transactional gains in 2017 compared to \$9 million losses in 2016.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased by \$72 million (17%) to \$493 million in the first nine months of 2017 primarily at Sempra Mexico mainly from the recognition of AFUDC for the Ojinaga and San Isidro pipeline projects and from interest on debt assumed in the IEnova Pipelines and Ventika acquisitions in the second half of 2016.

Income Taxes

The table below shows the income tax (benefit) expense and effective income tax rates for Sempra Energy, SDG&E and SoCalGas.

INCOME TAX (BENEFIT) EXPENSE AND EFFECTIVE INCOME TAX RATES					
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>					
	Income tax (benefit) expense	Effective income tax rate	Income tax expense	Effective income tax rate	
Three months ended September 30,					
	2017		2016		
Sempra Energy Consolidated	\$ (84)	(560)%	\$ 282		29%
SDG&E	(72)	79	91		32
SoCalGas	(14)	200	21		100
Nine months ended September 30,					
	2017		2016		
Sempra Energy Consolidated	\$ 378	32 %	\$ 284		21%
SDG&E	72	20	204		33
SoCalGas	103	28	75		27

Sempra Energy Consolidated

Sempra Energy's income tax benefit in the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to income tax expense in the same period of 2016 was due to lower pretax income in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the same period in 2016. The pretax income in 2017 includes a \$351 million (\$208 million after tax) impairment of SDG&E's wildfire regulatory asset, which we discuss in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein. The pretax income in 2016 includes a \$617 million noncash gain (\$350 million after tax and noncontrolling interests) associated with the remeasurement of Sempra Mexico's equity interest in IEnova Pipelines, which we discuss in Note 3 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein. The change to income taxes was also impacted by:

- \$18 million income tax benefit in 2017 compared to \$5 million income tax expense in 2016 from the resolution of prior years' income tax items; and
- \$9 million higher income tax benefit in 2017 from foreign currency and inflation effects primarily as a result of depreciation of the Mexican peso in the third quarter of 2017; **offset by**
- \$31 million tax benefit in 2016 from a reduction to the outside basis deferred tax liability on our investment in TdM that is held for sale.

The increase in income tax expense in the first nine months of 2017 was due to a higher effective income tax rate, offset by lower pretax income. The lower pretax income was impacted by the impairment of SDG&E's wildfire regulatory asset in 2017 and the noncash gain from the remeasurement of Sempra Mexico's equity interest in IEnova Pipelines in 2016, both described above. The higher effective income tax rate was primarily due to:

- \$136 million income tax expense in 2017 compared to \$28 million income tax benefit in 2016 from foreign currency and inflation effects primarily as a result of significant appreciation of the Mexican peso in 2017;
- \$1 million income tax expense in 2017 compared to \$34 million income tax benefit in 2016 associated with excess tax deficiencies/benefits related to share-based compensation; and
- \$23 million valuation allowance in 2017 against deferred tax assets at TdM that is held for sale, including \$12 million associated with the impairment in the second quarter of 2017. We discuss the planned sale and the impairment further in Notes 3 and 8 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein; **offset by**
- \$27 million income tax benefit in 2017 compared to \$5 million income tax expense in 2016 related to the resolution of prior years' income tax items; and
- \$13 million U.S. income tax expense in 2016 on planned repatriation of earnings from certain non-U.S. subsidiaries. We discuss repatriation in "Results of Operations – Changes in Revenues, Costs and Earnings – Income Taxes" in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in the Annual Report.

SDG&E

SDG&E's income tax benefit in the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to income tax expense in the same period in 2016 was due to a pretax loss in the three-month period in 2017 compared to pretax income in the corresponding period in 2016, and from a change in the effective income tax rates. The pretax loss in 2017 included the \$351 million impairment of the wildfire regulatory asset. In the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to the same period in 2016, SDG&E's income taxes were also impacted by \$4 million higher income tax benefit from the favorable resolution of prior years' income tax items.

The decrease in SDG&E's income tax expense in the first nine months of 2017 was due to lower pretax income and a lower effective income tax rate. The lower effective income tax rate was primarily due to:

- \$14 million higher income tax benefit in 2017 from the resolution of prior years' income tax items; **offset by**
- \$7 million income tax benefit in 2016 associated with excess tax benefits related to share-based compensation.

SoCalGas

SoCalGas' income tax benefit in the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to income tax expense in the same period in 2016 was due to a pretax loss in 2017. SoCalGas' income taxes were also impacted by a \$10 million income tax benefit in 2017 compared to a \$10 million income tax expense in 2016 related to the resolution of prior years' income tax items.

The increase in SoCalGas' income tax expense in the first nine months of 2017 was primarily due to higher pretax income. Also, the effective income tax rate was impacted by:

- \$10 million income tax benefit in 2017 compared to \$10 million income tax expense in 2016 related to the resolution of prior years' income tax items; **offset by**
- \$4 million income tax benefit in 2016 associated with excess tax benefits related to share-based compensation.

We discuss the forecasted effective tax rates anticipated for the full year, excluding the income tax effects that cannot be reliably forecasted, for Sempra Energy, SDG&E and SoCalGas in "Results of Operations – Changes in Revenues, Costs and Earnings – Income Taxes" in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in the Annual Report. We discuss the impact of foreign exchange rates and inflation on income taxes below in "Impact of Foreign Currency and Inflation Rates on Results of Operations." See Note 5 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein and Notes 1 and 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report for further details about our accounting for income taxes and items subject to flow-through treatment.

Equity Earnings (Losses), Net of Income Tax

In the three months ended September 30, 2017, equity earnings, net of income tax, decreased by \$16 million primarily due to \$20 million of equity earnings in 2016 from IEnova Pipelines, including \$7 million from DEN, prior to IEnova's acquisition of the remaining 50-percent interest in IEnova Pipelines in September 2016, as we discuss in Note 3 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein. In the three months ended September 30, 2017, equity losses from DEN were \$5 million.

Equity losses, net of income tax, were \$5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 compared to equity earnings, net of income tax, of \$69 million for the same period in 2016. The change was primarily due to:

- \$64 million of equity earnings in 2016 from IEnova Pipelines, including \$19 million from DEN, prior to IEnova's acquisition of the remaining 50-percent interest in IEnova Pipelines in September 2016; and
- \$16 million of equity losses in 2017 from DEN primarily from foreign currency and inflation effects.

Earnings Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests

Earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased by \$52 million to \$45 million in the three months ended September 30, 2017 primarily due to:

- \$47 million decrease at IEnova, which included:
 - \$61 million lower earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests as a result of the decrease in earnings, excluding the effects of foreign currency and inflation, as we discuss above in "Segment Results – Sempra Mexico;" *offset by*
 - \$14 million higher earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests, excluding the effects of foreign currency and inflation, from the decrease in our controlling interest from 81.1 percent to 66.4 percent following IEnova's equity offerings in October 2016, which we discuss in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report; and
- \$6 million losses attributed to tax equity investors at Sempra Renewables.

Earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased by \$74 million to \$44 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 primarily due to:

- \$78 million decrease at IEnova, which included:
 - \$57 million higher losses attributable to noncontrolling interests from foreign currency and inflation effects without the corresponding benefit from foreign currency derivatives that are not subject to noncontrolling interests, and
 - \$53 million lower earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests as a result of the decrease in earnings, excluding the effects of foreign currency and inflation, as we discuss above in "Segment Results – Sempra Mexico;" *offset by*
 - \$32 million higher earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests, excluding the effects of foreign currency and inflation, from the decrease in our controlling interest from 81.1 percent to 66.4 percent following IEnova's equity offerings in October 2016; and

- \$16 million losses attributed to tax equity investors at Sempra Renewables; **offset by**
- \$16 million increase in earnings at Otay Mesa VIE primarily as a result of scheduled major maintenance at the OMEC plant in 2016.

IMPACT OF FOREIGN CURRENCY AND INFLATION RATES ON RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Because our operations in South America and our natural gas distribution utility in Mexico use their local currency as their functional currency, revenues and expenses are translated into U.S. dollars at average exchange rates for the period for consolidation in Sempra Energy Consolidated's results of operations. Some income statement activities at our foreign operations and their joint ventures are also impacted by transactional gains and losses. We discuss further the impact of foreign currency and inflation rates on results of operations, including impacts on income taxes and related hedging activity, in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Impact of Foreign Currency and Inflation Rates on Results of Operations" in the Annual Report.

Foreign Currency Translation

Any difference in average exchange rates used for the translation of income statement activity from year to year can cause a variance in Sempra Energy's comparative results of operations. Changes in foreign currency translation rates between years impacted our comparative reported results as follows:

TRANSLATION IMPACT FROM CHANGE IN AVERAGE FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE RATES

(Dollars in millions)

	Third quarter 2017 compared to third quarter 2016	Year-to-date 2017 compared to year-to-date 2016
Higher earnings from foreign currency translation:		
Sempra South American Utilities	\$ 2	\$ 5

Foreign Currency Transactional Impacts

Foreign currency transactional gains and losses included in our reported results are as follows:

TRANSACTIONAL (LOSSES) GAINS FROM FOREIGN CURRENCY AND INFLATION

(Dollars in millions)

	Total reported amounts		Transactional (losses) gains included in reported amounts	
	Three months ended September 30,			
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Other income, net	\$ 41	\$ 26	\$ (6)	\$ (13)
Income tax benefit (expense)	84	(282)	13	4
Equity earnings, net of income tax	3	19	1	3
Net income	102	719	6	(1)
Earnings	57	622	6	(2)
	Nine months ended September 30,			
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Other income, net	\$ 301	\$ 98	\$ 108	\$ (32)
Income tax expense	(378)	(284)	(136)	28
Equity (losses) earnings, net of income tax	(5)	69	(21)	21
Net income	802	1,110	(90)	25
Earnings	757	991	(39)	18

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

OVERVIEW

We expect to meet cash requirements of our current operations through cash flows from operations, unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, borrowings under our credit facilities, distributions from our equity method investments, issuances of debt and equity securities, project financing and equity sales, including tax equity and partnering in joint ventures. We discuss the anticipated financing and cash flow impacts of our pending acquisition of EFH below.

Our lines of credit provide liquidity and support commercial paper. As we discuss in Note 6 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein, Sempra Energy, Sempra Global (the holding company for our subsidiaries not subject to California utility regulation) and the California Utilities each have five-year revolving credit facilities expiring in 2020. The table below shows the amount of available funds, including available unused credit on these three credit facilities, at September 30, 2017. Our foreign operations have additional general purpose credit facilities aggregating \$1.7 billion, with \$844 million available unused credit at September 30, 2017.

AVAILABLE FUNDS AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

(Dollars in millions)

	Sempra Energy Consolidated	SDG&E	SoCalGas
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents(1)	\$ 189	\$ 18	\$ 8
Available unused credit(2)(3)	2,612	565	724

(1) Amounts at Sempra Energy Consolidated include \$135 million held in non-U.S. jurisdictions that are unavailable to fund U.S. operations unless repatriated. We discuss repatriation in "Results of Operations – Changes in Revenues, Costs and Earnings – Income Taxes" in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in the Annual Report.

(2) Available unused credit is the total available on Sempra Energy's, Sempra Global's and the California Utilities' credit facilities that we discuss in Note 6 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein. Borrowings on the shared line of credit at SDG&E and SoCalGas are limited to \$750 million for each utility and a combined total of \$1 billion.

(3) Because the commercial paper programs are supported by these lines, we reflect the amount of commercial paper outstanding as a reduction to the available unused credit.

Sempra Energy Consolidated

We believe that these available funds, combined with cash flows from operations, distributions from our equity method investments, issuances of debt and equity securities, project financing and equity sales, including tax equity and partnering in joint ventures, will be adequate to fund our current operations, including to:

- finance capital expenditures
- meet liquidity requirements
- fund shareholder dividends
- fund new business or asset acquisitions or start-ups, including our pending acquisition of EFH
- repay maturing long-term debt
- fund expenditures related to the natural gas leak at SoCalGas' Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility

Sempra Energy and the California Utilities currently have ready access to the long-term debt markets and are not currently constrained in their ability to borrow at reasonable rates. However, changing economic conditions and matters related to our pending acquisition of EFH could affect the availability and cost of both short-term and long-term financing. Also, cash flows from operations may be impacted by the timing of commencement and completion of large projects. If cash flows from operations were to be significantly reduced or we were unable to borrow under acceptable terms, we would likely first reduce or postpone discretionary capital expenditures (not related to safety) and investments in new businesses. If these measures were necessary, they would primarily impact our Sempra Infrastructure businesses before we would reduce funds necessary for the ongoing needs of our utilities. We monitor our ability to finance the needs of our operating, investing and financing activities in a manner consistent with our intention to maintain our investment-grade credit ratings and capital structure.

Our short-term debt is primarily used to meet liquidity requirements, fund shareholder dividends, and temporarily finance capital expenditures and acquisitions or start-ups. Our corporate short-term, unsecured promissory notes, or commercial paper, were our primary sources of short-term debt funding in the first nine months of 2017. At our California Utilities, short-term debt is used primarily to meet working capital needs.

On October 13, 2017, Sempra Energy publicly offered and sold \$850 million of floating rate notes, maturing on March 15, 2021. The floating rate notes bear interest at a rate equal to the three-month LIBOR plus 45 basis points. The interest rate is reset quarterly. Sempra Energy used a substantial portion of the net proceeds from the offering to repay outstanding commercial paper with remaining proceeds used for general corporate purposes.

We have significant investments in several trusts to provide for future payments of pensions and other postretirement benefits, and nuclear decommissioning. Changes in asset values, which are dependent on the activity in the equity and fixed income markets, have not affected the trust funds' abilities to make required payments. However, changes in asset values may, along with a number of other factors such as changes to discount rates, assumed rates of return, mortality tables, and regulations, impact funding requirements for pension and other postretirement benefit plans and SDG&E's NDT. At the California Utilities, funding requirements are generally recoverable in rates. We discuss our employee benefit plans and SDG&E's NDT, including our investment allocation strategies for assets in these trusts, in Notes 7 and 13, respectively, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

Pending Acquisition of Energy Future Holdings Corp.

On August 21, 2017, Sempra Energy entered into a Merger Agreement to acquire EFH, the indirect owner of an 80.03-percent interest in Oncor (the Merger). Under the Merger Agreement, we will pay total consideration of \$9.45 billion in cash, subject to possible adjustment that we do not expect to be material (the Merger Consideration). We currently intend to initially finance the transaction, along with associated transaction costs, with the net proceeds from debt and equity issuances, and could also likely utilize revolving credit facilities, commercial paper and/or cash on hand. We currently intend to ultimately fund approximately 65 percent of the Merger Consideration with the net proceeds from sales of Sempra Energy common stock and, possibly, other equity securities and approximately 35 percent with the net proceeds from issuances of Sempra Energy debt securities. Some of the equity financing may be obtained after completion of the Merger and used to repay indebtedness incurred to finance the Merger Consideration and associated transaction costs. Our ability to raise the necessary funds through the sale of Sempra Energy equity securities and debt securities is subject to market conditions and other risks and uncertainties, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to raise the necessary funds on terms we consider acceptable, or at all.

We anticipate that the Merger, if consummated on the terms and under the financing structure currently contemplated, will have a positive impact on our consolidated results of operations. This expectation is based on current market conditions and is subject to a number of assumptions, estimates, projections and other uncertainties, including assumptions regarding the results of operations of the combined company after the Merger, the relative mix and timing of debt and equity financing necessary to fund the Merger Consideration and the price and interest rates at which we will be able to sell our debt and equity securities. This expectation also assumes that Oncor will perform in accordance with our expectations, and there can be no assurance that this will occur. In addition, we may encounter additional transaction costs and costs to manage our investment in Oncor, may fail to realize some or any of the benefits anticipated in the Merger, may be subject to currently unknown liabilities as a result of the Merger, or may be subject to other factors that affect preliminary estimates.

At September 30, 2017, in connection with our pending acquisition of EFH, we had a commitment letter providing, subject to customary conditions, for a \$4.0 billion, 364-day senior unsecured bridge facility from a syndicate of banks to backstop a portion of our obligations to pay the Merger Consideration. However, the \$4.0 billion commitment is reduced by the amount of funds received through Sempra Energy's sales of equity securities and debt securities, subject in each case to certain exceptions. At September 30, 2017, we had no amounts outstanding under this bridge facility.

We provide additional discussion regarding the Merger and financing risks in Note 3 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein and below in "Factors Influencing Future Performance" and Part II, Item 1A. "Risk Factors."

Loans to Affiliates

At September 30, 2017, Sempra Energy has provided loans to unconsolidated affiliates totaling \$537 million, which we discuss in Note 5 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

California Utilities

SDG&E and SoCalGas expect that the available funds described above, cash flows from operations, and debt issuances will continue to be adequate to fund their respective operations.

SDG&E declared and paid common stock dividends of \$450 million in the first nine months of 2017 and \$175 million in the year ended December 31, 2016. SDG&E does not anticipate further dividend payments for 2017.

As a result of SoCalGas' large capital investment program, SoCalGas has not declared or paid common stock dividends since 2015. SoCalGas does not anticipate paying common stock dividends in 2017, in order to maintain its authorized capital structure while managing its large capital program of over \$1 billion in 2017.

The California Utilities manage their capital structure and pay dividends when appropriate and as approved by their respective boards of directors.

Changes in balancing accounts for significant costs at SDG&E and SoCalGas, particularly a change in status between over- and under- collected, may have a significant impact on cash flows, as these changes generally represent the difference between when costs are incurred and when they are ultimately recovered in rates through billings to customers. SDG&E uses the ERRA balancing account to record the net of its actual cost incurred for electric fuel and purchased power. SDG&E's ERRA balance was undercollected by \$25 million, \$93 million and \$130 million at December 31, 2016, March 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017, respectively. The increases in the ERRA undercollected balance in 2017 have been primarily due to lower electric volume in conjunction with seasonalized electric rates. The CPUC authorized an ERRA Trigger mechanism in conjunction with California state law that allows for recovery of ERRA balances that exceed 5 percent of the prior year's electric commodity revenues. To reduce the undercollected ERRA balance, SDG&E filed an ERRA Trigger application with the CPUC in May 2017 requesting recovery of \$120 million to be amortized in rates over a 14-month period beginning November 2017. In August 2017, the CPUC issued a decision approving the request as filed. SDG&E's ERRA balance was undercollected by \$72 million at September 30, 2017.

SoCalGas and SDG&E use the CFCA balancing account to record the difference between the authorized margin and other costs allocated to core customers. Because warm weather experienced in 2016 and 2017 resulted in lower natural gas consumption compared to authorized levels, SoCalGas' CFCA balance was undercollected by \$93 million at September 30, 2017 and \$114 million at December 31, 2016. SDG&E's CFCA balance was undercollected by \$18 million at September 30, 2017 and \$66 million at December 31, 2016.

SoCalGas

Aliso Canyon Natural Gas Storage Facility Gas Leak

We provide information on the natural gas leak at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility further in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein and in "Factors Influencing Future Performance" below, as well as in Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and "Risk Factors" in the Annual Report. The costs of defending against the related civil and criminal lawsuits and cooperating with related investigations, and any damages, restitution, and civil, administrative and criminal fines, costs and other penalties, if awarded or imposed, as well as costs of mitigating the actual natural gas released, could be significant, and to the extent not covered by insurance (including any costs in excess of applicable policy limits), or if there were to be significant delays in receiving insurance recoveries, such costs could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations. Also, higher operating costs and additional capital expenditures incurred by SoCalGas as a result of new laws, orders, rules and regulations arising out of this incident or our responses thereto could be significant and may not be recoverable in customer rates, which may have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

The total costs incurred to remediate and stop the leak and to mitigate local community impacts are significant and may increase, and to the extent not covered by insurance (including any costs in excess of applicable policy limits), or if there were to be significant delays in receiving insurance recoveries, such costs could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Sempra South American Utilities

We expect to fund operations at Chilquinta Energía and Luz del Sur and dividends at Luz del Sur with available funds, including credit facilities, funds internally generated by those businesses, issuances of corporate bonds and other external borrowings.

Sempra Mexico

We expect to fund operations and dividends in Mexico with available funds, including credit facilities, and funds internally generated by the Mexico businesses, securities issuances, project financing, interim funding from the parent or affiliates, and partnering in joint ventures.

IEnova paid dividends of \$67 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and \$26 million in the year ended December 31, 2016 to its minority shareholders.

IMG is a joint venture between a subsidiary of IEnova and a subsidiary of TransCanada. In April 2017, IEnova entered into a revolving credit facility agreement, expiring in March 2022, with IMG for up to \$9.0 billion Mexican pesos or approximately \$495 million U.S. dollar-equivalent, to provide financing to IMG for the construction of the Sur de Texas - Tuxpan natural gas marine pipeline and for general corporate purposes, including repayment of other outstanding debt. At September 30, 2017, \$5.6 billion Mexican pesos or approximately \$307 million U.S. dollar-equivalent is outstanding against the line of credit. IEnova also has provided guarantees for certain obligations of IMG not to exceed \$288 million, as we discuss in Note 4 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

In October 2017, IEnova entered into an agreement to purchase PEMEX's 50-percent interest in DEN for total consideration of approximately \$231 million, including repayment of approximately \$81 million of outstanding debt owed by DEN to PEMEX. The transaction is subject to satisfactory completion of Mexican antitrust review and other customary closing conditions, and we expect it to close in the fourth quarter of 2017. We discuss this pending acquisition further in Note 3 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein. The cash consideration will be funded through IEnova's revolving credit facility.

Sempra Renewables

We expect Sempra Renewables to require funds for the development of and investment in electric renewable energy projects. Projects at Sempra Renewables may be financed through a combination of operating cash flow, project financing, funding from the parent, partnering in joint ventures, and other forms of equity sales, including tax equity. The varying costs and structure of these alternative financing sources impact the projects' returns and their earnings profile.

In September and October 2017, Sempra Renewables entered into membership interest purchase agreements with financial institutions to form tax equity limited liability companies that include a Sempra Renewables wind power generation project located in Huron County, Michigan and separately, a solar power generation project located near Fresno, California, respectively. Under the purchase agreements, the formation of the tax equity arrangements is subject to conditions precedent, including funding dates that correspond to the projects' completion. Sempra Renewables expects to receive cash proceeds totaling approximately \$270 million to \$300 million in the fourth quarter of 2017 through the second quarter of 2018, as phases of the projects are placed in service, related to the formation of the tax equity arrangements. We discuss these sales of noncontrolling interests further in Note 5 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

Sempra LNG & Midstream

We expect Sempra LNG & Midstream to require funding for the development and expansion of its portfolio of projects, which may be financed through a combination of operating cash flow, funding from the parent, project financing and partnering in joint ventures.

Sempra LNG & Midstream, through its interest in Cameron LNG JV, is developing a natural gas liquefaction export facility at the Cameron LNG JV terminal. The majority of the current three-train liquefaction project is project-financed, with most or all of the remainder of the capital requirements to be provided by the project partners, including Sempra Energy, through equity contributions under a joint venture agreement. We expect that our remaining equity requirements to complete the project will be met by a combination of our share of cash generated from each liquefaction train as it comes on line and additional cash contributions. Sempra Energy signed guarantees for 50.2 percent of Cameron LNG JV's obligations under the financing agreements for a maximum amount of \$3.9 billion. The project financing and guarantees became effective on October 1, 2014, the effective date of the joint venture formation. The guarantees will terminate upon satisfaction of certain conditions, including all three trains achieving commercial operation and meeting certain operational performance tests. We anticipate that the guarantees will be terminated approximately nine months after all three trains achieve commercial operation.

We discuss Cameron LNG JV and the joint venture financing further in Notes 3 and 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in "Risk Factors," and in "Factors Influencing Future Performance" in the Annual Report. We also discuss Cameron LNG JV in "Factors Influencing Future Performance" below.

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES

(Dollars in millions)

	Nine months ended September 30, 2017	2017 change		Nine months ended September 30, 2016
Sempra Energy Consolidated	\$ 2,710	\$ 1,019	60%	\$ 1,691
SDG&E	1,178	245	26	933
SoCalGas	1,066	657	161	409

Sempra Energy Consolidated

Cash provided by operating activities at Sempra Energy increased in 2017 primarily due to:

- \$811 million higher net income, adjusted for noncash items included in earnings, in 2017 compared to 2016, primarily due to improved results at our operating segments;
- \$64 million net decrease in Insurance Receivable for Aliso Canyon Costs in 2017 compared to a \$339 million net increase in 2016. The \$64 million net decrease in 2017 primarily includes \$125 million in insurance proceeds received, offset by \$63 million of additional accruals;

- \$11 million net decrease in Reserve for Aliso Canyon Costs in 2017 compared to a \$201 million net decrease in 2016. The \$11 million net decrease in 2017 includes \$74 million of cash paid, offset by \$63 million of additional accruals;
- \$55 million decrease in net undercollected regulatory balancing accounts (including long-term amounts included in regulatory assets) at SDG&E in 2017 compared to a \$20 million decrease in 2016; and
- \$30 million decrease in NDT at SDG&E in 2017 as a result of CPUC authorization to withdraw trust funds for SONGS decommissioning costs incurred in 2017; **offset by**
- \$36 million decrease in accounts payable in 2017 compared to a \$92 million increase in 2016;
- \$167 million decrease in accounts receivable in 2017 compared to a \$269 million decrease in 2016;
- \$74 million increase in income taxes receivable in 2017 compared to a \$6 million decrease in 2016;
- \$168 million increase in net overcollected regulatory balancing accounts (including long-term amounts included in regulatory assets) at SoCalGas in 2017 compared to a \$239 million increase in 2016; and
- \$23 million reduction to the SONGS regulatory asset in 2016 due to cash received for our portion of a DOE settlement with Edison related to spent nuclear fuel storage.

SDG&E

Cash provided by operating activities at SDG&E increased in 2017 primarily due to:

- \$152 million lower income tax payments;
- \$141 million higher net income, adjusted for noncash items included in earnings, in 2017 compared to 2016;
- \$55 million decrease in net undercollected regulatory balancing accounts (including long-term amounts included in regulatory assets) in 2017 compared to a \$20 million decrease in 2016;
- \$8 million increase in greenhouse gas allowances in 2017 compared to a \$40 million increase in 2016; and
- \$30 million decrease in NDT in 2017 as a result of CPUC authorization to withdraw trust funds for SONGS decommissioning costs incurred in 2017; **offset by**
- \$121 million increase in accounts receivable in 2017 compared to a \$30 million increase in 2016;
- \$55 million increase in accounts payable in 2017 compared to a \$95 million increase in 2016; and
- \$23 million reduction to the SONGS regulatory asset in 2016 due to cash received for our portion of a DOE settlement with Edison related to spent nuclear fuel storage.

SoCalGas

Cash provided by operating activities at SoCalGas increased in 2017 primarily due to:

- \$64 million net decrease in Insurance Receivable for Aliso Canyon Costs in 2017 compared to a \$339 million net increase in 2016. The \$64 million net decrease in 2017 primarily includes \$125 million in insurance proceeds received, offset by \$63 million of additional accruals;
- \$11 million net decrease in Reserve for Aliso Canyon Costs in 2017 compared to a \$201 million net decrease in 2016. The \$11 million net decrease in 2017 includes \$74 million of cash paid, offset by \$63 million of additional accruals; and
- \$110 million higher net income, adjusted for noncash items included in earnings, in 2017 compared to 2016; **offset by**
- \$168 million increase in net overcollected regulatory balancing accounts (including long-term amounts included in regulatory assets) in 2017 compared to a \$239 million increase in 2016.

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES

(Dollars in millions)

	Nine months ended September 30, 2017		2017 change		Nine months ended September 30, 2016	
Sempra Energy Consolidated	\$	(3,260)	\$	(172)	(5)%	\$ (3,432)
SDG&E		(1,094)		112	11	(982)
SoCalGas		(1,033)		83	9	(950)

Sempra Energy Consolidated

Cash used in investing activities at Sempra Energy decreased in 2017 primarily due to:

- \$1.078 billion, net of cash acquired, paid for Sempra Mexico's acquisition of the remaining 50-percent interest in IEnova Pipelines in September 2016; and

- \$207 million decrease in capital expenditures; **offset by**
- \$443 million net proceeds received from Sempra LNG & Midstream's sale of its investment in Rockies Express in 2016;
- \$318 million net proceeds received from Sempra LNG & Midstream's sale of EnergySouth in 2016;
- \$309 million higher advances to unconsolidated affiliates; and
- \$71 million decrease in NDT at SDG&E in 2016 as a result of CPUC authorization to withdraw trust funds for SONGS decommissioning costs incurred in 2013 and 2014.

SDG&E

Cash used in investing activities at SDG&E increased in 2017 primarily due to:

- \$163 million increase in capital expenditures; and
- \$71 million decrease in NDT in 2016 as a result of CPUC authorization to withdraw trust funds for SONGS decommissioning costs incurred in 2013 and 2014; **offset by**
- \$31 million decrease in advances to Sempra Energy in 2017 compared to a \$107 million increase in 2016.

SoCalGas

Cash used in investing activities at SoCalGas increased in 2017 due to an \$84 million increase in capital expenditures.

Capital Expenditures

Sempra Energy Consolidated Expenditures for Property, Plant and Equipment

The following table summarizes capital expenditures in 2017 compared to 2016.

	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2017	2016
EXPENDITURES FOR PP&E		
<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>		
SDG&E:		
Improvements to natural gas, including certain pipeline safety, and electric and generation distribution systems	\$ 723	\$ 536
PSEP	39	90
Improvements to electric transmission systems	350	302
Electric generation plants and equipment	10	31
SoCalGas:		
Improvements to natural gas distribution, transmission and storage systems, and for certain pipeline safety	859	668
PSEP	144	206
Advanced metering infrastructure	30	75
Sempra South American Utilities:		
Improvements to electric transmission and distribution systems and generation projects in Peru	77	94
Improvements to electric transmission and distribution infrastructure in Chile	61	39
Sempra Mexico:		
Construction of the Sonora, Ojinaga and San Isidro pipeline projects	151	214
Construction of other natural gas pipeline and renewables projects, and capital expenditures at Ecogas	42	18
Sempra Renewables:		
Construction costs for wind projects	115	101
Construction costs for solar projects/facilities	246	599
Sempra LNG & Midstream:		
Cameron Interstate Pipeline expansion and other LNG liquefaction development costs	12	82
Other	4	18
Parent and other		
	17	14
Total	\$ 2,880	\$ 3,087

The amounts and timing of capital expenditures are generally subject to approvals by various regulatory and other governmental and environmental bodies, including the CPUC and the FERC. In 2017, we expect to make capital expenditures and investments of approximately \$4.2 billion, an increase from the \$3.4 billion summarized in "Capital Resources and Liquidity" in the Annual Report. The increase is primarily attributable to an additional solar project at Sempra Renewables, acquiring an additional ownership interest

in DEN, which owns a 50-percent interest in the Los Ramones Norte pipeline, at Sempra Mexico and additional capital expenditures at SDG&E. As we discuss above, Sempra Energy entered into a Merger Agreement in August 2017 to acquire EFH for total cash consideration of \$9.45 billion, subject to possible adjustment. We expect the transaction to close in the first half of 2018.

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

(Dollars in millions)

	Nine months ended September 30, 2017	2017 change	Nine months ended September 30, 2016
Sempra Energy Consolidated	\$ 381	\$ (1,467)	\$ 1,848
SDG&E	(74)	(126)	52
SoCalGas	(37)	(528)	491

Sempra Energy Consolidated

At Sempra Energy, cash provided by financing activities decreased in 2017, primarily due to:

- \$475 million net increase in short-term debt in 2017 compared to a \$1,636 million net increase in 2016;
- \$531 million higher payments of debt with maturities greater than 90 days, including:
 - \$618 million higher payments of commercial paper and other short-term debt (\$973 million in 2017 compared to \$355 million in 2016), *offset by*
 - \$87 million lower payments of long-term debt (\$856 million in 2017 compared to \$943 million in 2016);
- \$78 million deposit received by Sempra Renewables in 2016 in connection with a tax equity financing arrangement that closed in the fourth quarter of 2016; and
- \$66 million higher net distributions to noncontrolling interests, primarily from dividend payments made by IEnova to its minority shareholders; **offset by**
- \$382 million higher issuances of debt with maturities greater than 90 days, including:
 - \$233 million for commercial paper and other short-term debt (\$1.2 billion in 2017 compared to \$966 million in 2016), and
 - \$149 million for long-term debt (\$1.2 billion in 2017 compared to \$1 billion in 2016).

SDG&E

At SDG&E, financing activities were a use of cash in 2017 compared to a source of cash in 2016, primarily due to:

- \$275 million higher common dividends paid in 2017;
- \$100 million lower issuances of long-term debt; and
- \$35 million higher payments of long-term debt; **offset by**
- \$185 million increase in short-term debt in 2017 compared to a \$114 million decrease in 2016.

SoCalGas

At SoCalGas, financing activities were a use of cash in 2017 compared to a source of cash in 2016, primarily due to:

- \$499 million issuance of long-term debt in 2016; and
- \$36 million net decrease in short-term debt in 2017.

COMMITMENTS

We discuss significant changes to contractual commitments since December 31, 2016 at Sempra Energy, SDG&E and SoCalGas in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

CREDIT RATINGS

The credit ratings of Sempra Energy, SDG&E and SoCalGas remained at investment grade levels during the first nine months of 2017. Our credit ratings may affect the rates at which borrowings bear interest and the commitment fees on available unused credit. We provide additional information about our credit ratings at Sempra Energy, SDG&E and SoCalGas in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Credit Ratings” in the Annual Report.

On October 5 and 6, 2017, Fitch Ratings and Standard & Poor's, respectively, affirmed Sempra Energy's long-term issuer default rating following our announcement to acquire 100 percent of EFH with the currently contemplated financing structure. Also on October 5, 2017, Moody's Investors Service indicated that it will likely consider placing its credit rating on Sempra Energy's debt securities on negative outlook if it perceives no significant regulatory opposition to the Merger to acquire EFH as currently structured, which may make it more difficult and/or costly for Sempra Energy to issue debt securities. Such a determination with respect to a negative outlook could occur prior to the completion of the Merger. In addition, Moody's Investors Service may downgrade Sempra Energy's credit rating in connection with the Merger, which may have a similar effect.

In addition, unrelated to the Merger, Standard & Poor's recently revised its debt ratings criteria, "Reflecting Subordination Risk in Corporate Issue Ratings," on September 21, 2017, and as a result of this new methodology, has indicated that it could downgrade its rating of Sempra Energy's senior unsecured debt securities within the next 12 months if Sempra Energy does not complete the Merger under the financing plan currently contemplated or if the aggregate indebtedness of Sempra Energy's subsidiaries continues to exceed 50 percent of Sempra Energy's total consolidated debt, which may also make it more difficult or costly for Sempra Energy to issue debt securities. We provide additional discussion regarding the Merger and financing risks in Notes 3 and 6 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein and below in "Factors Influencing Future Performance" and Part II, Item 1A. "Risk Factors."

FACTORS INFLUENCING FUTURE PERFORMANCE

We discuss various factors that we have identified that could influence our future performance in "Factors Influencing Future Performance" in the Annual Report. We discuss below significant, new developments to those factors that have occurred in 2017, as well as any new factors that we have identified in 2017. You should read the information below together with "Factors Influencing Future Performance" and "Risk Factors" contained in the Annual Report.

SEMPRA ENERGY

Pending Acquisition of Energy Future Holdings Corp.

On August 21, 2017, Sempra Energy entered into a Merger Agreement to acquire EFH, the indirect owner of an 80.03-percent interest in Oncor, for total cash consideration of \$9.45 billion, subject to possible adjustment. Oncor is a regulated electric distribution and transmission business that operates the largest distribution and transmission system in Texas. We expect the transaction to close in the first half of 2018. Upon consummation of the acquisition, we will consolidate EFH and we will account for our ownership in Oncor Holdings and Oncor as an equity method investment. We discuss this Merger in Notes 3 and 6 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein, above in "Capital Resources and Liquidity" and below in Part II, Item 1A. "Risk Factors."

The Merger is subject to customary closing conditions, including the approval of the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, the PUCT, the Vermont Department of Financial Regulation, and the FERC, among others, as well as receipt of a private letter ruling from the IRS and certain tax opinions regarding the transaction. If the required governmental consents, approvals and rulings are not received, or if they are not received on terms that satisfy the conditions in the agreements governing the Merger, the Merger could be abandoned, delayed or restructured. The agreements governing the Merger may require us to accept conditions from regulators that could materially adversely impact the results of operations, financial condition and prospects of Sempra Energy (which after giving effect to the assumed completion of our proposed acquisition of EFH, we refer to as the "combined company").

Tax Matters

Vistra completed its spin-off from EFH in 2016. Vistra's spin-off from EFH was intended to qualify for partially tax-free treatment to EFH and its stockholders under Sections 368(a)(1)(G), 355 and 356 (collectively referred to as the "Intended Tax Treatment") of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. If it is determined that the spin-off did not qualify for the Intended Tax Treatment, EFH could incur substantial tax liabilities. Since this would materially reduce and potentially eliminate the value of our investment in EFH if the Merger is completed and could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition and prospects of the combined company and on the market value of Sempra Energy common stock and debt securities, Sempra Energy has included, as an express condition to closing, the receipt of a supplemental private letter ruling from the IRS, as well as tax opinions of counsel to Sempra Energy and EFH, that generally provide that the Merger will not affect the tax-free treatment of the Vistra spin-off as stated in the current IRS private letter ruling and tax opinions. If the IRS does not issue a reasonably satisfactory supplemental private letter ruling or Sempra Energy does not receive acceptable tax opinions, then Sempra Energy does not have to consummate the Merger.

Oncor Performance

The success of the Merger will depend, in part, on the ability of Oncor to successfully execute its business strategy, including several objectives that are capital intensive, and respond to challenges in the electric utility industry. If Oncor is not able to achieve these objectives, is not able to achieve these objectives on a timely basis, or otherwise fails to perform in accordance with our expectations, the anticipated benefits of the Merger may not be realized fully or at all and the Merger may materially adversely affect the results of operations, financial condition and prospects of the combined company and, consequently, the market value of Sempra Energy common stock and debt securities. In addition, if Oncor fails to meet its capital requirements or if its credit ratings at closing by any one of the three major rating agencies are below the ratings as of June 30, 2017, we may be required to make additional investments in Oncor, or if Oncor is unable to access sufficient capital to finance its ongoing needs we may elect to make additional investments in Oncor, which could be substantial and which would reduce the cash available to us for other purposes, could increase our indebtedness and could ultimately materially adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and prospects after the Merger.

Financing and Dilution

We currently intend to initially finance the Merger Consideration of \$9.45 billion, subject to possible adjustment that we do not expect to be material, along with the associated transaction costs, with the proceeds from debt and equity issuances, and could also likely utilize revolving credit facilities, commercial paper and/or cash on hand. We intend to ultimately issue and sell a significant number of new shares of our common stock, and may also issue and sell other equity securities (which may be convertible into new shares of our common stock), to pay a significant portion of the Merger Consideration and associated transaction costs. These contemplated equity issuances will have the effect of diluting the economic and voting interests of our shareholders and, without a commensurate increase in Sempra Energy's earnings, would dilute our earnings per share. There can be no assurance that Sempra Energy will be able to raise the necessary funds on terms acceptable to us, or at all.

Absence of Control

In accordance with the ring-fencing measures, existing governance mechanisms and commitments we made as part of the application to the PUCT for regulatory approval of the Merger, we will be subject to certain restrictions following the Merger. As a result, we will not control Oncor Holdings or Oncor, and we will have limited ability to direct the management, policies and operations of Oncor Holdings and Oncor, including the deployment or disposition of their assets, declarations of dividends, strategic planning and other important corporate issues and actions. We will have limited representation on the Oncor Holdings and Oncor boards of directors. The existence of these ring-fencing measures and other limitations may increase our costs of financing. Further, the Oncor directors have considerable autonomy and as described in our commitments have a duty to act in the best interest of Oncor consistent with the approved ring-fence and Delaware law, which may be contrary to our best interests or be in opposition to our preferred strategic direction for Oncor. To the extent that they take actions that are not in our interests, the financial condition, results of operations and prospects of the combined company may be materially adversely affected.

Key Personnel at Oncor

If, despite efforts to retain certain key personnel at Oncor, any key personnel depart or fail to continue employment as a result of the Merger, the loss of the services of such personnel and their experience and knowledge could adversely affect Oncor's results of operations, financial condition and prospects and the successful ongoing operation of its business, which could also have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition and prospects of the combined company.

We provide additional discussion regarding the Merger and related risks in Notes 3 and 6 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein, above in "Capital Resources and Liquidity" and below in Part II, Item 1A. "Risk Factors."

Capital Project Updates

We summarize below updates regarding certain major capital projects at SDG&E.

CAPITAL PROJECTS – SDG&E		
Project description	Estimated capital cost (in millions)	Status
South Orange County Reliability Enhancement		
§ December 2016 CPUC final decision granted a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to replace/upgrade existing electric transmission lines and substation infrastructure to enhance the capacity and reliability of electric service to the south Orange County area.	\$ 381	§ Construction expected to start in the first quarter of 2018. § October 2017 CPUC order denied rehearing requests filed by the City of San Juan Capistrano and a local opposition group.
Electric Vehicle Charging		
§ January 2017 application, pursuant to SB 350, to perform various activities and make investments in support of electric vehicle charging. § Estimated implementation cost of \$51 million of O&M.	\$ 298	§ Application pending; decision expected in first half of 2018.
Energy Storage		
§ August 2016 CPUC approval to own and operate two energy storage projects totaling 37.5 MW to enhance electric reliability in the San Diego service territory.	Not disclosed	§ Completed in first quarter of 2017.
§ April 2017 application to procure up to 70 MW of utility-owned energy storage to provide local capacity.	Not disclosed	§ Application pending; draft decision expected in first half of 2018.
Utility Billing and Customer Information Systems Software		
§ April 2017 application to replace the software. § Estimated implementation cost of \$67 million of O&M.	\$ 220	§ Application pending; draft decision expected in first half of 2018.

Sunrise Powerlink Project Cost Cap

In August 2015, SDG&E filed a petition with the CPUC requesting that it revise and confirm the project cost cap for the Sunrise Powerlink, a 500-kV electric transmission line between the Imperial Valley and the San Diego region that was energized and placed in service in June 2012. While post-energization construction activities for the project were completed in 2013, certain matters relating to outstanding claims were not resolved until the first quarter of 2015. The filing requested CPUC approval of the final expenditure report for the project and the proposed revisions to the total project cost cap. As evidenced in the final report, actual expenditures for the project totaled \$1.9 billion (in 2012 dollars, on a net present value basis), which exceeds the total project cost cap approved by the CPUC in 2008 by \$4.4 million.

In June 2017, the CPUC dismissed SDG&E's petition as moot since the Sunrise Powerlink transmission project has been fully constructed and found that, although the CPUC may establish a cost cap for electric transmission projects, the recovery of the associated costs is under FERC jurisdiction. The decision also finds that SDG&E complied with the CPUC's quarterly reporting requirements, resolving the issue of whether the adequacy of such reporting should be further investigated.

Potential Impacts of Community Choice Aggregation and Direct Access

SDG&E provides electric services, including the commodity of electricity, to the majority of its customers ("bundled customers"). SDG&E procures electricity, typically on a long-term basis, on behalf of these bundled customers. However, SDG&E's earnings are "decoupled" from electric sales volumes, one aspect of which is that commodity costs for electricity are directly passed through to bundled customers (see discussion in "Revenues – California Utilities" in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report). SDG&E's bundled customers have the option to purchase the commodity of electricity from alternate suppliers under defined programs, including CCA and DA. In such cases, California law (SB 350) prohibits remaining bundled customers from experiencing any cost increase as a result of electric commodity no longer being consumed by the departing customers. Existing rate mechanisms may not be sufficient to ensure that remaining bundled customers do not experience any cost increase as a result of departing customers. SDG&E, PG&E and Edison filed a joint application with the CPUC in April 2017 to replace these existing mechanisms and ensure compliance with state law. In June 2017, the CPUC initiated a rulemaking proceeding to address this matter and dismissed the joint application without prejudice, since the issues it raised will be addressed in the rulemaking. We expect a decision on a revised rate mechanism in 2018, with implementation in 2019.

Currently, DA in SDG&E's service area is limited by state law and is approximately 17 percent of SDG&E's annual demand, and there are no CCA providers in SDG&E's service area. However, several local political jurisdictions, including the City of San Diego and a few other, smaller municipalities, are considering the formation of a CCA which, if implemented, could result in the departure of more than half of SDG&E's bundled load. State law requires that customers opting to have a CCA procure their energy must also absorb the cost of energy procurement commitments already made by SDG&E on their behalf. If mechanisms to ensure compliance with state law were not in place at the time of these potentially significant reductions in SDG&E's served load, remaining bundled customers of SDG&E could potentially experience large increases in rates for commodity costs under commitments made on behalf of the CCA customers. If legislative, regulatory or legal action were taken to prevent the timely recovery of these procurement costs, the unrecovered costs could have a material adverse effect on SDG&E's and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

SONGS

SDG&E has a 20-percent ownership interest in SONGS, formerly a 2,150-MW nuclear generating facility near San Clemente, California, that is in the process of being decommissioned by Edison, the majority owner of SONGS. In Notes 9 and 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein, and in Notes 13 and 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and in "Risk Factors" in the Annual Report, we discuss regulatory and other matters related to SONGS, including:

- a reopened CPUC proceeding that is considering whether a SONGS-related amended settlement agreement approved in 2014 is reasonable and in the public interest, which will result in the reaffirmation of the Amended Settlement Agreement, or a different cost allocation among ratepayers and shareholders associated with the premature shutdown of SONGS Units 2 and 3;
- matters concerning the ability to timely withdraw funds from trust accounts for the payment of decommissioning costs; and
- the arbitration decision finding MHI liable for breach of contract in connection with the replacement steam generators at the SONGS nuclear power plant, subject to a contractual limitation of liability, and awarding MHI 95 percent of its arbitration costs as MHI was found to be the prevailing party.

Wildfire Claims Cost Recovery

In September 2015, SDG&E filed an application with the CPUC requesting rate recovery of an estimated \$379 million in costs related to the October 2007 wildfires that have been recorded as a wildfire regulatory asset, as we discuss in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein and Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report.

In response to our application seeking recovery, in April 2016, the CPUC issued a ruling establishing the scope and schedule for the proceeding to be managed in two phases. Phase 1 addresses SDG&E's operational and management prudence surrounding the 2007 wildfires. Phase 2 addresses whether SDG&E's actions and decision-making in connection with settling legal claims in relation to the wildfires were reasonable. On August 22, 2017, two ALJs in the CPUC proceeding issued a proposed decision denying SDG&E's request to recover the 2007 wildfire costs submitted in our application.

In consideration of the proposed decision denying recovery of these costs, and the actions taken and not taken by the CPUC subsequent to issuance of the proposed decision (including the actions not taken through the October 26, 2017 CPUC meeting), we have concluded that the wildfire regulatory asset no longer meets the probability threshold for recovery required by U.S. GAAP. Accordingly, SDG&E impaired the wildfire regulatory asset, resulting in a charge of \$351 million (\$208 million after-tax) in the third quarter of 2017, in Impairment of Wildfire Regulatory Asset on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for Sempra Energy and SDG&E. SDG&E will continue to vigorously pursue recovery of these costs, which were incurred through settling claims brought under inverse condemnation laws.

If the proposed decision is adopted by the CPUC and is not overturned through rehearing or appeal, Phase 2 of the proceeding would be rendered moot and the proceeding would be closed. In such case, SDG&E would apply to the CPUC for rehearing of its decision within 30 days, upon which the CPUC may grant a rehearing, modify its decision, or deny the request and affirm its original decision. Ultimately, SDG&E has the right to file a petition with the Court of Appeal of California seeking to reverse the CPUC's decision, and we will appeal the decision, if necessary. We expect a CPUC final decision in the fourth quarter of 2017.

Other SDG&E Matters

See "Factors Influencing Future Performance" in the Annual Report for a discussion about:

- Electric Rate Reform – California Assembly Bill 327
- Distributed Energy Storage – California Assembly Bill 2868
- Renewable Energy Procurement
- Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act – California SB 350

SOCALGAS

Aliso Canyon Natural Gas Storage Facility Gas Leak

In October 2015, SoCalGas discovered a leak at one of its injection-and-withdrawal wells, SS25, at its Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility (the Leak) located in Los Angeles County, which SoCalGas has operated as a natural gas storage facility since 1972. SoCalGas worked closely with several of the world's leading experts to stop the Leak. On February 18, 2016, DOGGR confirmed that the well was permanently sealed.

Local Community Mitigation Efforts

Pursuant to a stipulation and order by the LA Superior Court, SoCalGas provided temporary relocation support to residents in the nearby community who requested it before the well was permanently sealed. Following the permanent sealing of the well, the DPH conducted testing in certain homes in the Porter Ranch community, and concluded that indoor conditions did not present a long-term health risk and that it was safe for residents to return home. In May 2016, the LA Superior Court ordered SoCalGas to offer to clean residents' homes at SoCalGas' expense as a condition to ending the relocation program. SoCalGas completed the residential cleaning program and the relocation program ended in July 2016.

In May 2016, the DPH also issued a directive that SoCalGas additionally professionally clean (in accordance with the proposed protocol prepared by the DPH) the homes of all residents located within the Porter Ranch Neighborhood Council boundary, or who participated in the relocation program, or who are located within a five mile radius of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility and experienced symptoms from the Leak (the Directive). SoCalGas disputes the Directive, contending that it is invalid and unenforceable, and has filed a petition for writ of mandate to set aside the Directive.

The total costs incurred to remediate and stop the Leak and to mitigate local community impacts are significant and may increase, and we may be subject to potentially significant damages, restitution, and civil, administrative and criminal fines, costs and other penalties. To the extent any of these costs are not covered by insurance (including any costs in excess of applicable policy limits), or if there were to be significant delays in receiving insurance recoveries, such costs could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Litigation

In connection with the Leak, as of October 26, 2017, 344 lawsuits, including over 43,826 plaintiffs, are pending against SoCalGas, some of which have also named Sempra Energy. Derivative and securities claims have also been filed on behalf of Sempra Energy and/or SoCalGas or their shareholders against certain officers and directors of Sempra Energy and/or SoCalGas. We provide further detail on these cases, as well as on complaints filed by the California Attorney General, acting in an independent capacity and on behalf of the people of the State of California and the CARB, together with the Los Angeles City Attorney; the SCAQMD; and the County of Los Angeles, on behalf of itself and the people of the State of California; and on a misdemeanor criminal complaint filed by the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office; in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein. Additional litigation may be filed against us in the future related to the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility incident or our responses thereto.

The costs of defending against these civil and criminal lawsuits, cooperating with these investigations, and any damages, restitution, and civil, administrative and criminal fines, costs and other penalties, if awarded or imposed, as well as the costs of mitigating the actual natural gas released, could be significant and to the extent not covered by insurance (including any costs in excess of applicable policy limits), or if there were to be significant delays in receiving insurance recoveries, such costs could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Governmental Investigations

Various governmental agencies have investigated or are investigating this incident.

- In January 2016, the Governor of the State of California issued an Order (the Governor's Order) proclaiming a state of emergency to exist in Los Angeles County due to the Leak. The Governor's Order imposes various orders with respect to: stopping the Leak; protecting public health and safety; ensuring accountability; and strengthening oversight. We provide further detail regarding the Governor's Order and the CARB's *Aliso Canyon Methane Leak Climate Impacts Mitigation Program*, issued pursuant to the Governor's Order, in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein.
- In January 2016, SoCalGas entered into a Stipulated Order for Abatement with the SCAQMD and agreed to take various actions in connection with injecting and withdrawing natural gas at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility, sealing the well, monitoring, reporting, safety and funding a health impact study, among other things. In February 2017, SoCalGas entered into a settlement agreement with the SCAQMD, and in March 2017, the Hearing Board terminated the Abatement Order. We provide further detail regarding the SCAQMD stipulated Abatement Order in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

- In January 2016, DOGGR and the CPUC selected Blade to conduct an independent analysis under their direction and supervision to be funded by SoCalGas to investigate the technical root cause of the Leak. The timing of the root cause analysis is under the control of Blade, DOGGR and the CPUC.
- In February 2017, the CPUC opened a proceeding pursuant to SB 380 to determine the feasibility of minimizing or eliminating use of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility, while still maintaining energy and electric reliability for the region, as we discuss below in “Regulatory Proceedings” and “SB 380.”

Natural Gas Storage Operations and Reliability

Natural gas withdrawn from storage is important for service reliability during peak demand periods, including peak electric generation needs in the summer and heating needs in the winter. The Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility, with a storage capacity of 86 Bcf (which represents 63 percent of SoCalGas’ natural gas storage inventory capacity), is the largest SoCalGas storage facility and an important element of SoCalGas’ delivery system. SoCalGas did not inject natural gas into the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility after October 25, 2015, pursuant to orders by DOGGR and the Governor, and in accordance with SB 380. Limited withdrawals of natural gas from the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility have been made in 2017 to augment natural gas supplies during critical demand periods. In April and June of 2017, SoCalGas advised the CAISO, CEC, CPUC and PHMSA of its concerns that the inability to inject natural gas into the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility poses a risk to energy reliability in Southern California.

On July 19, 2017, DOGGR issued its *Order to: Test and Take Temporary Actions Upon Resuming Injection: Aliso Canyon Gas Storage Facility*, lifting the prohibition on injection at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility, subject to its requirements that SoCalGas conduct and report results of a leak survey and measurement of total site methane emissions before resuming injection operations, as well as other requirements after injection resumes. The CPUC additionally issued a directive to SoCalGas to maintain a range of working gas in the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility at a target of 23.6 Bcf (approximately 28 percent of its maximum capacity), and at all times above 14.8 Bcf. DOGGR’s findings require SoCalGas to continue to operate the facility under restrictions that limit the rate at which it is able to withdraw natural gas from the field. In July 2017, the County of Los Angeles sought a temporary restraining order to block DOGGR’s order; the Superior Court ruled that it lacks jurisdiction to rule on the County’s application. The County then sought review of the Superior Court’s order denying the County’s application for a temporary restraining order and an immediate stay of injections, which the Court of Appeal denied. We provide further detail regarding DOGGR’s order and the County of Los Angeles’ petition in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein. Also on July 19, 2017, the CEC released a letter to the CPUC indicating that its staff is prepared to work with the CPUC and other agencies on a plan to phase out the use of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility within ten years. The CEC and other stakeholders will be providing input into the SB 380 proceeding underway at the CPUC that addresses the future of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility. Having completed the steps outlined by state agencies to safely begin injections at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility, as of July 31, 2017, SoCalGas resumed limited injections.

If the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility were determined to be out of service for any meaningful period of time or permanently closed, or if future cash flows were otherwise insufficient to recover its carrying value, it could result in an impairment of the facility and significantly higher than expected operating costs and/or additional capital expenditures, and natural gas reliability and electric generation could be jeopardized. At September 30, 2017, the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility has a net book value of \$609 million, including \$244 million of construction work in progress for the project to construct a new compression station. Any significant impairment of this asset could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas’ and Sempra Energy’s results of operations for the period in which it is recorded. Higher operating costs and additional capital expenditures incurred by SoCalGas may not be recoverable in customer rates, and could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas’ and Sempra Energy’s cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Regulatory Proceedings

In February 2017, the CPUC opened a proceeding pursuant to SB 380 to determine the feasibility of minimizing or eliminating the use of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility, while still maintaining energy and electric reliability for the region. The proceeding will be conducted in two phases, with Phase 1 undertaking a comprehensive effort to develop the appropriate analyses and scenarios to evaluate the impact of reducing or eliminating the use of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility and Phase 2 evaluating the impacts of reducing or eliminating the use of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility using the scenarios and models adopted in Phase 1. In accordance with the Phase 1 schedule, public participation hearings began in April 2017, and workshops and additional public participation hearings are expected to occur later in 2017.

Section 455.5 of the California Public Utilities Code, among other things, directs regulated utilities to notify the CPUC if all or any portion of a major facility has been out of service for nine consecutive months. Although SoCalGas does not believe the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility or any portion of that facility has been out of service for nine consecutive months, SoCalGas provided notification out of an abundance of caution to demonstrate its commitment to regulatory compliance and transparency, and because the process for obtaining authorization to resume injection operations at the facility required longer to complete than initially contemplated. In response, and as required by section 455.5, the CPUC issued an OII to address whether the Aliso Canyon natural gas

storage facility or any portion of that facility has been out of service for nine consecutive months pursuant to section 455.5, and if it is determined to have been out of service, whether the CPUC should adjust SoCalGas' rates to reflect the period the facility is deemed to have been out of service. Under section 455.5 hearings on the investigation are to be held, if necessary, in conjunction with SoCalGas' 2019 GRC proceeding; however, the CPUC issued a procedural schedule that includes an evidentiary hearing on January 9, 2018, if needed. If the CPUC determines that all or any portion of the facility has been out of service for nine consecutive months, the amount of any refund to ratepayers and the inability to earn a return on those assets could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

In March 2016, the CPUC ordered SoCalGas to establish a memorandum account to prospectively track its authorized revenue requirement and all revenues that it receives for its normal, business-as-usual costs to own and operate the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility and, in September 2016, approved SoCalGas' request to begin tracking these revenues as of March 17, 2016. The CPUC will determine later whether, and to what extent, the authorized revenues tracked in the memorandum account may be refunded to ratepayers.

Insurance

Excluding directors and officers liability insurance, we have four kinds of insurance policies that together provide between \$1.2 billion to \$1.4 billion in insurance coverage, depending on the nature of the claims. These policies are subject to various policy limits, exclusions and conditions. We have been communicating with our insurance carriers and intend to pursue the full extent of our insurance coverage. Through September 30, 2017, we have received \$294 million of insurance proceeds for a portion of control-of-well expenses and a portion of temporary relocation costs. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining insurance coverage for costs related to the Leak under the applicable policies, and to the extent we are not successful in obtaining coverage or these costs exceed the amount of our coverage, such costs could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Our recorded estimate as of September 30, 2017 of \$841 million of certain costs in connection with the Leak may rise significantly as more information becomes available, and any costs not included in our estimate could be material. To the extent not covered by insurance (including any costs in excess of applicable policy limits), or if there were to be significant delays in receiving insurance recoveries, such costs could have a material adverse effect on SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Increased Regulation

PHMSA, DOGGR, SCAQMD, EPA and CARB each commenced separate rulemaking proceedings to adopt further regulations covering natural gas storage facilities and injection wells. As we discuss in "Factors Influencing Future Performance" in the Annual Report, DOGGR issued new draft regulations for all storage fields in California, and in 2016, the California Legislature enacted four separate bills providing for additional regulation of natural gas storage facilities. Additional hearings in the California Legislature, as well as with various other federal and state regulatory agencies, have been or may be scheduled, additional legislation has been proposed in the California Legislature, and additional laws, orders, rules and regulations may be adopted. The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors has formed a task force to review and potentially implement new, more stringent land use (zoning) requirements and associated regulations and enforcement protocols for oil and gas activities, including natural gas storage field operations, which could materially affect new or modified uses of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility and other natural gas storage fields located in Los Angeles County.

We discuss these matters further in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein and in Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, "Factors Influencing Future Performance" and "Risk Factors" in the Annual Report.

PIPES Act of 2016

In June 2016, the "Protecting our Infrastructure of Pipelines and Enhancing Safety Act of 2016" or the "PIPES Act of 2016" was enacted. Among other things, the PIPES Act of 2016:

- requires PHMSA to issue, within two years of passage, "minimum safety standards for underground natural gas storage facilities;"
- imposes a "user fee" on underground storage facilities as needed to implement the safety standards;
- grants PHMSA authority to issue emergency orders and impose emergency restrictions, prohibitions and safety measures on owners and operators of gas or hazardous liquid pipeline facilities without prior notice or an opportunity for hearing, if the Secretary of Energy determines that an unsafe condition or practice, or a combination of unsafe conditions and practices, constitutes or is causing an imminent hazard; and
- directs the Secretary of Energy to establish an Interagency Task Force comprised of representatives from various federal agencies and representatives of state and local governments.

In December 2016, PHMSA published an interim final rule pursuant to the PIPES Act of 2016 that revises the federal pipeline safety regulations relating to underground natural gas storage facilities. The interim final rule incorporates consensus safety measures for the construction, maintenance, risk-management, and integrity-management procedures for natural gas storage. SoCalGas began the process of implementing such safety measures prior to formal adoption by PHMSA and is developing the associated documents and procedures required to demonstrate compliance with the standards.

SB 380

In May 2016, SB 380 became law and requires, among other things:

- that natural gas injections into the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility be prohibited until a comprehensive review of the safety of the gas storage wells at the facility was completed, as we discuss below;
- that all gas storage wells returning to service at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility inject or produce gas only through the interior metal tubing and not through the annulus between the tubing and the well casing, which allows SoCalGas wells to operate with two complete barriers to mitigate the potential for an uncontrolled release of natural gas; and
- a CPUC proceeding (which was opened in February 2017) to determine the feasibility of minimizing or eliminating the use of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility, while still maintaining energy and electric reliability for the region, and to consult with various governmental agencies and other entities in making its determination. The order establishing the scope of the proceeding expressly excludes issues with respect to air quality, public health, causation, culpability or cost responsibility regarding the Leak.

On July 19, 2017, DOGGR issued an order lifting the prohibition of the injection of natural gas into the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility and the CPUC's Executive Director issued his concurrence with that determination, subject to certain conditions. On July 21, 2017, the County of Los Angeles filed a petition for writ of mandate against DOGGR and its State Oil and Gas Supervisor and the CPUC and its Executive Director, as to which SoCalGas is the real party in interest. The petition alleges that DOGGR has failed to properly conduct the comprehensive safety review required by SB 380 and failed to perform an Environmental Impact Review pursuant to CEQA. The petition seeks a writ of mandate requiring DOGGR and the State Oil and Gas Supervisor to comply with SB 380 and CEQA, as well as declaratory and injunctive relief against any authorization to inject natural gas.

SoCalGas completed the steps outlined by state agencies to safely begin injections at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility and, as of July 31, 2017, resumed limited injections. We provide further detail regarding DOGGR's order and the petition filed by the County of Los Angeles above under the heading "Natural Gas Storage Operations and Reliability" and in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

SB 888

In September 2016, SB 888 became law, which requires that a penalty assessed against a gas corporation by the CPUC with regard to a natural gas storage facility leak must at least equal the amount necessary to fully offset the impact on the climate from the greenhouse gases emitted by the leak, as determined by the CARB. The CPUC also must consider the extent to which the gas corporation has mitigated or is in the process of mitigating the impact on the climate from greenhouse gas emissions resulting from the leak.

Proposed Legislation – SB 57

Proposed SB 57 seeks to extend the moratorium on natural gas injections at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility until the root cause analysis of the Leak that started in October 2015 has been completed. It would also require the CPUC to "act in a manner that will maximize transparency" in the course of completing its analysis regarding the feasibility of minimizing or eliminating the use of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility. In addition, the bill would enable the Governor to authorize reinjection, production and withdrawal at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility as necessary to respond to or avoid emergencies. The bill did not pass a vote in the California Senate but may be considered again.

Additional Safety Enhancements

In February 2017, SoCalGas notified the CPUC that it is accelerating its well integrity assessments on the natural gas storage wells at its La Goleta, Honor Rancho and Playa del Rey natural gas storage fields consistent with the testing prescribed by SB 380 for the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility, proposed new DOGGR regulations, and SoCalGas' Storage Risk Management Plan. In addition, SoCalGas indicated its plan to reconfigure its operating natural gas storage wells such that natural gas will be injected or produced only through the interior metal tubing and not through the annulus between the tubing and the well casing to maintain a double barrier and additional layer of safety, which is consistent with the direction of federal and state regulations. SoCalGas anticipates that this work will reduce the injection and withdrawal capacity of each of these other storage fields. Depending on the volume of natural gas in storage in each field at the time natural gas is injected or withdrawn, the reduction could be significant and could impact natural gas reliability and electric generation. In March 2017, SoCalGas revised its plan, as directed by the CPUC, for converting all wells to tubing-only operation to maintain a prescribed withdrawal capacity.

Higher operating costs and additional capital expenditures incurred by SoCalGas as a result of new laws, orders, rules and regulations arising out of the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility incident or our responses thereto could be significant and may not be recoverable in customer rates, and SoCalGas' and Sempra Energy's cash flows, financial condition and results of operations may be materially adversely affected by any such new laws, orders, rules and regulations.

SoCalGas Billing Practices

In May 2017, the CPUC issued an OII to determine whether SoCalGas violated any provisions of the California Public Utilities Code, General Orders, CPUC decisions, or other requirements pertaining to billing practices from 2014 through 2016. In particular, the CPUC is examining the timeliness of monthly bills, extending the billing period for customers, and issuing estimated bills. Under the OII, the CPUC will also examine SoCalGas' gas tariff rules and consider whether to impose penalties or other remedies. We expect a decision on the OII in the first half of 2018.

CALIFORNIA UTILITIES – JOINT MATTERS

Capital Project Updates

We summarize below updates regarding certain major joint capital projects at our California Utilities.

CAPITAL PROJECTS – CALIFORNIA UTILITIES

Project description	Estimated capital cost (in millions)	Status
Mobile Home Park Utility Upgrade Program		
§ May 2017 application filed with the CPUC to convert an additional 20 percent of eligible units to direct utility service, for a total of 30 percent of mobile homes.	\$ 471	§ Application pending
	to	§ September 2017 resolution approved extension of pilot program through the earlier of 2019 or the issuance of a CPUC decision on pending applications, while also allowing an increase from 10 percent to 15 percent of mobile homes to be converted.
	\$ 508	
§ Estimated implementation cost of \$2 million of O&M at SDG&E and \$3 million to \$4 million of O&M at SoCalGas.		
Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan		
§ March 2017 application filed with the CPUC to recover forecasted costs associated with twelve Phase 1B and Phase 2A pipeline safety projects.	\$ 198	§ Application pending; draft decision expected in second half of 2018.
§ Estimated implementation cost of \$57 million of O&M at SoCalGas.		

Incentive Mechanisms

Energy Efficiency

The CPUC has established incentive mechanisms that are based on the effectiveness of energy efficiency programs. In March 2017, the CPUC approved the settlement agreements reached with the ORA and TURN regarding the incentive awards for program years 2006 through 2008, wherein the parties agreed that SDG&E and SoCalGas would offset up to a total of approximately \$4 million each against future incentive awards over the next three years beginning in 2017. If the total incentive awards ultimately authorized for 2017 through 2019 are less than approximately \$4 million for either utility, the applicable utility is released from paying any remaining unapplied amount.

Natural Gas Procurement

In June 2017, SoCalGas filed an application for a GCIM award of \$4 million for natural gas procured for its core customers during the 12-month period ended March 31, 2017. A CPUC decision is expected in the first half of 2018.

In June 2016, SoCalGas filed an application for a GCIM award of \$5 million for the 12-month period ended March 31, 2016. The CPUC approved the award in January 2017.

Natural Gas Pipeline Operations Safety Assessments

In 2011, the California Utilities filed implementation plans with the CPUC to implement the CPUC's significant and urgent safety directive to test or replace natural gas transmission pipelines that have not been pressure tested and to reduce the time for valves to stop the flow of gas if a break in a pipeline occurs (referred to as PSEP). In 2014, the CPUC issued a final decision approving the utilities' model for implementing PSEP, and established the criteria to determine the amounts related to PSEP that may be recovered

from ratepayers and the processes for recovery of such amounts, including providing that such costs are subject to a reasonableness review. In 2016, the CPUC issued a final decision authorizing SoCalGas and SDG&E to recover, subject to refund pending reasonableness review, 50 percent of the revenue requirements associated with completed Phase 1 projects. The decision also incorporates a forward looking schedule to (1) file two reasonableness review applications for Phase 1 projects completed through 2017, (2) file one forecast application for Phase 2 project costs to be incurred in 2017 and 2018, and (3) include all other PSEP costs in future GRCs.

In September 2016, SoCalGas and SDG&E filed a joint application with the CPUC for its second PSEP reasonableness review and rate recovery of costs of certain pipeline safety projects completed by June 30, 2015 and recorded in their authorized regulatory accounts. The total costs submitted for review are \$195 million (\$180 million for SoCalGas and \$15 million for SDG&E). SoCalGas and SDG&E expect a decision from the CPUC in 2018. This proceeding has been challenged by consumer advocacy groups. However, we believe these costs were prudent, were incurred in accordance with the program and should be substantially approved for recovery.

In March 2017, SoCalGas and SDG&E filed an application with the CPUC requesting approval of the forecasted revenue requirement necessary to recover the costs associated with twelve Phase 1B and Phase 2A pipeline safety projects. The California Utilities expect to incur total costs for the twelve projects of approximately \$255 million (\$198 million in capital expenditures and \$57 million in O&M) to be effective in rates on January 1, 2019. SoCalGas and SDG&E expect a CPUC decision in the second half of 2018.

As shown in the table below, SoCalGas and SDG&E have made significant pipeline safety investments under this program, and SoCalGas expects to continue making significant investments as approved through various regulatory proceedings. SDG&E's PSEP program is expected to be substantially complete in 2017, with the exception of the Pipeline Safety & Reliability Project that is currently under regulatory review.

PIPELINE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT PLAN – REASONABLENESS REVIEW SUMMARY

(Dollars in millions)

	2011 through September 30, 2017			
	Total invested(1)	CPUC review completed(2)	CPUC review pending(3)	2018 recovery filing(4)(5)
Sempra Energy Consolidated:				
Capital	\$ 1,411	\$ 8	\$ 143	\$ 1,260
Operation and maintenance	173	25	63	85
Total	\$ 1,584	\$ 33	\$ 206	\$ 1,345
SoCalGas:				
Capital	\$ 1,091	\$ 8	\$ 129	\$ 954
Operation and maintenance	164	25	62	77
Total	\$ 1,255	\$ 33	\$ 191	\$ 1,031
SDG&E:				
Capital	\$ 320	\$ —	\$ 14	\$ 306
Operation and maintenance	9	—	1	8
Total	\$ 329	\$ —	\$ 15	\$ 314

(1) Excludes disallowed costs through September 30, 2017 of \$6 million at SoCalGas and \$1 million at SDG&E for pressure testing or replacing pipelines installed between January 1, 1956 and July 1, 1961.

(2) Approved in December 2016; excludes \$2 million of PSEP-specific insurance costs for which recovery may be requested in a future filing.

(3) Reasonableness Review Application for completed projects totaling \$195 million filed in September 2016. Also includes approximately \$11 million of pre-engineering costs incurred to support projects under development and submitted as part of the Forecast Application filed in March 2017. Both decisions expected in 2018.

(4) Reasonableness Review Application to be filed in late 2018 and expected to include substantially all of these costs. Remaining costs not included in the 2018 application are expected to be filed in a future GRC.

(5) Authorized to recover 50 percent of the revenue requirement annually, subject to refund.

Regulatory Compliance and Safety Enforcement

In October 2016, the CPUC's CPED issued a citation to SoCalGas for alleged violations of certain environmental mitigation measures related to the Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement Project, and imposed a fine in the amount of \$699,500. SoCalGas subsequently appealed the citation and the resulting fine. In March 2017, SoCalGas and the CPED filed a joint settlement agreement with the CPUC to resolve all matters related to the October 2016 citation. As a part of the settlement agreement, SoCalGas agreed to pay \$250,000 to the state's general fund and to retain an independent firm to conduct compliance training seminars for the benefit of SoCalGas and CPUC personnel at a cost not to exceed \$25,000. The parties agreed that the settlement agreement did not constitute an admission by SoCalGas or denial by CPED with respect to any issue of fact or law, or of any violation or liability by any party. In May 2017, the CPUC issued a decision approving the settlement as filed.

Other California Utilities – Joint Matters

For a discussion about “Future Risk-Based GRC,” see “Factors Influencing Future Performance” in the Annual Report.

SEMPRA SOUTH AMERICAN UTILITIES

Chilquinta Energía’s most recent review process for distribution rates was completed in November 2016 and received final approval in August 2017. The authorized distribution rates are retroactive from November 2016 and will remain in effect through October 2020, which we do not expect to have a material impact on our results. Chilquinta Energía’s most recent review process for sub-transmission rates was completed in September 2017 and final approval is expected by the end of 2017. Upon approval, the sub-transmission rates will cover the period from January 2018 through December 2019, which we do not expect to have a material impact on our results.

Capital Project Updates

We summarize below the completion of a transmission line project in 2017 at Sempra South America Utilities’ joint venture partnerships.

CAPITAL PROJECT COMPLETED IN 2017 – SEMPRA SOUTH AMERICAN UTILITIES

Project description	
Chilquinta Energía - Eletrans S.A.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Second of two, 220-kV transmission lines awarded in May 2012.46-mile transmission line extending from Ciruelos to Pichirropulli.Earns a return in U.S. dollars, indexed to the CPI, for 20 years and a regulated return thereafter.50-percent equity interest in joint venture.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Completed in September 2017.

We summarize below updates regarding certain major capital projects at Sempra South America Utilities’ joint venture partnerships.

CAPITAL PROJECTS – SEMPRA SOUTH AMERICAN UTILITIES

Project description	Our share of estimated capital cost (in millions)	Status
Chilquinta Energía - Eletrans II S.A.		
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Two 220-kV transmission lines awarded in June 2013.Transmission lines to extend approximately 60 miles in total.Once in operation, will earn a return in U.S. dollars, indexed to the CPI, for 20 years and a regulated return thereafter.50-percent equity interest in joint venture.	\$ 40	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Estimated completion: 2019
Chilquinta Energía - Eletrans III S.A.		
<ul style="list-style-type: none">220-kV electric transmission line awarded in June 2017.Transmission line in the northern region of Chile to extend approximately 133 miles.Once in operation, will earn a return in U.S. dollars, indexed to the CPI, for 20 years and a regulated return thereafter.50-percent equity interest in joint venture.	\$ 50	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Estimated completion: 2021

Other Sempra South American Utilities Matters

For a discussion about other Sempra South American Utilities matters, see “Factors Influencing Future Performance” in the Annual Report.

SEMPRA MEXICO

Capital Project Updates

We summarize below certain major capital projects that were completed in 2017 at Sempra Mexico.

CAPITAL PROJECTS COMPLETED IN 2017 – SEMPRA MEXICO

Project description

Sonora Pipeline

- § Awarded two contracts in October 2012 by the CFE to build and operate a 500-mile pipeline network.
 - § Comprised of two segments that interconnect to the U.S. interstate pipeline system.
 - § Pipeline to transport natural gas from the U.S.-Mexico border south of Tucson, Arizona through the Mexican state of Sonora to the northern part of the Mexican state of Sinaloa along the Gulf of California.
 - § Capacity is fully contracted by the CFE under two 25-year contracts denominated in U.S. dollars.
- § First segment completed in stages from fourth quarter of 2014 through August 2015.
 - § Second segment completed in May 2017.
 - § Operations have been interrupted at the second segment, known as the Guaymas-El Oro segment, of the pipeline since August 23, 2017. IEnova has declared a force majeure event.(1)

Ojinaga Pipeline

- § December 2014 agreement with CFE for development, construction and operation of the approximately 137-mile pipeline.
 - § Natural gas transportation services agreement for a 25-year term, denominated in U.S. dollars, for 100 percent of the transport capacity, equal to 1.4 Bcf per day.
- § Pipeline completed in June 2017.

San Isidro Pipeline

- § July 2015 agreement with CFE for development, construction and operation of the approximately 14-mile pipeline.
 - § Natural gas transportation services agreement for a 25-year term, denominated in U.S. dollars, for 100 percent of the transport capacity, equal to 1.1 Bcf per day.
- § Pipeline completed in March 2017.
 - § Compressor station completed in June 2017.

(1) See discussion in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

We summarize below updates regarding certain major capital projects at Sempra Mexico.

CAPITAL PROJECTS – SEMPRA MEXICO

Project description	Estimated capital cost (in millions)	Status
Pima Solar		
§ Awarded 110-MW photovoltaic project located in Sonora, Mexico in March 2017.	\$ 115	§ Construction expected to commence in the fourth quarter of 2017.
§ Entered into a 20-year, U.S. dollar-denominated PPA in March 2017 to provide renewable energy, clean energy certificates and capacity.		§ Estimated completion: fourth quarter of 2018.
Liquid Fuels Terminals at Port of Veracruz, Puebla and Mexico City		
§ Awarded a 20-year concession in July 2017 to build and operate a marine terminal in the Port of Veracruz in Mexico for the receipt, storage and delivery of liquid fuels.	\$ 155	§ Includes marine concession fees totaling \$55 million for concession rights: half paid in August 2017 and half to be paid in January 2018.
§ Capacity of 1.4 million barrels of gasoline, diesel and jet fuel to supply the central region of Mexico.		§ Expected completion of marine terminal: end of 2018.
§ IEnova will also build and operate two storage terminals located near Puebla and Mexico City with storage capacities of 500,000 and 800,000 barrels, respectively.	\$ 120	§ Expected completion of two inland storage terminals: first half of 2019.
§ Entered into three, long-term, U.S. dollar-denominated terminal services agreements in July 2017 with Valero Energy for the full capacity of the marine terminal and the two inland storage terminals.		
§ Pursuant to these agreements, Valero Energy has the option to purchase a 50-percent interest in each of the three terminals after commencement of commercial operations, subject to approval by the Port of Veracruz, COFEC and the CRE.		

Energía Costa Azul LNG Terminal

In May 2015, Sempra LNG & Midstream, IEnova, and a subsidiary of PEMEX entered into a project development agreement for the joint development of the proposed natural gas liquefaction project at IEnova's existing regasification terminal at Energía Costa Azul. The agreement specifies how the parties will share costs, and establishes a framework for the parties to work jointly on permitting, design, engineering and commercial activities associated with exploring the development of the liquefaction project. We are sharing costs with PEMEX on the development efforts pursuant to the agreement, and have applied for the primary governmental authorizations for the liquefaction project. Energía Costa Azul has profitable long-term regasification contracts for 100 percent of the regasification facility's capacity, making the decision to pursue a new liquefaction facility dependent in part on whether the investment in a new liquefaction facility would, over the long term, be more beneficial financially than continuing to supply regasification services under our existing contracts.

In December 2016, Energía Costa Azul filed its Social Impact Study with the Mexican Secretary of Energy and its Environmental Impact Study and Environmental Risk Study with the Mexican National Agency for Safety, Energy and the Environment. In February 2017, Energía Costa Azul filed three regulatory permits for liquefaction, regasification and electric self-generation with the CRE. As of September 30, 2017, Energía Costa Azul has received CRE approval for electric self-generation and expects to receive CRE approval for liquefaction and regasification in 2018.

Development of this project is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including the receipt of a number of permits and regulatory approvals; finding suitable partners and customers; obtaining financing; negotiating and completing suitable commercial agreements, including joint venture agreements, LNG sales agreements, gas supply agreements and construction contracts; reaching a final investment decision; and other factors associated with this potential investment. For a discussion of these risks, see "Risk Factors" in the Annual Report.

Termoeléctrica de Mexicali

Our TdM power plant is currently held for sale, as we discuss in Note 3 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

Other Sempra Mexico Matters

For a discussion about other Sempra Mexico matters, see "Factors Influencing Future Performance" in the Annual Report.

SEMPRA RENEWABLES

Sempra Renewables' performance is primarily a function of the solar and wind power generated by its assets. Power generation from these assets depends on solar and wind resource levels, weather conditions, and Sempra Renewables' ability to maintain equipment performance.

Sempra Renewables' future performance and the demand for renewable energy is impacted by various market factors, most notably state mandated requirements for utilities to deliver a portion of total energy load from renewable energy sources. Additionally, the phase out or extension of U.S. federal income tax incentives, primarily investment tax credits and production tax credits, and grant programs could significantly impact future renewable energy resource availability and investment decisions. Imposition by the U.S. government of ad valorem tariffs, import quotas or other import restrictions related to solar panels could materially adversely affect Sempra Renewables' business, investment decisions and the demand for renewable energy in the U.S.

Capital Project Updates

We summarize below a new solar project at Sempra Renewables.

CAPITAL PROJECT – SEMPRA RENEWABLES

Project description	Estimated capital cost (in millions)	Status
Great Valley Solar Project		
§ Capable of producing up to 200 MW of solar power once fully constructed, located in Fresno County, California, acquired in July 2017.	\$ 375	§ Expect commercial operation dates and corresponding contracted energy sales to commence in phases beginning in the fourth quarter of 2017 and the first half of 2018.
	to	
	\$ 425	
§ Fully contracted under four PPAs with an average contract term of 18 years.		

SEMPRA LNG & MIDSTREAM

Capital Project Updates

We summarize below Sempra LNG & Midstream's completion of the Cameron Interstate Pipeline expansion project.

CAPITAL PROJECT COMPLETED IN 2017 – SEMPRA LNG & MIDSTREAM

Project description	Status
Cameron Interstate Pipeline Expansion	
§ 3.5-mile, 36-inch pipeline addition to existing Cameron Interstate Pipeline, adding bi-directional flow of up to 1.5 Bcf of natural gas per day.	§ Expansion project completed in the second quarter of 2017.
§ Includes construction of a compressor station and construction of and modifications to meter stations.	
§ Authorized by FERC in June 2014 and approved to commence service in April 2017.	

We summarize below updates regarding the Cameron LNG JV three-train liquefaction joint venture project at Sempra LNG & Midstream.

CAPITAL PROJECT – SEMPRA LNG & MIDSTREAM

Project description	Status
Cameron LNG JV Three-Train Liquefaction Project	
§ Sempra Energy contributed Cameron LNG, LLC's existing facilities to Cameron LNG JV, of which Sempra Energy indirectly owns 50.2 percent, and construction began in the second half of 2014.	§ Based on a number of factors discussed below, we believe it is reasonable to expect that all three LNG trains will be producing LNG in 2019.
§ Anticipated incremental investment of approximately \$7 billion by Cameron LNG JV.	
§ Capacity of 13.9 Mtpa of LNG with an expected export capacity of 12 Mtpa of LNG, or approximately 1.7 Bcf per day.	
§ Authorized to export up to 14.95 Mtpa of LNG to both FTA and Non-FTA countries.	
§ 20-year liquefaction and regasification tolling capacity agreements for full nameplate capacity.	

Cameron LNG JV Three-Train Liquefaction Project

Large-scale construction projects like the design, development and construction of the Cameron LNG JV liquefaction facility involve numerous risks and uncertainties, including among others, the potential for unforeseen engineering challenges, substantial construction delays and increased costs. Cameron LNG JV has a turnkey EPC contract, and if the contractor becomes unwilling or unable to perform according to the terms and timetable of the EPC contract, the project could face substantial construction delays and potentially significantly increased costs. If the contractor's delays or failures are serious enough to cause the contractor to default under the EPC contract, such default could result in Cameron LNG JV's engagement of a substitute contractor. In October 2016, the EPC contractor indicated that the Cameron LNG project would not achieve its originally scheduled dates for completion and has subsequently provided project schedules reflecting further delays to the Cameron LNG project. The delays will result in the anticipated earnings and associated cash flows from the Cameron LNG JV project coming in later than originally anticipated. Based on a number of factors, we believe it is reasonable to expect that all three LNG trains will be producing LNG in 2019. These factors, among others, include the project schedules received from the EPC contractor, Cameron LNG JV's own review of the project schedules, the assumptions underlying such schedules, the EPC contractor's progress to date, the remaining work left to be performed, and the inherent risks in constructing and testing facilities such as Cameron LNG. In late August 2017, Hurricane Harvey made landfall along the Texas and Louisiana coastlines. The damage at the site was minimal. The EPC contractor provided Cameron LNG with an initial assessment of Hurricane Harvey's impacts to the project's schedule and costs that was immaterial but noted that the impacts, as well as the impacts caused by other hurricanes that affected the Gulf Coast during the months of August and September, could result in additional claims for schedule delays or costs. The EPC contractor has yet to provide sufficient information to Cameron LNG to enable us to make a full determination of such potential additional impacts. During the course of construction of large projects like Cameron LNG, contractors often assert that they are owed additional compensation, schedule extensions, or both. Cameron LNG JV has received information from the EPC contractor claiming they are owed additional amounts beyond the contract value. The contractor has informed Cameron LNG JV that they will supplement this information at a future date. We have not yet been provided

with sufficient details that would enable an evaluation of the validity or amount of such purported claims. For a discussion of the Cameron LNG JV and of these risks and other risks relating to the development of the Cameron LNG JV liquefaction project that could adversely affect our future performance, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Our Business – Sempra LNG & Midstream” and “Risk Factors” in the Annual Report.

Proposed Additional Cameron Liquefaction Expansion

Cameron LNG JV has received the major permits necessary to expand the current configuration of the Cameron LNG JV liquefaction project from the current three liquefaction trains under construction. The proposed expansion project includes up to two additional liquefaction trains, capable of increasing LNG production capacity by approximately 9 Mtpa to 10 Mtpa, and up to two additional full containment LNG storage tanks (one of which was permitted with the original three-train project). Advancement of the project includes

- DOE FTA approval received in July 2015
- Non-FTA approval received in July 2016
- FERC permit received in May 2016

Under the Cameron LNG JV financing agreements, expansion of the Cameron LNG JV facilities beyond the first three trains is subject to certain restrictions and conditions, including among others, timing restrictions on expansion of the project unless appropriate prior consent is obtained from lenders. Under the Cameron LNG JV equity agreements, the expansion of the project requires the unanimous consent of all the partners, including with respect to the equity investment obligation of each partner. One of the partners indicated to Sempra Energy and the other partners that it does not intend to invest additional capital in Cameron LNG JV with respect to the expansion. As a result, discussions among the partners are taking place, and we are considering a variety of options to attempt to move this project forward. These activities have contributed to delays in developing firm pricing information and securing customer commitments, and there can be no assurance that these issues will be resolved in a timely manner, which could materially and adversely impact the near-term marketing of this project and ability to secure customer commitments. In light of these developments, we are unable to predict when we and/or Cameron LNG JV might be able to move forward on this project.

The expansion of the Cameron LNG JV facilities beyond the first three trains is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including amending the Cameron LNG JV agreement among the partners, obtaining customer commitments, completing the required commercial agreements, securing and maintaining all necessary permits, approvals and consents, obtaining financing, reaching a final investment decision among the Cameron LNG JV partners, and other factors associated with the potential investment. See “Risk Factors” in the Annual Report.

Other LNG Liquefaction Development

Design, regulatory and commercial activities are ongoing for potential LNG liquefaction developments at our Port Arthur, Texas site and at Sempra Mexico’s Energía Costa Azul facility. For these development projects, we have met with potential customers and determined there is an interest in long-term contracts for LNG supplies beginning in the 2022 to 2025 time frame.

Port Arthur

In November 2016, Sempra LNG & Midstream submitted a request to the FERC seeking authorization to site, construct and operate the proposed Port Arthur LNG natural gas liquefaction and export facility in Port Arthur, Texas.

- The proposed project is designed to include
 - two natural gas liquefaction trains with production capability of approximately 13.5 Mtpa, or 698 Bcf per year;
 - three LNG storage tanks;
 - natural gas liquids and refrigerant storage;
 - feed gas pre-treatment facilities; and
 - two berths and associated marine and loading facilities.
- In June 2015, Sempra LNG & Midstream filed permit applications with the DOE for authorization to export the LNG produced from the proposed project to all current and future non-FTA countries.
- In August 2015, Sempra LNG & Midstream received authorization from the DOE to export the LNG produced from the proposed project to all current and future FTA countries.
- In February 2016, Sempra LNG & Midstream and Woodside Petroleum Ltd. entered into a project development agreement for the joint development of the proposed Port Arthur LNG liquefaction project. The agreement specifies how the parties will share costs, and establishes a framework for the parties to work jointly on permitting, design, engineering, commercial and marketing activities associated with developing the Port Arthur LNG liquefaction project.
- In June 2017, Sempra LNG & Midstream, Woodside Petroleum Ltd. and Korea Gas Corporation signed a memorandum of understanding that provides a framework for cooperation and joint discussion by the parties regarding key aspects of the potential

development of the Port Arthur LNG project, including engineering and construction work, O&M activities, feed gas sourcing, offtake of LNG and the potential for Korea Gas Corporation to purchase LNG from, and become an equity participant in, the Port Arthur LNG project. The memorandum of understanding does not commit any party to buy or sell LNG or otherwise participate in the Port Arthur liquefaction LNG project.

Also, in November 2016, Sempra LNG & Midstream filed a permit application with the FERC for the Texas Connector Pipeline project that will provide natural gas transportation service for the Port Arthur LNG liquefaction project. In February 2017, Sempra LNG & Midstream initiated the FERC pre-filing review process for the Louisiana Connector Pipeline project, an additional pipeline project that would also provide natural gas transportation service for the Port Arthur LNG liquefaction project. The FERC application was filed in October 2017.

Development of the Port Arthur LNG liquefaction project is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including obtaining customer commitments, completing the required commercial agreements, such as joint venture agreements, LNG sales agreements and gas supply agreements; completing construction contracts; securing all necessary permits and approvals; obtaining financing and incentives; reaching a final investment decision; and other factors associated with the potential investment. See “Risk Factors” in the Annual Report.

Energía Costa Azul

We further discuss Sempra LNG & Midstream’s participation in potential LNG liquefaction development at Sempra Mexico’s Energía Costa Azul facility above in “Sempra Mexico – Energía Costa Azul LNG Terminal.”

Natural Gas Storage Assets

The future performance of our natural gas storage assets could be impacted by changes in the U.S. natural gas market, which could lead to sustained diminished natural gas storage values.

The recorded value of our long-lived natural gas storage assets at September 30, 2017 is \$1.5 billion. Historically, the value of natural gas storage services has positively correlated with the difference between the seasonal prices of natural gas, among other factors. In general, over the past several years, seasonal differences in natural gas prices have declined, which have contributed to lower prices for storage services. As our legacy (higher rate) sales contracts mature at our Bay Gas and Mississippi Hub facilities, replacement sales contract rates have been and could continue to be lower than has historically been the case. Lower sales revenues may not be offset by cost reductions, which could lead to further depressed asset values. Future investment in Bay Gas, Mississippi Hub and LA Storage will be dependent on market demand and estimates of long-term storage values. Our LA Storage development project construction permit expired in June 2017 and future development will require approval of a new construction permit by the FERC. The LA Storage project also includes an existing 23.3-mile pipeline header system, the LA Storage pipeline, that is not currently contracted.

We perform recovery testing of our recorded asset values when market conditions indicate that such values may not be recoverable. In the event such values are not recoverable, we would consider the fair value of these assets relative to their recorded value. To the extent the recorded (carrying) value is in excess of the fair value, we would record a noncash impairment charge. A significant impairment charge related to our natural gas storage assets would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in the period in which it is recorded.

RBS SEMPRAS COMMODITIES

For a discussion about RBS Sempra Commodities, see “Factors Influencing Future Performance” in the Annual Report and Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

OTHER SEMPRAS ENERGY MATTERS

For a discussion about Other Sempra Energy Matters, see “Factors Influencing Future Performance” in the Annual Report.

LITIGATION

We describe legal proceedings that could adversely affect our future performance in Note 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

We view certain accounting policies as critical because their application is the most relevant, judgmental, and/or material to our financial position and results of operations, and/or because they require the use of material judgments and estimates. We discuss these accounting policies in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in the Annual Report.

We describe our significant accounting policies in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report. We follow the same accounting policies for interim reporting purposes.

NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

We discuss the relevant pronouncements that have recently been issued or become effective and have had or may have an impact on our financial statements and/or disclosures in Note 2 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We provide disclosure regarding derivative activity in Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein. We discuss our market risk and risk policies in detail in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” herein and in the Annual Report.

INTEREST RATE RISK

The table below shows the nominal amount of long-term debt at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

NOMINAL AMOUNT OF LONG-TERM DEBT(1)

(Dollars in millions)

	September 30, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Sempra Energy Consolidated	SDG&E	SoCalGas	Sempra Energy Consolidated	SDG&E	SoCalGas
California Utilities fixed-rate	\$ 7,583	\$ 4,574	\$ 3,009	\$ 7,218	\$ 4,209	\$ 3,009
California Utilities variable-rate	297	297	—	445	445	—
Other fixed-rate	6,880	—	—	6,703	—	—
Other variable-rate	712	—	—	719	—	—

(1) Before the effects of acquisition-related fair value adjustments, interest rate swaps, reductions/increases for unamortized discount/premium and reduction for debt issuance costs, and excluding capital lease obligations and build-to-suit lease.

Interest rate risk sensitivity analysis measures interest rate risk by calculating the estimated changes in earnings that would result from a hypothetical change in market interest rates. If interest rates changed by ten percent on all of Sempra Energy’s effective variable-rate, long-term debt at September 30, 2017, the change in earnings over the next 12-month period ending September 30, 2018 would be negligible. These hypothetical changes in earnings are based on our long-term debt position after the effect of interest rate swaps.

FOREIGN CURRENCY AND INFLATION RATE RISK

We discuss our foreign currency and inflation exposure in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Impact of Foreign Currency and Inflation Rates on Results of Operations” herein and in the Annual Report. At September 30, 2017, there were no significant changes to our exposure to foreign currency rate risk since December 31, 2016.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Sempra Energy, SDG&E and SoCalGas have designed and maintain disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that information required to be disclosed in their respective reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC and is accumulated and communicated to the management of each company, including each respective principal executive officer and principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating these controls and procedures, the management of each company recognizes that any system of controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives; therefore, the management of each company applies judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of other possible controls and procedures.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the principal executive officers and principal financial officers of Sempra Energy, SDG&E and SoCalGas, each company evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2017, the end of the period covered by this report. As discussed below, we excluded Ventika from our evaluation of Sempra Energy's disclosure controls and procedures, to the extent subsumed by Ventika's internal control over financial reporting. Based on these evaluations, the principal executive officers and principal financial officers of Sempra Energy, SDG&E and SoCalGas concluded that their respective company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Other than the changes which may be associated with the 2016 acquisition described below (which did not impact SDG&E or SoCalGas), there have been no changes in the companies' internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the companies' internal control over financial reporting.

As we discuss in Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report, we acquired Ventika in December 2016. The carrying value of Ventika's net assets was \$285 million or 1.8 percent of Sempra Energy's net assets at September 30, 2017. Ventika's losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 were \$18 million or 2.4 percent of total Sempra Energy earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2017. We are in the process of integrating Ventika. Our management is analyzing, evaluating and, where necessary, will implement changes in, Ventika's controls and procedures. Since the acquisition date, we have not had sufficient time to assess the internal controls of Ventika. Therefore, we excluded Ventika from our evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures above, to the extent subsumed by Ventika's internal control over financial reporting. We intend to include Ventika in the overall assessment of, and report on, internal control over financial reporting as soon as practicable, but in no event later than one year from the acquisition date.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not party to, and our property is not the subject of, any material pending legal proceedings (other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to our businesses) except for the matters 1) described in Notes 9 and 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein and in Notes 13 and 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report, or 2) referred to in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” herein and in the Annual Report.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

When evaluating our company and its subsidiaries, we urge you to carefully consider the risks and other information in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including the factors discussed in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Factors Influencing Future Performance,” as well as the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A. to Part I of our Annual Report and the risk factors discussed below. Except as set forth below, there have been no material changes from the risk factors as previously disclosed in our Annual Report. Any of the risks and other information discussed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or any of the risks disclosed in Item 1A. to Part I of our Annual Report, as well as additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial, could materially and adversely affect our businesses, cash flows, results of operations, financial condition, prospects and/or the trading prices of our securities or those of our subsidiaries. In this “Risk Factors” section, we sometimes refer to Sempra Energy, after giving effect to the assumed completion of its proposed acquisition of EFH, as the “combined company.”

Risks Related to the Proposed Acquisition of Energy Future Holdings Corp.

Sempra Energy’s proposed acquisition of EFH, including EFH’s 80.03 percent indirect interest in Oncor, is subject to various conditions, including the receipt of bankruptcy court and governmental and regulatory approvals, which approvals may impose conditions, and is subject to other risks and uncertainties that could cause the Merger to be abandoned, delayed or restructured and/or materially adversely affect Sempra Energy.

Sempra Energy, EFH and Oncor have not obtained the governmental and regulatory approvals required to complete the Merger. These include consents, approvals and rulings from the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, the PUCT, the FERC, the IRS and the Vermont Department of Financial Regulation, among others. These and other regulatory authorities and courts may not provide the consents, approvals and rulings that are conditions to the Merger or that are otherwise necessary for Oncor’s operations after the Merger, could seek to block or challenge the Merger, or may impose certain requirements or obligations as conditions to their approval. The agreements governing the Merger may require Sempra Energy to accept conditions from these regulators that could materially adversely impact the results of operations, financial condition and prospects of the combined company. If the required governmental consents, approvals and rulings are not received, or if they are not received on terms that satisfy the conditions set forth in the agreements governing the Merger, then neither Sempra Energy, EFH nor Oncor will be obligated to complete the Merger.

Completion of the Merger on the terms specified in the Merger Agreement and as contemplated by the plan of reorganization filed by EFH and certain of its subsidiaries in their bankruptcy cases pending in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware are key elements of such plan of reorganization. The plan of reorganization must be approved by various classes of creditors of EFH and certain of its subsidiaries (and must be approved by the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware) for the Merger to be consummated.

Sempra Energy and EFH have determined that the Merger is not subject to the premerger notification requirements of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended (the HSR Act). Even though Sempra Energy and EFH have determined that the Merger is not subject to the HSR Act, governmental authorities could seek to block or challenge the Merger or compel divestiture of a portion of the combined company if they deem it necessary or desirable in the public interest to do so. In addition, in some jurisdictions, a private party could initiate an action under the antitrust laws challenging or seeking to enjoin the Merger, before or after it is completed. As a result, actions taken by governmental authorities or private parties, both before or after completion of the

Merger, may have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition and prospects of Sempra Energy or may result in conditions or requirements that lead to abandonment, delay or restructuring of the Merger.

Sempra Energy can provide no assurance that the various closing conditions will be satisfied and that the required governmental, creditor and other necessary approvals will be obtained, or that any required conditions to such approvals will not materially adversely affect the results of operations, financial condition or prospects of the combined company following the Merger. In addition, it is possible that any conditions to such approvals will result in the abandonment, delay or restructuring of the Merger. The occurrence of any of these events individually or in combination could have a material adverse effect on Sempra Energy's results of operations, financial condition and prospects, whether or not the Merger is completed.

Completion of the Merger is also subject to a number of other risks and uncertainties that, among other things, may alter the proposed structure and financing for the Merger, result in changes in or impose other limitations or conditions on the business of the combined company following the Merger or have other effects that may have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition and prospects of the combined company if the Merger is consummated or may lead to abandonment, delay or restructuring of the Merger.

Failure to complete the Merger could negatively impact Sempra Energy's results of operations, financial condition and prospects and the market value of Sempra Energy common stock and debt securities.

As described above, the consummation of the Merger is subject to various closing conditions and required approvals, as well as other risks and uncertainties. Sempra Energy can provide no assurance that the various closing conditions will be satisfied, that the necessary approvals will be obtained, or that other events or circumstances leading to abandonment, delay or restructuring of the Merger will not occur. In addition, it is possible that other parties may offer to acquire EFH or Oncor on terms that are more favorable to EFH than the terms of the Merger Agreement. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, EFH or its subsidiary EFH may terminate the Merger Agreement in certain circumstances if either of their respective boards of directors determines in its sole discretion, after consultation with their independent financial advisors and outside legal counsel, that the failure to terminate the Merger Agreement is inconsistent with their fiduciary duties, which may allow them to terminate the Merger Agreement in order to accept an offer from another party. If the Merger is not completed, Sempra Energy will not realize the potential benefits of the Merger, but will still be required to pay the substantial costs incurred in connection with pursuing the Merger. If the Merger is not completed, these and other factors could materially adversely affect Sempra Energy's results of operations, financial condition and prospects and the market value of Sempra Energy's common stock and debt securities.

EFH could incur substantial tax liabilities related to its 2016 spin-off of Vistra from EFH, which would reduce and potentially eliminate the value of Sempra Energy's investment in EFH.

As part of its ongoing bankruptcy proceedings, in 2016 EFH distributed all of the outstanding shares of common stock of its subsidiary Vistra Energy Corp. (formerly TCEH Corp. and referred to herein as Vistra) to certain creditors of TCEH LLC (the spinoff), and Vistra became an independent, publicly traded company. Vistra's spin-off from EFH was intended to qualify for partially tax-free treatment to EFH and its stockholders under Sections 368(a)(1)(G), 355 and 356 (collectively referred to as the Intended Tax Treatment) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. In connection with and as a condition to the spin-off, EFH received a private letter ruling from the IRS regarding certain issues relating to the Intended Tax Treatment of the spin-off, as well as tax opinions from counsel to EFH and Vistra regarding certain aspects of the spin-off not covered by the private letter ruling.

IRS private letter rulings are generally binding on the IRS, but the continuing validity of that ruling, as well as the tax opinions received, are subject to the accuracy of factual representations and assumptions, as well as the performance by EFH and Vistra of certain undertakings, made to the IRS in connection with obtaining the ruling and counsel in connection with their opinions. If any of the factual representations or assumptions in the IRS private letter ruling or tax opinions (which will not impact the IRS position on the transactions) were untrue or incomplete, any such undertaking is not complied with, or the facts upon which the IRS private letter ruling or tax opinions were based are different from the actual facts relating to the spin-off, the tax opinions and/or IRS private letter ruling may not be valid and as a result, could be successfully challenged by the IRS. If it is determined that the spin-off did not qualify for the Intended Tax Treatment, EFH could incur substantial tax liabilities, which would materially reduce and potentially eliminate the value of Sempra Energy's investment in EFH if the Merger is completed and could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition and prospects of the combined company and on the market value of Sempra Energy's common stock and debt securities.

Due to the risks posed by the spin-off not qualifying for the Intended Tax Treatment, Sempra Energy has required, as an express condition to closing of the Merger that, EFH must receive a supplemental private letter ruling from the IRS as well as tax opinions of counsel to Sempra Energy and EFH that generally provide that the Merger will not affect the conclusions reached in, respectively, the IRS private letter ruling and tax opinions issued with respect to the spin-off described above. Similar to the IRS private letter ruling and opinions issued with respect to the spin-off, if the supplemental private letter ruling and opinions are issued with respect to the Merger, they will be based on factual representations and assumptions, as well as certain undertakings, made by Sempra Energy and EFH. If such representations and assumptions are untrue or incomplete, any such undertakings are not complied with, or the facts

upon which the IRS supplemental private letter ruling or tax opinions (which will not impact the IRS position on the transactions) are based are different from the actual facts relating to the Merger, the tax opinions and/or supplemental private letter ruling may not be valid and as a result, could be successfully challenged by the IRS. If it is determined that the Merger causes the spin-off not to qualify for the Intended Tax Treatment, EFH could incur substantial tax liabilities, which would materially reduce and potentially eliminate the value of Sempra Energy's investment in EFH if the Merger is completed and could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition and prospects of the combined company and on the market value of Sempra Energy's common stock and debt securities. Should the IRS invalidate the private letter ruling and/or the supplemental private letter ruling, EFH has administrative appeal rights including the right to challenge any adverse IRS position in court.

Failure by Oncor to successfully execute its business strategy and objectives may materially adversely affect the future results of the combined company and, consequently, the market value of Sempra Energy's common stock and debt securities.

The success of the Merger will depend, in part, on the ability of Oncor to successfully execute its business strategy, including delivering electricity in a safe and reliable manner, minimizing service interruptions and investing in its transmission and distribution infrastructure to maintain its system, serve its growing customer base with a modernized grid, and support energy production. These objectives are capital intensive. See below under “–Oncor's operations are capital intensive and it could have liquidity needs that may require Sempra Energy to make additional investments in Oncor.” If Oncor is not able to achieve these objectives, is not able to achieve these objectives on a timely basis, or otherwise fails to perform in accordance with Sempra Energy's expectations, the anticipated benefits of the Merger may not be realized fully or at all, and the Merger may materially adversely affect the results of operations, financial condition and prospects of the combined company and, consequently, the market value of Sempra Energy's common stock and debt securities.

Sempra Energy will continue to incur significant costs in connection with the Merger, and the combined company could continue to incur substantial expenses as a result of the Merger.

Sempra Energy will continue to incur significant costs in connection with the Merger, whether or not the Merger is completed, including fees paid to legal, financial, accounting and other advisors. Moreover, if the Merger is completed, the combined company will incur substantial expenses in connection with the Merger, including fees paid to legal, financial, accounting and other advisors. Many of the expenses that will be incurred, by their nature, are difficult to estimate accurately. These expenses may adversely affect the financial condition and results of operations of Sempra Energy prior to completion of the Merger and of the combined company following the completion of the Merger.

Sempra Energy plans to issue common stock and may issue other equity securities to fund a significant portion of the Merger consideration and may issue common stock or other equity securities after the Merger to reduce its indebtedness, which may dilute the economic and voting interests of current Sempra Energy shareholders and may adversely affect the market value of Sempra Energy's common stock.

Under the Merger Agreement, Sempra Energy is required to pay total consideration for the acquisition of EFH of \$9.45 billion, subject to possible adjustment (the Merger Consideration). The Merger Consideration is payable in cash. Sempra Energy intends to ultimately issue and sell a significant number of new shares of its common stock, and may also issue and sell other equity securities (which may include equity securities that are convertible into a substantial number of new shares of its common stock), in order to pay a significant portion of the Merger Consideration and associated transaction costs. Some of these equity issuances will likely occur following the Merger to repay outstanding indebtedness, including indebtedness Sempra Energy expects to incur in connection with the Merger. See below under “–Sempra Energy expects to incur significant additional indebtedness in connection with the Merger. As a result, it may be more difficult for Sempra Energy to pay or refinance its debts or take other actions, and Sempra Energy may need to divert cash to fund debt service payments.” Although the issuance of any common stock and other equity securities is subject to market conditions and other factors, many of which are beyond Sempra Energy's control, and Sempra Energy may in fact issue fewer shares of common stock or other equity securities than anticipated, the issuance of a substantial number of additional shares of Sempra Energy common stock (including shares issued upon conversion of other equity securities) will have the effect, and the issuance of other equity securities may have the effect, of diluting the economic and voting interests of Sempra Energy's shareholders. In addition, the issuance of additional shares of common stock (including shares issued upon conversion of other equity securities) without a commensurate increase in Sempra Energy's consolidated earnings would dilute, and the issuance of other equity securities could dilute, Sempra Energy's earnings per common share. Any of the foregoing may have a material adverse effect on the market value of Sempra Energy's common stock.

Sempra Energy may be unable to obtain the external financing necessary to pay the consideration and expenses relating to the Merger.

Sempra Energy currently intends to initially finance the Merger Consideration of \$9.45 billion, subject to possible adjustment, along with the associated transaction costs, with the proceeds from debt and equity issuances, and could also likely utilize revolving credit facilities, commercial paper and/or cash on hand. Sempra Energy currently intends to ultimately fund approximately 65 percent of the Merger Consideration from the proceeds of sales of Sempra Energy common stock and, possibly, other equity securities and

approximately 35 percent from the proceeds of sales of Sempra Energy debt securities, although, as described above, some of the equity financing may be obtained after completion of the Merger and used to repay indebtedness incurred to finance the Merger and associated transaction costs.

Sempra Energy's ability to raise the necessary funds through the sale of its equity securities and debt securities is subject to market conditions and other risks and uncertainties, and there can be no assurance that Sempra Energy will be able to raise the necessary funds on terms it considers acceptable, or at all. Moreover, Sempra Energy's intended financing for the Merger Consideration may negatively affect its credit ratings prior to or following the completion of the Merger (Moody's Investors Service has indicated that it will likely consider placing its credit rating on Sempra Energy's debt securities on negative outlook if it perceives no significant opposition to the Merger as currently structured), which may make it more difficult and/or costly for Sempra Energy to issue debt securities. In addition, Moody's Investors Service may downgrade Sempra Energy's credit rating in connection with the Merger, which may have a similar effect. Moreover, although Standard & Poor's recently affirmed its ratings of Sempra Energy's debt securities based on Sempra Energy's expected financing plan for the Merger, Standard & Poor's recently revised its debt ratings criteria, "Reflecting Subordination Risk in Corporate Issue Ratings," on September 21, 2017, and as a result of this new methodology, has indicated that it could downgrade its rating of Sempra Energy's senior unsecured debt securities within the next 12 months if Sempra Energy does not complete the Merger under the financing plan currently contemplated or if the aggregate indebtedness of Sempra Energy's subsidiaries continues to exceed 50 percent of Sempra Energy's total consolidated debt, which may also make it more difficult or costly for Sempra Energy to issue debt securities.

Sempra Energy may borrow up to \$4.0 billion under the 364-day credit facility to be provided pursuant to financing commitments from a syndicate of banks to fund a portion of the consideration for the Merger and the transaction costs related to the Merger, subject to certain conditions, but the \$4.0 billion commitment is reduced by the amount of funds received through Sempra Energy's sale of equity securities and debt securities, subject in each case to certain exceptions, and increases in our borrowing capacity under our existing revolving credit facilities. The total amount of funds available under this committed facility is insufficient to cover the full Merger Consideration and related transaction costs and is subject to reduction as described above and Sempra Energy can provide no assurance that it will be able to raise the necessary funds through the sale of its equity securities or debt securities or from other sources.

If Sempra Energy is required to obtain more debt financing than anticipated to finance the Merger Consideration and associated transaction costs, whether through the issuance of debt securities or borrowings under the committed financing or otherwise, the required regulatory approvals to complete the Merger may be more difficult to obtain and the combined company's credit ratings and ability to service its debt could be materially adversely affected.

Sempra Energy expects to incur significant additional indebtedness in connection with the Merger. As a result, it may be more difficult for Sempra Energy to pay or refinance its debts or take other actions, and Sempra Energy may need to divert cash to fund debt service payments.

As discussed in the previous risk factor, Sempra Energy expects to incur significant additional indebtedness to finance the Merger Consideration and related transaction costs. Moreover, although Sempra Energy currently plans to fund a significant portion of the Merger Consideration through sales of its common stock and, possibly, other equity securities, to the extent it is unable to do so the amount of indebtedness it will incur to finance the Merger and associated transaction costs will likely increase, perhaps substantially. The increase in Sempra Energy's debt service obligations resulting from this additional indebtedness could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition and prospects of the combined company.

Sempra Energy's increased indebtedness could:

- make it more difficult and/or costly for Sempra Energy to pay or refinance its debts as they become due, particularly during adverse economic and industry conditions, because a decrease in revenues or increase in costs could cause cash flow from operations to be insufficient to make scheduled debt service payments;
- limit Sempra Energy's flexibility to pursue other strategic opportunities or react to changes in its business and the industry sectors in which it operates and, consequently, put Sempra Energy at a competitive disadvantage to its competitors that have less debt;
- require a substantial portion of Sempra Energy's available cash to be used for debt service payments, thereby reducing the availability of its cash to fund working capital, capital expenditures, development projects, acquisitions, dividend payments and other general corporate purposes, which could harm Sempra Energy's prospects for growth and the market price of its common stock and debt securities, among other things;
- result in a downgrade in the credit ratings on Sempra Energy's indebtedness (including as a result of actions by Moody's Investors Service or Standard & Poor's as described in the immediately preceding risk factor), which could limit Sempra Energy's ability to borrow additional funds, increase the interest rates under its credit facilities and under any new indebtedness it may incur, and reduce the trading prices of its outstanding debt securities and common stock;
- make it more difficult for Sempra Energy to raise capital to fund working capital, make capital expenditures, pay dividends, pursue strategic initiatives or for other purposes;

- result in higher interest expense in the event of increases in interest rates on Sempra Energy’s current or future borrowings subject to variable rates of interest; and
- require that additional materially adverse terms, conditions or covenants be placed on Sempra Energy under its debt instruments.

Based on the current and expected results of operations and financial condition of Sempra Energy and its subsidiaries and the currently anticipated financing structure for the Merger, Sempra Energy believes that its cash flow from operations, together with the proceeds from borrowings, issuances of equity and debt securities in the capital markets, distributions from its equity method investments, project financing and equity sales (including tax equity and partnering in joint ventures) will generate sufficient cash on a consolidated basis to make all of the principal and interest payments when such payments are due under Sempra Energy’s and its current subsidiaries’ existing credit facilities, indentures and other instruments governing their outstanding indebtedness and under the indebtedness anticipated to be incurred to fund the Merger Consideration. However, Sempra Energy’s expectation is subject to numerous estimates, assumptions and uncertainties, and there can be no assurance that Sempra Energy will be able to repay or refinance such borrowings and obligations when due. Oncor and its subsidiaries will not guarantee any indebtedness of Sempra Energy or any of its other subsidiaries, nor will any of them have any obligation to provide funds, whether in the form of dividends, loans or otherwise, to enable Sempra Energy and its other subsidiaries to make required debt service payments, particularly in light of the ring-fencing arrangements described below under “—Certain “ring-fencing” measures and other existing governance mechanisms will limit Sempra Energy’s ability to influence the management and policies of Oncor.” As a result, the Merger will substantially increase Sempra Energy’s debt service obligations without any assurance that Sempra Energy will receive any cash from Oncor or any of its subsidiaries to assist Sempra Energy in servicing its indebtedness or other cash needs.

Sempra Energy is committed to maintaining its credit ratings at investment grade. To maintain these credit ratings, Sempra Energy may consider it appropriate to reduce the amount of its indebtedness outstanding following the Merger. Sempra Energy may seek to reduce this indebtedness with the proceeds from the issuance of additional shares of common stock and, possibly, other equity securities, by reducing discretionary uses of cash, or by a combination of these and other measures. As noted above, issuances of additional shares of common stock (including shares issued upon conversion of other equity securities) would have the effect, and the issuance of other equity securities could have the effect, of diluting the economic and voting interests of Sempra Energy’s shareholders, may reduce Sempra Energy’s earnings per share and may adversely affect, perhaps substantially, the market price of Sempra Energy’s common stock. However, the ability of Sempra Energy to raise additional equity financing after completion of the Merger will be subject to market conditions and a number of other risks and uncertainties, including whether the results of operations of the combined company meet the expectations of investors and securities analysts. There can be no assurance that Sempra Energy will be able to issue additional shares of its common stock or other equity securities after the Merger on terms that it considers acceptable or at all, or that Sempra Energy will be able to reduce the amount of its outstanding indebtedness after the Merger, should it elect to do so, to a level that permits it to maintain its investment grade credit ratings.

The Merger may not positively affect Sempra Energy’s results of operations and may cause a decrease in its earnings per share, which may negatively affect the market price of Sempra Energy common stock and debt securities.

Sempra Energy anticipates that the Merger, if consummated on the terms and under the financing structure currently contemplated, will have a positive impact on its consolidated results of operations. This expectation is based on current market conditions and is subject to a number of assumptions, estimates, projections and other uncertainties, including assumptions regarding the results of operations of the combined company after the Merger, the relative mix and timing of debt and equity financing necessary to fund the Merger Consideration and the price and interest rates at which Sempra Energy will be able to sell its debt and equity securities. This expectation also assumes that Oncor will perform in accordance with Sempra Energy’s expectations, and there can be no assurance that this will occur. In addition, Sempra Energy may encounter additional transaction costs and costs to manage its investment in Oncor, may fail to realize some or any of the benefits anticipated in the Merger, may be subject to currently unknown liabilities as a result of the Merger, or may be subject to other factors that affect preliminary estimates. As a result, there can be no assurance that the Merger will positively impact Sempra Energy’s results of operations, and it is possible that the Merger may have an adverse effect, which could be material, on Sempra Energy’s results of operations, financial condition and prospects or may cause its earnings per share to decrease, any of which may materially adversely affect the market price of Sempra Energy’s common stock and debt securities.

Certain “ring-fencing” measures and other existing governance mechanisms will limit Sempra Energy’s ability to influence the management and policies of Oncor.

EFH and Oncor implemented various “ring-fencing” measures in 2007 to enhance Oncor’s separateness from its owners and to mitigate the risk that Oncor would be negatively impacted in the event of a bankruptcy or other adverse financial developments affecting EFH or its other subsidiaries or owners. This ring-fence has created both legal and financial separation between Oncor Holdings, Oncor and their subsidiaries, on the one hand, and EFH and its affiliates and other subsidiaries, on the other hand.

Pursuant to the agreements related to the Merger, existing governance mechanisms and commitments made by Sempra Energy as part of the application for PUCT approval of the Merger, Sempra Energy has committed to certain ring-fencing measures and will be

subject to certain restrictions following the Merger. These measures, governance mechanisms and restrictions include the following, among other things:

- Following consummation of the Merger, the board of directors of Oncor will consist of thirteen members, seven of which will be independent directors under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange (and at least two of which shall have no current or prior material relationship with Sempra Energy), two of which will be designated by EFIH (which, after the Merger, will be a subsidiary of Sempra Energy that Sempra Energy is expected to control), two of which will be appointed by Oncor's minority owner, TTI, which is an investment vehicle owned by third parties unaffiliated with EFH and Sempra Energy and that owns approximately 19.75 percent of the outstanding membership interests in Oncor, and two of which will be members of Oncor management. As a result, Sempra Energy will not control the operations, management or policies of Oncor, Oncor Holdings and their respective subsidiaries and will have limited representation on the Oncor Holdings and Oncor boards of directors. Sempra Energy will account for Oncor using the equity method of accounting and not as a consolidated subsidiary;
- If the credit rating on Oncor's senior secured debt by any rating agency falls below BBB (or the equivalent), Sempra Energy has agreed that Oncor will suspend dividends until otherwise allowed by the PUCT;
- Sempra Energy has agreed to work in good faith so that, within 180 days after the Merger, an equity investment is made in Oncor in an amount sufficient to allow Oncor to achieve a capital structure consisting of 57.5 percent long-term debt and 42.5 percent equity;
- Oncor may not pay dividends to its owners, including Sempra Energy, if and to the extent that payment would cause its debt-to-equity ratio to exceed the debt-to-equity ratio required by the PUCT described below;
- Oncor may not pay any dividends or make any other distributions of cash or property to its owners, including Sempra Energy, if either a majority of its independent directors or one of the directors appointed by Oncor's minority owner, TTI, determines it is in the best interests of Oncor to retain such amounts to meet expected future requirements;
- Certain transactions, including certain mergers and sales of substantially all assets, changes to the dividend policy and declarations of bankruptcy and liquidation, require the approval of all, or in certain circumstances a majority, of the independent directors of Oncor and at least one, or in certain circumstances both, of the directors appointed by Oncor's minority owner, TTI; and
- There must be maintained certain "separateness measures" that reinforce the financial separation of Oncor from EFH and EFH's owners, such as a prohibition on Oncor providing guarantees or security for debt of EFH or Sempra Energy.

Accordingly, Sempra Energy will not control Oncor and will have only a limited ability to direct the management, policies and operations of Oncor, including the deployment or disposition of Oncor assets, declarations of dividends, strategic planning and other important corporate issues. Moreover, subject to Oncor closing the transaction to exchange certain assets with Sharyland Distribution & Transmission Services LLC by November 27, 2017, the PUCT has approved the modification of Oncor's required capital structure from an assumed debt-to-equity ratio of 60 percent debt to 40 percent equity to an assumed ratio of 57.5 percent debt to 42.5 percent equity. This modification would require Oncor to take certain actions to raise its equity percentage, including but not limited to reducing or eliminating dividends or requiring capital contributions by Sempra Energy. The existence of these ring-fencing measures may increase Sempra Energy's costs of financing and operating EFH and its subsidiaries. Further, the Oncor directors have considerable autonomy and as described in our commitments have a duty to act in the best interest of Oncor consistent with the approved ring-fence and Delaware law, which may be contrary to Sempra Energy's best interests or be in opposition to Sempra Energy's preferred strategic direction for Oncor. To the extent they take actions that are not in Sempra Energy's interests, the financial condition, results of operations and prospects of the combined company may be materially adversely affected.

Certain key personnel at Oncor may choose to depart Oncor prior to, upon completion of or shortly after the Merger, and any loss of key personnel may materially adversely affect the future business and operations of Oncor and the anticipated benefits of the Merger.

If, despite efforts to retain certain key personnel at Oncor, any key personnel depart or fail to continue employment as a result of the Merger, the loss of the services of such personnel and their experience and knowledge could adversely affect Oncor's results of operations, financial condition and prospects and the successful ongoing operation of its business, which could also have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition and prospects of the combined company after completion of the Merger.

If Oncor fails to respond to challenges in the electric utility industry, including changes in regulation, its results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected, and this could materially adversely affect the combined company.

Because Oncor is regulated by both U.S. federal and Texas state authorities, it has been and will continue to be affected by legislative and regulatory developments. The costs and burdens associated with complying with these regulatory requirements and adjusting Oncor's business to legislative and regulatory developments may have a material adverse effect on Oncor. Moreover, potential legislative changes, regulatory changes or other market or industry changes may create greater risks to the predictability of utility earnings generally. If Oncor does not successfully respond to these changes, it could suffer a deterioration in its results of operations, financial condition and prospects, which could materially adversely affect the results of operations, financial condition and prospects of the combined company after the Merger.

Oncor's operations are capital intensive and it could have liquidity needs that may require Sempra Energy to make additional investments in Oncor.

Oncor's business is capital intensive, and it relies on external financing as a significant source of liquidity for its capital requirements. In the past, Oncor has financed a substantial portion of its cash needs with the proceeds from indebtedness. In the event that Oncor fails to meet its capital requirements or if its credit ratings at closing by any one of the three major rating agencies are below the ratings as of June 30, 2017, Sempra Energy may be required to make additional investments in Oncor or if Oncor is unable to access sufficient capital to finance its ongoing needs, Sempra Energy may elect to make additional investments in Oncor which could be substantial and which would reduce the cash available to Sempra Energy for other purposes, could increase its indebtedness and could ultimately materially adversely affect Sempra Energy's results of operations, financial condition and prospects after the Merger. In that regard, Sempra Energy's commitments to the PUCT prohibit Sempra Energy from making loans to Oncor. As a result, if Oncor requires additional financing and cannot obtain it from other sources, Sempra Energy may be required to make a capital contribution, rather than a loan, to Oncor.

The market value of Sempra Energy common stock could decline if its existing shareholders sell large amounts of its common stock in anticipation of or following the Merger, and the market prices of Sempra Energy's common stock and debt securities may be affected by factors following the Merger that are different from those affecting the market prices for Sempra Energy's common stock and debt securities prior to the Merger.

Following the Merger, shareholders of Sempra Energy will own interests in a combined company operating an expanded business with more assets and more indebtedness. Current shareholders of Sempra Energy may not wish to continue to invest in the combined company, or may wish to reduce their investment in the combined company, for a number of reasons, which may include loss of confidence in the ability of the combined company to execute its business strategies, to comply with institutional investing guidelines, to increase diversification or to track any rebalancing of stock indices in which Sempra Energy common stock is included. If, before or following the Merger, large amounts of Sempra Energy common stock are sold, the market price of its common stock could decline.

If the Merger is consummated, the risks associated with the combined company may affect the results of operations of the combined company and the market prices of Sempra Energy's common stock and debt securities following the Merger differently than they affected such results of operations and market prices prior to the Merger. Additionally, the results of operations of the combined company may be affected by additional or different risks than those that currently affect the results of operations of Sempra Energy. Any of the foregoing matters could materially adversely affect the market prices of Sempra Energy's common stock and debt securities following the Merger.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following exhibits relate to each registrant as indicated.

EXHIBIT 2 -- PLAN OF ACQUISITION, REORGANIZATION, ARRANGEMENT, LIQUIDATION OR SUCCESSION

Sempra Energy

[2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of August 21, 2017, by and among Sempra Energy, Power Play Merger Sub I, Inc. \(now known as Sempra Texas Merger Sub I, Inc.\), Energy Future Intermediate Holding Company LLC and Energy Future Holdings Corp. \(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Sempra Energy Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 25, 2017\).](#)

[2.1.1 First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization of Energy Future Holdings Corp., et al., Pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code \(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to Sempra Energy Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 25, 2017\).](#)

[2.1.2 Waiver Agreement, dated as of October 3, 2017, by and among Sempra Energy, Sempra Texas Merger Sub I, Inc., Energy Future Intermediate Holding Company LLC and Energy Future Holdings Corp. \(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Sempra Energy Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 6, 2017\).](#)

EXHIBIT 10 -- MATERIAL CONTRACTS

Sempra Energy

[10.1 Plan Support Agreement \(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Sempra Energy Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 25, 2017\).](#)

[10.2 Oncor Letter Agreement, dated as of August 25, 2017 \(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Sempra Energy Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 28, 2017\).](#)

EXHIBIT 12 -- STATEMENTS RE: COMPUTATION OF RATIOS

Sempra Energy

[12.1 Sempra Energy Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.](#)

San Diego Gas & Electric Company

[12.2 San Diego Gas & Electric Company Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends.](#)

Southern California Gas Company

[12.3 Southern California Gas Company Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends.](#)

EXHIBIT 31 -- SECTION 302 CERTIFICATIONS

Sempra Energy

[31.1 Certification of Sempra Energy's Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.](#)

[31.2 Certification of Sempra Energy's Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.](#)

San Diego Gas & Electric Company

[31.3 Certification of San Diego Gas & Electric Company's Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.](#)

[31.4 Certification of San Diego Gas & Electric Company's Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.](#)

Southern California Gas Company

[31.5 Certification of Southern California Gas Company's Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.](#)

[31.6 Certification of Southern California Gas Company's Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.](#)

EXHIBIT 32 -- SECTION 906 CERTIFICATIONS

Sempra Energy

[32.1 Certification of Sempra Energy's Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350.](#)

[32.2 Certification of Sempra Energy's Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350.](#)

San Diego Gas & Electric Company

[32.3 Certification of San Diego Gas & Electric Company's Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350.](#)

[32.4 Certification of San Diego Gas & Electric Company's Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350.](#)

Southern California Gas Company

[32.5 Certification of Southern California Gas Company's Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350.](#)

[32.6 Certification of Southern California Gas Company's Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350.](#)

EXHIBIT 101 -- INTERACTIVE DATA FILE

Sempra Energy / San Diego Gas & Electric Company / Southern California Gas Company

101.INS XBRL Instance Document - the instance document does not appear in the Interactive Data File because its XBRL tags are embedded within the Inline XBRL document.

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

SIGNATURES

Sempra Energy:

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SEMPRA ENERGY,
(Registrant)

Date: October 30, 2017

By: /s/ Trevor I. Mihalik

Trevor I. Mihalik
Senior Vice President, Controller and
Chief Accounting Officer

San Diego Gas & Electric Company:

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY,
(Registrant)

Date: October 30, 2017

By: /s/ Bruce A. Folkmann

Bruce A. Folkmann
Vice President, Controller, Chief Financial Officer and Chief
Accounting Officer

Southern California Gas Company:

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY,
(Registrant)

Date: October 30, 2017

By: /s/ Bruce A. Folkmann

Bruce A. Folkmann
Vice President, Controller, Chief Financial Officer and Chief
Accounting Officer

EXHIBIT 12.1
SEMPRA ENERGY
COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES
(Dollars in millions)

	Nine months ended September 30, 2017	Year ended December 31,				
		2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Earnings:						
Pretax income from continuing operations before income or loss						
from equity investees, noncontrolling interests and preferred dividends of subsidiaries	\$ 1,154	\$ 1,824	\$ 1,600	\$ 1,443	\$ 1,399	\$ 1,255
Add:						
Total fixed charges (from below)	576	706	681	640	628	609
Amortization of capitalized interest(1)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Distributed income of equity investees	34	53	83	61	51	50
Pretax losses of equity investees for which charges arising from guarantees are included in fixed charges	—	—	—	—	—	—
Less:						
Interest capitalized	48	90	69	40	23	53
Preference security dividend requirements of consolidated subsidiaries(2)	1	2	2	1	6	6
Noncontrolling interest in pretax income of subsidiaries that have not incurred fixed charges	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total earnings for purpose of ratio	\$ 1,715	\$ 2,491	\$ 2,293	\$ 2,103	\$ 2,049	\$ 1,855
Fixed charges:						
Interest expensed and capitalized and amortization of premiums, discounts and capitalized expenses related to indebtedness(1)	\$ 572	\$ 701	\$ 677	\$ 636	\$ 620	\$ 601
Estimate of interest within rental expense	3	3	2	3	2	2
Preference security dividend requirements of consolidated subsidiaries(2)	1	2	2	1	6	6
Total fixed charges	\$ 576	\$ 706	\$ 681	\$ 640	\$ 628	\$ 609
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	2.98	3.53	3.37	3.29	3.26	3.05

(1) In computing this ratio, our public utilities that follow FASB ASC Topic 980, Regulated Operations, do not add amortization of capitalized interest in determining Earnings or reduce Fixed Charges by allowance for funds used during construction.

(2) In computing this ratio, "Preference security dividend requirements of consolidated subsidiaries" represents the pretax earnings necessary to pay such dividends, computed at the effective tax rates for the applicable periods.

EXHIBIT 12.2
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO COMBINED FIXED CHARGES
AND PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS
(Dollars in millions)

	Nine months ended September 30, 2017	Year ended December 31,				
		2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Earnings:						
Pretax income from continuing operations before income or loss from equity investees, noncontrolling interests and preferred dividends	\$ 363	\$ 845	\$ 890	\$ 797	\$ 626	\$ 705
Add:						
Combined fixed charges and preference security dividends for purpose ratio (from below)	203	240	242	239	237	228
Amortization of capitalized interest(1)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Less:						
Interest capitalized	—	—	—	1	—	—
Preference security dividend requirements(2)	—	—	—	—	5	7
Noncontrolling interest in pretax income of subsidiaries that have not incurred fixed charges	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total earnings for purpose of ratio	\$ 566	\$ 1,085	\$ 1,132	\$ 1,035	\$ 858	\$ 926
Fixed charges:						
Interest expensed and capitalized and amortization of premiums, discounts and capitalized expenses related to indebtedness(1)	\$ 202	\$ 239	\$ 241	\$ 238	\$ 231	\$ 220
Estimate of interest within rental expense	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total fixed charges	\$ 203	\$ 240	\$ 242	\$ 239	\$ 232	\$ 221
Preference security dividend requirements(2)	—	—	—	—	5	7
Combined fixed charges and preference security dividends for purpose ratio	\$ 203	\$ 240	\$ 242	\$ 239	\$ 237	\$ 228
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	2.79	4.52	4.68	4.33	3.70	4.19
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preference security dividends	2.79	4.52	4.68	4.33	3.62	4.06

(1) In computing this ratio, our public utilities that follow FASB ASC Topic 980, Regulated Operations, do not add amortization of capitalized interest in determining Earnings or reduce Fixed Charges by allowance for funds used during construction.

(2) In computing this ratio, "Preference security dividend requirements" represents the pretax earnings necessary to pay such dividends, computed at the effective tax rates for the applicable periods.

EXHIBIT 12.3
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO COMBINED FIXED CHARGES
AND PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS
(Dollars in millions)

	Nine months ended September 30, 2017	Year ended December 31,				
		2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Earnings:						
Pretax income from continuing operations before income or loss						
from equity investees, noncontrolling interests and preferred dividends	\$ 372	\$ 493	\$ 558	\$ 472	\$ 481	\$ 369
Add:						
Combined fixed charges and preference security dividends for purpose						
ratio (from below)	91	115	99	81	79	80
Amortization of capitalized interest(1)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Less:						
Interest capitalized	1	1	1	1	1	1
Preference security dividend requirements(2)	1	2	2	2	2	2
Total earnings for purpose of ratio	\$ 461	\$ 605	\$ 654	\$ 550	\$ 557	\$ 446
Fixed charges:						
Interest expensed and capitalized and amortization of premiums, discounts						
and capitalized expenses related to indebtedness(1)	\$ 88	\$ 111	\$ 96	\$ 77	\$ 76	\$ 77
Estimate of interest within rental expense	2	2	1	2	1	1
Total fixed charges	\$ 90	\$ 113	\$ 97	\$ 79	\$ 77	\$ 78
Preference security dividend requirements(2)	1	2	2	2	2	2
Combined fixed charges and preference security dividends for purpose ratio	\$ 91	\$ 115	\$ 99	\$ 81	\$ 79	\$ 80
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	5.12	5.35	6.74	6.96	7.23	5.72
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preference security dividends	5.07	5.26	6.61	6.79	7.05	5.58

(1) In computing this ratio, our public utilities that follow FASB ASC Topic 980, *Regulated Operations*, do not add amortization of capitalized interest in determining Earnings or reduce Fixed Charges by allowance for funds used during construction.

(2) In computing this ratio, "Preference security dividend requirements" represents the pretax earnings necessary to pay such dividends, computed at the effective tax rates for the applicable periods.

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO RULES 13a-14 AND 15d-14

I, Debra L. Reed, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Sempra Energy;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

October 30, 2017

/s/ Debra L. Reed

Debra L. Reed
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO RULES 13a-14 AND 15d-14

I, J. Walker Martin, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Sempra Energy;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

October 30, 2017

/s/ J. Walker Martin

J. Walker Martin
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO RULES 13a-14 AND 15d-14

I, Scott D. Drury, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of San Diego Gas & Electric Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

October 30, 2017

/s/ Scott D. Drury

Scott D. Drury

President

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO RULES 13a-14 AND 15d-14

I, Bruce A. Folkmann, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of San Diego Gas & Electric Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

October 30, 2017

/s/ Bruce A. Folkmann

Bruce A. Folkmann
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO RULES 13a-14 AND 15d-14

I, Patricia K. Wagner, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Southern California Gas Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

October 30, 2017

/s/ Patricia K. Wagner
Patricia K. Wagner
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO RULES 13a-14 AND 15d-14

I, Bruce A. Folkmann, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Southern California Gas Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

October 30, 2017

/s/ Bruce A. Folkmann

Bruce A. Folkmann
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned principal executive officer of Sempra Energy (the "Company") certifies that:

- (i) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the quarter ended September 30, 2017 (the "Quarterly Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (ii) the information contained in the Quarterly Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

October 30, 2017

/s/ Debra L. Reed

Debra L. Reed
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned principal financial officer of Sempra Energy (the "Company") certifies that:

- (i) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the quarter ended September 30, 2017 (the "Quarterly Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (ii) the information contained in the Quarterly Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

October 30, 2017

/s/ J. Walker Martin

J. Walker Martin
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned principal executive officer of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (the "Company") certifies that:

- (i) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the quarter ended September 30, 2017 (the "Quarterly Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (ii) the information contained in the Quarterly Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

October 30, 2017

/s/ Scott D. Drury

Scott D. Drury
President

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned principal executive officer of Southern California Gas Company (the "Company") certifies that:

- (i) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the quarter ended September 30, 2017 (the "Quarterly Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (ii) the information contained in the Quarterly Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

October 30, 2017

/s/ Patricia K. Wagner

Patricia K. Wagner
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned principal financial officer of Southern California Gas Company (the "Company") certifies that:

- (i) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the quarter ended September 30, 2017 (the "Quarterly Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (ii) the information contained in the Quarterly Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

October 30, 2017

/s/ Bruce A. Folkmann

Bruce A. Folkmann
Chief Financial Officer